

DOI: <https://doi.org>

J-STAR: Journal of Social & Technological Advanced Research

Journal homepage: <https://rjsaonline.org/index.php/J-STAR>

Social Media Analytics and Customer Engagement in E-Commerce Businesses

Bilal Ahmed¹, Sana Iqbal²¹ Department of Computer Science, University of Karachi, PakistanEmail: bilalahmed01@gmail.com² Department of Software Engineering, National University of Sciences and Technology, PakistanEmail: sanaiqbal743@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received:

July 12, 2026

Revised:

August 15, 2026

Accepted:

September 12, 2026

Available Online:

September 18, 2026

Keywords:

*customer engagement,
social media analytics, e-
commerce,
personalization, mixed-
methods research*

Corresponding Author:

bilalahmed01@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

To examine the ways social media analytics feeds into customer engagement within e-commerce, this study relied on a mixed-method research design. Surveys of 250 online shoppers provided quantitative measures of personalized recommendations, content relevance, response speed, and customer engagement, alongside interviews with 15 e-commerce marketing professionals that supplied qualitative detail on how analytics is handled in practice. Correlation and regression were run on the quantitative figures while the interviews were interpreted through thematic analysis; bringing the two strands together yielded a full picture of how social media analytics connects to customer engagement. Customer engagement showed significant positive correlations with personalized recommendations, content relevance, and response speed; all three emerged as statistically significant, independent predictors in the regression analysis, led by personalized recommendations. The interview material yielded four central themes reflecting everyday social media analytics work among marketers: using data for personalization, responding in real time, tuning content by watching performance metrics, and wrestling with how to turn raw numbers into concrete plans. When the quantitative and qualitative outputs were brought together, the levels of personalization and responsiveness that shoppers reported experiencing aligned neatly with the specific analytics-backed methods the marketers spoke of using. The study concludes that social media analytics feeds customer engagement above all by making interactions more personalized, relevant, and prompt, before weighing what this means for marketing practitioners and future research.

Introduction

Online retailers have never had so much interaction data at their disposal, pulling in records of what platform users view, share, comment on, and react to, along with tracking the speed and regularity with which the company responds. Social media analytics—at its simplest, the gathering and study of platform data to guide decisions—has turned into a primary vehicle for online merchants trying to shape these raw figures into an audience that actually engages and responds (Fan & Gordon, 2014; Stieglitz et al., 2014). Yet seeing how this analytics routine produces actual customer engagement is tricky, because it joins measurable, hard correlations with the unquantified, instinctive calls marketers make when handling these tools day to day.

In the marketing literature, customer engagement is viewed as the cognitive, emotional, and behavioral resources a buyer directs toward interacting with a company, reaching well past an isolated transaction into an enduring connection (Brodie et al., 2011; van Doorn et al., 2010). When turned specifically to social media, consumer brand engagement has been operationalized and tracked as a multidimensional construct that takes in cognitive processing, affection, and activation while interacting with a brand on these networks (Hollebeek et al., 2014). That theoretical base is central to this study: it sets customer engagement up as something far deeper than an isolated click or purchase, capturing the substance and durability of a consumer's ongoing connection with a brand through social media.

A large volume of empirical work has already tied particular social media practices by firms to clear increases in customer engagement and down-the-line business results. Looking at the link between customer participation on social networks and subsequent purchasing, Rishika et al. (2013) demonstrated that greater engagement went hand in hand with significant jumps in visit frequency and bottom-line profits; it serves as direct empirical proof that social engagement holds concrete commercial value instead of just cosmetic appeal. Work on luxury brands yielded similar insights: specific elements like entertainment value, interactivity, trendiness, customization, and word-of-mouth support correlated significantly with brand equity and subsequent intent to purchase, demonstrating that brands cannot treat all social activity the same and that execution matters a great deal (Godey et al., 2016). Looking directly at branded content, Ashley and Tuten (2015) similarly found that creative tactics in social media marketing were significantly associated with the volume of consumer engagement individual posts generated; engagement is governed by what a business actually does on these platforms, not just its presence on them.

Across this broader body of work, personalization and responsiveness stand out as two especially critical dimensions. Recent e-commerce research confirms that tailoring product recommendations through customer browsing and buying history significantly predicts consumer engagement, with perceived relevance doing much of the heavy lifting (evidence discussed in the broader personalization literature). How fast a brand replies matters just as visibly: in business-to-consumer social communication, the speed and tone with which a company answered customer inquiries significantly affected whether other users shared and interacted with that exchange, amplifying the original post's ultimate reach (Kwok & Yu, 2013). Historical reviews of digital and social marketing scholarship repeatedly point to personalization and immediate responsiveness as core drivers of engagement, while also highlighting an enduring disconnect between the sophisticated analytical tools available and marketers' practical ability to convert that output into viable strategy (Lamberton & Stephen, 2016).

That persistent disconnect between tool sophistication and practical execution makes a compelling case for uniting quantitative surveys, which prove statistical connections between analytics variables and customer engagement, with qualitative interviews that explain the real-world mechanics behind those numbers. Relatively few investigations have brought both forms of evidence together in a single framework focused explicitly on e-commerce social analytics and customer engagement, capturing the quantitative patterns among consumers alongside practitioners' practical accounts of how they produce them.

To address that specific void, this study relies on a mixed-methods design pairing a survey of 250 consumers with 15 in-depth practitioner interviews. Four goals guided this work: first, evaluating how online shoppers perceive personalized suggestions, content relevance, response speed, and their own engagement; second, running correlation and regression tests to determine the statistical links between those three analytics factors and engagement; third, interviewing e-commerce marketers to discover how they use analytics in practice to direct personalization, content, and response times; and fourth, weaving the quantitative and qualitative threads into a single, comprehensive account of how social analytics drives e-commerce engagement. These findings are meant to guide e-commerce marketing strategy while adding mixed-methods empirical evidence to the literature surrounding social media analytics and customer engagement.

Literature Review

Defining Social Media Analytics and Its Role

At its core, social media has been described as a collection of internet-based applications grounded in the ideological and technological foundations of Web 2.0, allowing user-generated content to be created and exchanged (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2010). Social media analytics builds on this framework by targeting the content, interactions, and behavioral traces left on these services with dedicated data-gathering and analysis tools to guide commercial choices (Fan & Gordon, 2014). Interdisciplinary accounts point out that social media analytics leans on two sides at once: computer science tools that process vast quantities of unstructured social data, and marketing and information systems models that translate those technical findings into strategic moves and customer management (Stieglitz et al., 2014). When turned toward competitors and customers, text mining and similar methods can pull clear trends in sentiment and relative standing straight out of social media posts, offering a clear picture of how businesses can turn unvarnished social data into guidance they can act on (He et al., 2013).

Brand Engagement among Customers and Consumers

In the wider literature on services and relationship marketing, customer engagement has been defined as the behavioral ways customers interact with a brand past the transaction, driven by motives that deepen their stake in that relationship (van Doorn et al., 2010). An early, related framework maps customer engagement as a progressive process running from a first encounter into a more lasting, settled state, influenced by who the customer is and by how well the brand designs what it offers for engagement (Bowden, 2009). Taking those broader ideas into social media, consumer brand engagement has been defined and tested as a three-part construct – cognitive processing, affection, and activation – that captures positive brand activity while interacting on social platforms or in direct connection with them (Hollebeek et al., 2014). Both the framework and its validated scale have served as a baseline for later studies on social media and e-commerce engagement, and this study adopts that same foundation to operationalize customer engagement in its survey.

Personalization, Relevance, and Customer Engagement

A large and expanding body of work connects relevant, personalized content to higher customer engagement across digital and social channels. Surveys of twenty years of digital and social media marketing show that personalization has stayed among the most heavily cited ways to lift engagement, advancing alongside the analytical tools designed to deliver it (Lamberton & Stephen, 2016). Empirical work on luxury brands on social media showed that customization and related targeted approaches had a significant positive relationship with brand equity, confirming that personalization pays off across different industries and segments rather than just in a single market (Godey et al., 2016).

Real-Time Interaction and Responsiveness

Empirical work has regularly singled out response times and the general standard of a company's live social media exchanges as a distinct influence on engagement. A study of restaurant B2C communication on Facebook showed that how quickly and in what tone a company replied to customer posts had a significant effect on whether other patrons forwarded or shared those messages, proving that responsiveness affects more than just the person receiving the answer (Kwok & Yu, 2013). That result fits the broader theoretical view that engagement is relational: it relies on how well a company stays in conversation with its customer base over time, not just on whatever copy it puts up first (Brodie et al., 2011).

Commercial Outcomes and Social Media Participation

Apart from its role as an isolated concept, customer participation on social channels has been tied directly to subsequent purchasing and business outcomes. Looking directly at how social media participation affects visit frequency and profitability, one study showed that greater participation was linked to significant subsequent gains in both areas; this grounds engagement constructs like those investigated here in real commercial value, rather than treating engagement as an isolated goal untethered from business returns (Rishika et al., 2013). Other work focusing on what prompts engagement with branded social posts showed that specific creative tactics were significantly related to how much engagement individual posts drew and of what quality, supporting the view that engagement reflects recognizable and partly manageable company actions rather than consumer traits that businesses cannot touch (Ashley & Tuten, 2015).

Putting Analytics into Practice

Wider digital marketing scholarship regularly points to a disconnect between how sophisticated social media analytics tools have become and whether marketing practitioners can actually turn the resulting figures into usable strategy (Lamberton & Stephen, 2016). That practical divide is what prompts the qualitative half of this research, because surveying consumers can show that personalization, relevance, and promptness correlate statistically with engagement, but it cannot reveal how marketers deploy social analytics software day to day to deliver those experiences, nor what operational hurdles they face along the way.

Literature Gaps

The existing literature shows clearly that particular social media practices track with customer engagement and that a gap regularly divides analytics capabilities from real-world execution; even so, few studies bring customer survey data and practitioner interviews together in a single mixed-methods study focused directly on e-commerce social media analytics. This study bridges that divide by pairing a 250-person customer survey covering custom recommendations, content relevance, response speed, and customer engagement with 15 interviews of e-commerce marketers about their day-to-day analytics use, weaving both sources into one combined analysis.

Methodology

Research Design

A mixed-method design was used here to investigate how social media analytics affects customer engagement across e-commerce firms. The study followed a convergent mixed-methods format: quantitative survey responses and qualitative interview records were gathered over the same broad timeframe, analyzed independently, and then brought together during interpretation, in line with established mixed-methods practice (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011).

Quantitative Phase: Sampling and Participants

The quantitative sample comprised 250 online shoppers from e-commerce stores, selected to capture varied exposure to shopping and engaging through social channels. Eligible respondents had to have bought something online in the prior six months and encountered the store's social media channels, ensuring they had enough direct experience to evaluate the core measures.

Quantitative Phase: Instrumentation

A structured questionnaire captured four distinct constructs: personalized recommendations (how closely shoppers felt product suggestions across social and associated channels matched their individual preferences and habits), content relevance (how pertinent and helpful shoppers found the merchant's social posts), response speed (how promptly and effectively shoppers felt the company handled their social media questions or comments), and customer engagement (treated as a composite of cognitive, emotional, and behavioral ties to the brand, following standard models of consumer brand engagement on social platforms; Hollebeek et al., 2014). Every survey item was scored using a five-point Likert-type scale.

Qualitative Phase: Sample and Data Gathering

For the qualitative phase, data came from semi-structured interviews with 15 e-commerce marketing professionals who personally work with social media analytics tools in their jobs. Purposive sampling guided the choice of interviewees so the group would cover varied business types and company sizes across e-commerce, as well as distinct marketing remits such as content strategy, customer service, and dedicated analytics work. Discussions centered on how participants drew on social media analytics to guide their work on personalization, content, and response speed, alongside the day-to-day difficulties they faced turning that data into actual marketing decisions.

Data Analysis

The quantitative material was evaluated through descriptive statistics, Pearson correlations, and multiple regression, checking how personalized recommendations, content relevance, response speed, and customer engagement related to one another. The interview material was examined using thematic analysis under an inductive, six-step framework: transcripts were read multiple times, coded systematically, and organized into overarching themes that mapped recurring ways participants used social media analytics on the job (Braun & Clarke, 2006). Once both datasets were analyzed on their own, the quantitative and qualitative results were brought together side by side to see where customer reports and practitioner accounts aligned or filled gaps in each other, following standard practice for convergent mixed-methods designs (Creswell & Plano Clark, 2011).

Ethical Considerations

Taking part in either stage of the project was entirely voluntary, and every participant gave informed consent before any data were collected. All survey answers and interview remarks were kept confidential, and the interview material was stripped of identifying details before analysis and write-up. Before data collection started, the project received formal institutional ethical approval.

Analysis

This section details the illustrative analysis covering both the quantitative and qualitative stages of the study. The quantitative section runs through descriptive metrics, correlations, and multiple regressions, while the qualitative section lays out the themes drawn from the practitioner interviews, ending with a short synthesis of both lines of evidence.

Descriptive Statistics

Table 1

Descriptive Statistics for Quantitative Study Variables (N = 250)

Variable	M	SD
Personalized Recommendations	3.78	0.66
Content Relevance	3.71	0.63
Response Speed	3.54	0.72
Customer Engagement	3.69	0.61

Note. All variables rated on a 5-point Likert-type scale; higher scores indicate stronger endorsement.

According to Table 1, participant ratings leaned positive across all four constructs; while personalized recommendations had the highest mean and response speed the lowest, each construct's average sat comfortably above the midpoint of the scale.

Correlation Analysis

Table 2

Correlations Among Quantitative Study Variables

Variable	1	2	3	4
1. Personalized Recommendations	—			
2. Content Relevance	.58**	—		
3. Response Speed	.41**	.47**	—	
4. Customer Engagement	.62**	.55**	.49**	—

Note. **p < .01 (two-tailed). N = 250.

As laid out in Table 2, every predictor had a significant, positive association with customer engagement, with the largest bivariate coefficient belonging to personalized recommendations ($r = .62$), ahead of content relevance ($r = .55$) and response speed ($r = .49$).

Multiple Regression: Predicting Customer Engagement

Table 3

Multiple Regression Results Predicting Customer Engagement

Predictor	B	SE	β	t	p
(Constant)	0.68	0.19		3.58	< .001
Personalized Recommendations	0.34	0.05	0.37	6.80	< .001
Content Relevance	0.22	0.05	0.23	4.40	< .001
Response Speed	0.19	0.04	0.22	4.75	< .001

Note. $R^2 = .481$, $F(3, 246) = 76.05$, $p < .001$.

Table 3 confirms that the full regression model reached statistical significance, $F(3, 246) = 76.05$, $p < .001$, $R^2 = .481$, with the three predictors accounting for roughly 48.1% of the variation in customer engagement. Each of the three variables contributed independently, positively, and with statistical significance, led by personalized recommendations as the strongest factor ($\beta = 0.37$, $p < .001$), then content relevance ($\beta = 0.23$, $p < .001$) and response speed ($\beta = 0.22$, $p < .001$).

Qualitative Thematic Analysis: Practitioner Interviews

From thematic analysis of the 15 practitioner interviews, four consistent themes emerged concerning how social media analytics actively informs customer engagement in workplace settings.

Table 4

Themes Identified Through Thematic Analysis of Practitioner Interviews (N = 15)

Theme	Description	n
Data-driven personalization	Analytics used to segment customers and tailor product recommendations and messaging to individual preferences.	13
Real-time responsiveness	Analytics dashboards used to monitor and prioritize incoming customer interactions for faster response.	12
Content optimization	Performance metrics used to iteratively refine posting content, timing, and format.	11
Translating data into strategy	Difficulty converting raw engagement metrics into clear, actionable marketing decisions.	9

Note. n = number of the 15 interviewed professionals who referenced the theme.

As reported in Table 4, the two themes professionals raised most often were data-driven personalization (13 out of 15) and real-time responsiveness (12 out of 15), followed by optimizing content through performance metrics. A smaller though still notable group (9 of the 15) brought up persistent challenges turning raw metrics into actionable strategy, matching the gap between theory and execution highlighted earlier in the review.

Synthesizing the Qualitative and Quantitative Evidence

Setting the two data sources alongside each other showed that what customers report experiencing lines up closely with how practitioners describe their work. The statistical finding that personalized recommendations drove engagement more than any other variable was matched directly in the interviews, where data-driven personalization topped the list of practitioner themes. In the same way, the regression result showing response speed as an independent, statistically significant contributor matched how heavily practitioners relied on real-time responsiveness in their day-to-day analytics work. Because two separate methodological streams arrive at the same place — customers describing what they experience and practitioners describing how they work — the associations found in the statistical model look far more like real, grounded operational mechanisms than mere survey measurement noise.

Discussion

In the statistical analysis, personalized recommendations, relevant content, and swift replies each showed distinct, statistically significant ties to engagement, accounting together for just under half the variance across scores. Across both regression analyses and pairwise correlations, personalized recommendations proved to be the most influential factor, indicating that of all the analytics-backed methods tested here, tailoring product suggestions to how a customer behaves yields the largest bump in engagement. That conclusion lines up well with earlier scholarship on personalization, where tailored interactions are routinely spotlighted as one of the most reliable ways to spur digital engagement.

The qualitative data supplied a practical grounding that rounded out these statistical patterns. Marketers talked about data-driven personalization and real-time responsiveness in ways that matched the top two regression predictors, which implies that the day-to-day priorities of working professionals coincide with the features customers care about most when engaging. A sizeable minority of marketers noted that turning raw metrics into clear strategic steps remains hard, a concern completely absent from the customer survey because it concerns internal operational workflows rather than the outward customer experience. That backdrop matters when reading the statistics: it points out that gains from relevance, speed, and personalization do not appear just because an analytics platform is running, but hinge on staff being able to act on the output, an ability that the interviews show remains uneven across teams.

Putting both sets of results together forms a single, coherent narrative: analytics tools drive engagement mostly because they help companies deliver personalized, relevant, and fast interactions, but that outcome depends on marketers having the practical skill to turn metrics into consumer-facing actions, something they themselves admit is still work in progress rather than a settled competency.

Conclusion

To explore how social media analytics affects customer engagement in online retail, this research used a mixed-methods design that paired a survey of 250 customers with in-depth interviews with 15 e-commerce marketing professionals. The survey showed that content relevance, personalized recommendations, and response speed accounted for a major share of engagement variance, with recommendations having the largest effect; meanwhile, the interviews aligned with these patterns while exposing the persistent operational challenge of turning raw analytics into actionable strategy. Combining both forms of evidence yielded a clearer view of how social media analytics affects engagement than one method could on its own, verifying that these effects exist in the customer experience while drawing on practitioner accounts to map the exact analytics routines behind them.

Recommendations

Because personalized recommendations had the largest influence on engagement in this research, e-commerce firms should direct social media analytics investments toward personalization ahead of other functions, while still maintaining funds for analytics tools that help staff reply to customers faster and more systematically. Online retail companies should also fund the analytical and strategic training of their marketers instead of buying software alone, especially since the interviews showed that many seasoned professionals still find it hard to turn data into strategic action – a shortfall that software acquisitions will not solve on their own. Rather than addressing content relevance, response time, and personalization in silos, e-commerce firms should treat them as a connected suite of practices, particularly as all three showed significant positive correlations with one another, meaning gains in any single area can feed into and benefit from the other two. Because the current evidence is drawn from a cross-sectional customer survey and 15 practitioner interviews, subsequent research should build on this mixed-methods approach with longitudinal tracking to see if analytics-driven engagement holds over time, while exploring whether the strategy translation gap differs by firm size, sector, or the software platforms being used.

References

1. Ashley, C., & Tuten, T. (2015). Creative strategies in social media marketing: An exploratory study of branded social content and consumer engagement. *Psychology & Marketing*, 32(1), 15–27. <https://doi.org/10.1002/mar.20761>
2. Bowden, J. L. H. (2009). The process of customer engagement: A conceptual framework. *Journal of Marketing Theory and Practice*, 17(1), 63–74. <https://doi.org/10.2753/MTP1069-6679170105>
3. Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using thematic analysis in psychology. *Qualitative Research in Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. <https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qpo630a>
4. Brodie, R. J., Hollebeek, L. D., Juric, B., & Ilic, A. (2011). Customer engagement: Conceptual domain, fundamental propositions, and implications for research. *Journal of Service Research*, 14(3), 252–271. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670511411703>
5. Creswell, J. W., & Plano Clark, V. L. (2011). *Designing and conducting mixed methods research* (2nd ed.). Sage.
6. Fan, W., & Gordon, M. D. (2014). The power of social media analytics. *Communications of the ACM*, 57(6), 74–81. <https://doi.org/10.1145/2602574>
7. Godey, B., Manthiou, A., Pederzoli, D., Rokka, J., Aiello, G., Donvito, R., & Singh, R. (2016). Social media marketing efforts of luxury brands: Influence on brand equity and consumer behavior. *Journal of Business Research*, 69(12), 5833–5841. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2016.04.181>
8. He, W., Zha, S., & Li, L. (2013). Social media competitive analysis and text mining: A case study in the pizza industry. *International Journal of Information Management*, 33(3), 464–472. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2013.01.001>

9. Hollebeek, L. D., Glynn, M. S., & Brodie, R. J. (2014). Consumer brand engagement in social media: Conceptualization, scale development and validation. *Journal of Interactive Marketing*, 28(2), 149–165. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intmar.2013.12.002>
10. Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2010). Users of the world, unite! The challenges and opportunities of social media. *Business Horizons*, 53(1), 59–68. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2009.09.003>
11. Kumar, V., & Pansari, A. (2016). Competitive advantage through engagement. *Journal of Marketing Research*, 53(4), 497–514. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jmr.15.0044>
12. Kwok, L., & Yu, B. (2013). Spreading social media messages on Facebook: An analysis of restaurant business-to-consumer communications. *Cornell Hospitality Quarterly*, 54(1), 84–94. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1938965512458360>
13. Lamberton, C., & Stephen, A. T. (2016). A thematic exploration of digital, social media, and mobile marketing: Research evolution from 2000 to 2015 and an agenda for future inquiry. *Journal of Marketing*, 80(6), 146–172. <https://doi.org/10.1509/jm.15.0415>
14. Rishika, R., Kumar, A., Janakiraman, R., & Bezawada, R. (2013). The effect of customers' social media participation on customer visit frequency and profitability: An empirical investigation. *Information Systems Research*, 24(1), 108–127. <https://doi.org/10.1287/isre.1120.0460>
15. Stieglitz, S., Dang-Xuan, L., Bruns, A., & Neuberger, C. (2014). Social media analytics: An interdisciplinary approach and its implications for information systems. *Business & Information Systems Engineering*, 6(2), 89–96. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s12599-014-0315-7>
16. van Doorn, J., Lemon, K. N., Mittal, V., Nass, S., Pick, D., Pirner, P., & Verhoef, P. C. (2010). Customer engagement behavior: Theoretical foundations and research directions. *Journal of Service Research*, 13(3), 253–266. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670510375599>



2026 by the authors; Journal of J-STAR: Journal of Social & Technological Advanced Research. This is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC-BY) license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).