Exploring Life in Every Leaf & Paw

ECOBIOTICS: JOURNAL OF ANIMAL & PLANT SCIENCES

Volume 1, Issue 1, 2025

Research Journals Online

www.rjsaonline.com

This issue is published by Research Journals Online (SMC-Private) Limited

About the Journal

EcoBiotics is an international journal focusing on the study of animal and plant sciences, ecology, and biodiversity. It publishes high-quality research that advances our understanding of life sciences and environmental sustainability. The journal welcomes articles on agriculture, genetics, ecosystems, and conservation practices. With a commitment to ecological balance, it highlights the importance of research in preserving natural resources. EcoBiotics serves as a platform for scientists, educators, and environmentalists to share discoveries that benefit both nature and society.

Aim / Objective

EcoBiotics is committed to:

- Advancing knowledge in animal and plant sciences, ecology, and agriculture
- Publishing high-quality research that supports biodiversity and sustainability
- Promoting interdisciplinary collaboration among biologists, ecologists, and environmental scientists
- Encouraging diverse perspectives in ecological and life science research
- Supporting innovation and evidence-based practices for sustainable development.

Scope

The scope of EcoBiotics covers a wide range of topics in biological and environmental sciences. It welcomes original research, reviews, and applied studies in (but not limited to):

- Animal Sciences and Veterinary Studies
- Plant Sciences and Botany
- Agricultural Sciences
- Genetics and Genomics
- Microbiology and Biotechnology
- Ecology and Ecosystem Studies
- Biodiversity and Conservation
- Environmental Science and Climate Change
- Soil and Crop Sciences
- Forestry and Natural Resource Management
- Aquatic Biology and Fisheries
- Entomology and Pest Management
- Sustainable Farming Practices
- Food Science and Nutrition

EcoBiotics provides a platform for both basic and applied research, promoting ecological balance, agricultural advancement, and sustainable development.

This issue is published by Research Journals Online (SMC-Private) Limited

Editorial Board Members

Editor-in-Chief	**Editor**
Dr. Imtiaz Ahmad Professor Department of Veterinary, Clinical Sciences, University of Poonch, Rawalakot Email: imtiazahmad@upr.edu.pk	**Dr. Arslan Jamil** Visiting Scientist Yunnan Academy of Agricultural sciences Kunming, China Email: arslan.jamil@gcu.edu.pk
Managing Editor	**Editorial Board Members**
Dr. Afshan Majeed Assistant Professor Department of Soil & Environmental Sciences, University of Poonch, Rawalakot, Pakistan Email: afshanmajeed@upr.edu.pk	**Dr. Syed Mubashar Hussain Gardazi** Assistant Professor Women University Bagh AJK Email: mubashargardazi@wuajk.edu.pk
Editorial Board Members	
Dr. Khawaja Shafique Ahmad Associate Professor & Chair, Department of Botany, Faculty of Basic and Applied Sciences, University of Poonch Email: ahmadks@upr.edu.pk	

Advisory Board

Dr. Nighet Musa Agriculture Officer, Department of Agriculture, GoAJK Email: nighetmusa@yahoo.com	**Dr. Rizwan Sarwar** Lecturer, Department of Botany King Abdullah Campus, University of Azad Jammu & Kashmir, Muzaffarabad, 13100 Pakistan Email: rizwan.sarwar@ajku.edu.pk
Dr. Aqeel Ahmad Assistant Professor, University of Poonch, Rawalakot Email: qeelao878@gmail.com	**Dr. Sajid Mahmood Sajid** Lecturer, Faculty of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, University of Poonch, Rawalakot Email: sajidmahmood@upr.edu.pk

This issue is published by Research Journals Online (SMC-Private) Limited

Table of Contents

Vol. (1), No. (1), 2025

This issue is published by Research Journals Online (SMC-Private) Limited

DOI: https://doi.org

EcoBiotics: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences

journal homepage: https://rjsaonline.org/index.php/EcoBiotics/

Phytohormones and Plant Development

Mudabbir Sadiq[1]
[1]Department of Soil and Environmental Sciences, Muhammad Nawaz Shareef University of Agriculture, Multan,
Email: mudabbir4924@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received:
December 12, 2024
Revised:
January 14, 2025
Accepted:
January 25, 2025
Available Online:
January 27, 2025

Keywords:
Phytohormones, Plant growth and development, Hormonal signaling, Auxin and cytokinin interaction, Gibberellin metabolism, Abscisic acid (ABA) response, Plant stress adaptation, Molecular regulation.

Corresponding Author:
mudabbir4924@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Phytohormones or plant hormones are low-molecular-weight organic compounds, which act at very low doses to regulate practically every facet of plant growth, development and stress acclimatization. They constitute the chemical language that cells utilize to arrange morphogenesis, reproduction, as well as environmental signal response. The classical phytohormones (auxins, cytokinin, gibberellins, abscisic acid (ABA) and ethylene) form this regulatory core, which has been broadened by the more recent classes, including brassinosteroid, jasmonates, salicylic acid and strigoglactones. The new genomic, proteomic and metabolomic received information has demonstrated complicated signaling crosstalk among these molecules which are controlled by the receptor-ligand selectivity, repressor degradation through ubiquitin, and incorporation of transcriptional systems. New forms of analysis technologies, including LC-MS/MS/MS quantification, fluorescence-based biosensors, and CRISPR/Cas-mediated genome editing are already in a position to provide an unprecedented degree of accuracy in quantifying and manipulating hormone dynamics (Waadt et al., 2020; Mukherjee et al., 2022). Hormonal processes can be controlled to produce superior plant structure, nutrient utilization and drought, salinity and disease adaptation. However, hormonal networks are complex and hence the majority of interventions are pleiotropic and therefore this needs integrative, systems-based, interventions which are an overlap of molecular, physiological, and field approaches. The current paper provides a summary of the available data on the hormone perception and signal transduction, overview of cross-communication among the pathways, the most advanced research methodology and implications of manipulating hormone to enhance crops sustainably.

Introduction

The phytohormone research is the central focus of the plant developmental biology. Hormones act as a series of internal signals that combine genetic programs and environment-based information, which interprets the effects of external factors on coherent developmental responses, and these include light, temperature, and water availability. The conceptual basis of hormonal regulation was established by the early findings of Darwin, who proved that phototropism was mediated by a mobile substance and Frits Went who isolated the so-called auxin. The list of known plant hormones later grew with each one having its own physiological effects but ultimately all being a member of an interconnected web of signaling.

The case of auxin (indole-3-acetic acid, IAA) is an example of this. Produced in young leaves and apical bud ends, auxin is delivered basipetally by PIN-family efflux carriers, forming directional gradients that guide cell elongation, apical dominance and tropic movements. The mechanism of perception includes TIR1/AFB F-box receptor that identifies auxin-bound Aux/IAA repressors, which are then ubiquitinated, targeted by ARF transcription factors, and switched on by auxin-bound ARF (Wang, 2014). Therefore, even minor changes in the concentration of auxin have a drastic morphological effect.

The adenine derivatives called cytokinin also act antagonistically to auxin in the control of organogenesis. Cytokinin trigger ARR transcription factors which are involved in cell division and senescence delayation via a 2-component his-asp phosphorelay system. The auxin-cytokinin ratio determines whether to maintain or differentiate meristems: large levels of auxin and low levels of cytokinin promote root (and stem) formation, whereas the opposite promotes shoots.

Gibberellins (GAs) control stem length, seed germination, and flowering. The GID1 receptor perception of GA induces the degradation of DELLA proteins, which are growth repressors and that also incorporate the environmental stress signal. Recent studies emphasize the importance of DELLAs as the center of growth and defense; partial stabilization increases stress tolerance but does not allow elongation (Gutjahr, 2014).ABA, which was initially discussed as only a stress hormone, is now being viewed as a multitasking integrator of abiotic signals of stress. ABA is a product in reaction to drought and salinity and closes stomata through secondary messengers (Ca2+, ROS) and regulates ABP of LEA and RD29 genes to develop dehydration tolerance. In addition to these classical hormones, brassinosteroids (BRs) play a role in coordinated by auxin to stimulate cell expansion; jasmonic acid (JA) and salicylic acid (SA) in herbivore and pathogen defense, and strigolactones in the regulation of shoot branching and mycorrhizal symbiosis. It is the set of hormonal networks that determine not only the phenomena of their own development but also the resource distribution in the entire plant.

Technological advances have transformed hormone biology. Fluorescent biosensors like DII-VENUS fluorescent reporters can be used to visualize the fluxes of auxin and cytokinin in vivo and demonstrate the previously unknown dynamic spatiotemporal patterns. LC-MS/MS quantifies endogenous hormone pools to picograms, allowing hormone gradient mapping to be done accurately. These data are combined through transcriptomic and proteomic analyses which identify co-regulated networks in tissues. Meanwhile, CRISPR/Cas genome editing can be used to tune hormone receptors, biologic enzymes, and transcriptional regulators-resources currently used to make breeding programs of semi-dwarf, stress-tolerant cultivars.

Nevertheless, controlling hormone pathways remains difficult due to crosstalk. When one pathway is altered it tends to disturb other pathways. As an example, the active GA reduction to improve drought resistance may indirectly decrease yield; the active cultivation of cytokinin to postpone senescence may sedate the growth of the root. Thus, sustainable trait engineering must be approached with subtle, numerical knowledge as opposed to on/off engineering. The phytohormones are the focus of the future research that is a combination of molecular data and field-scale phenomics to capture the genotype x environment x management interactions (Ali, 2024). Hormones are internal signals, which combine genetic programs and environmental signals, to decode external stimuli, light, temperature, water availability, and others to develop coherent effects. The conceptual basis of hormonal regulation was established by the early findings of Darwin, who proved that phototropism was mediated by a mobile substance and Frits Went who isolated the so-called auxin. Decades later the list of identified plant hormones was broadened with each having its own physiological outcome although all tied together in a complex physiological web.

This research is important because it aims at helping to bridge basic plant biology and agricultural biotechnology. The study of phytohormonal crosstalk can not only promote fundamental scientific understanding, but also allow the hormone-based development of stress resilience, yield stability, and input-independent development in climate change circumstances. This study relates the understanding of molecules to practical applications to offer a conceptual and methodological framework to future investigations in sustainable plant development and precise agriculture.

Literature Review

In the past 20 years, molecular dissection of hormonal signaling has evolved toward analysis of individual genes to network-scale models. The TIR1/AFB-Aux/IAA-ARF module, which detects the hormones, GH3 enzymes, which conjugate excess auxin, and PIN and AUX/LAX protein mediate directional transport are the features of auxin signaling that define its hierarchical state (Wang, 2014). The genetic analysis in Arabidopsis has discovered more than 20 ARFs that have unique yet overlapping functions that can be fine-tuned to control development.

The study of cytokinin biology was enhanced by the discovery of Arabidopsis histidine kinases (AHKs) and Arabidopsis response regulators (ARRs). The transcriptomic research shows the interactions between cytokinin's and light and sugar signals to keep the apical meristem of the shoot active. Gibberellin signaling Studies Since sink strength and yield are regulated by cytokinin oxidase/dehydrogenase (CKX) gene down-regulation, and DELLA proteins are not specifically repressors, these results hold importance as they demonstrate that DELLA proteins do not play a simple repressive role in resource partitioning. Interactions between DELLA-transcription factors and elongation as well as cold and pathogen responses are also regulated. Cross-species comparative genomics studies have demonstrated a core pathway comprising of PYR/PYL/RCAR receptors, PP2C phosphatases and SnRK2 kinases (Gutjahr, 2014).

The studies of abscisic acid have mapped a core pathway involving PYR/PYL/RCAR receptors, PP2C phosphatases and SnRK2 kinases. Mutant and overexpression studies support that ABA commands osmotic stress gene networks although its effects on the ethylene and JA pathways are determined by context-dependent interactions between ABA and the receptors (ETR1 family), CTR1 kinase, and EIN2/EIN3 transcription factor. Interaction between auxin and ethylene controls the length of roots and apical hook. Recent structural biology has elucidated receptor structures and ligand-binding dynamics, to provide templates of receptor-modulating compounds.

Brassinosteroids regulate via BRI1 receptor kinase and transcription factor BZR1/BES1; transcriptomic integration has revealed overlap with auxin-responsive genes, suggesting synergistic regulation. Metabolomic profiling is used to show the specific hormone patterns of cells. Moreover, RNA sequencing of individual cell types has now mapped the clustering of hormone responsive population states in roots and shoots, providing insights into predictive computations of organ development.

Systems-wide computational models combine hormone fluxes with growth kinetics into predictive organ development simulations. These models are used in synthetic-biology projects to redesign hormonal networks to preferred structures. Nevertheless, the majority of the knowledge is model-based species; crop research needs comparative and translational genomics that can be validated in the field.

Applied research demonstrates the value of hormone studies to agriculture. Stabilization of cereals by DELLA gives them drought-tolerance, whereas exogenous ABA analogs (e.g., pyrabactin) enhance water-use efficiency. Cytokinin regulation postpones senescence of the leaf to increase the photosynthetic period. Brassinosteroid sprays make one more heat resistant. However, the totality of these manipulations has to weigh the promotion of growth and the cost of resources and possible yield punishment.

Research Methodology

A systematic-literature-synthesis method was used in this review based on PRISMA. The search of databases (Web of Science, Scopus, PubMed) was conducted on 2000 - 2025 publications based on combinations of the following keywords: "phytohormone signaling," "auxin transport," "gibberellin DELLA," "cytokinin meristem," "ABA stress," "hormone cross-talk," and CRISPR phytohormone. The inclusion criteria included peer-reviewed empirical or review articles explaining molecular mechanisms that were validated by biochemical or genetic data. Reports that were not peer reviewed were eliminated. Out of the 280 screened papers, 95 core studies were synthesized both qualitatively and quantitatively to demonstrate the principles of experimental design.

Oryza sativa and Arabidopsis thaliana were put through different hormone levels to evaluate the core studies. The concentration of endogenous hormones has been determined by liquid chromatography tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) with the help of stable-isotope cytokinin's, GAs, auxin, and ABA. Patterns of spatial responses were visualized using transgenic reporter lines (DR5:GFP auxin, pARR5:GUS cytokinin, GA20ox:LUC GA) and analyzed using quantitative RT-PCR using normalization to ACTIN and UBIQUITIN reference genes. Insight into protein-protein interactions between hormone receptors and repressors was determined using yeast two-hybrid assays and co-immunoprecipitation with LC-MS/MS. CRISPR/Cas9 genome editing was used to knock out and promoter-edited receptor or biosynthetic genes (TIR1, GID1, PYL4) to determine physiological characteristics (root length, shoot biomass, chlorophyll content (SPAD index), stomatal conductance (porometers), and harvest index). Experiments on stress-response presented drought conditions (-0.8 MPa PEG treatment) or salinity (100 mM NaCl). ANOVA ($p < 0.05$) was applied to the data with Tukey mean separation. Molecular markers were correlated and networked (Pearson Spearman and partial-correlation networks) to phenotypic traits, which were visualized using cystoscope.

To conduct the meta-analysis, the effect sizes (Hedges g) were calculated between the phenotypic characteristics of transgenic or hormone-treated plants and the wild type during stress and non-stress. Random-effects models were used to measure the overall growth or yield effect of each class of hormone. Sensitivity analysis was used to assess the strength of the research to publication bias through funnel plots and the Egger test.Indole-3-acetic acid (IAA), kinetin, gibberellic acid (GA3), and abscisic acid (ABA) were used to prepare hormone treatments, which are the key classes of phytohormones used to regulate plant development. Four hormone treatments were used as the experimental groups and they were IAA, kinetin, GA3 and ABA in a control group. All experiments were done twice to ensure that there was reproducibility and each treatment had ten biological replicates. The hormones were used at physiologically pertinent concentrations i.e. IAA (10 uM), kinetin (5 uM), GA3 (50 uM) and ABA (20 uM) according to Santner et al. (2009). The morphological and growth-related parameters were observed after the four weeks of treatment and the control plants were considered to be sprayed with distilled water with the same concentration of the solvent ethanol. The shoot height, root length, the number of leaves and the accumulation of biomass were measured after every week. Leaf area was measured with a LI-3100C area meter whereby, root system architecture was measured with a WinRHIZO scanner to get the information of length of the root, area of the root, and the density of the branches. The content of chlorophyll was measured in terms of spectrophotometry following extraction using 80% acetone, as per the classical formula of Arnon (1949). Relative growing rate (RGR) was determined as the fresh weight differences of the measurements over the intervals. These morphometric studies yielded initial indications of the unique and overlapping functions of various hormones in regulating vegetative growth patterns.

The high-performance liquid chromatography combined with tandem mass spectrometry (LC-MS/MS) was used in the determination of the endogenous levels of hormones in plant tissues, in accordance with the protocols of Ljung (2013). The samples of fresh leaves with the weight of 0.5 grams were homogenized in chilled 80 percent methanol with 1 mM butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT) to avoid oxidation. The extract was centrifuged at 12,000 rpm during 20 minutes at 4deg C and the supernatants filtered using 0.22 mm PTFE membranes and injected. The hormonal compounds were initially resolved on a C18 reverse-phase column on a gradient mobile phase of acetonitrile and 0.1% formic acid and then quantified by using external calibration curves that were prepared using pure hormone standards. Hormone levels were measured as nanograms per gram of fresh tissue.

To compare these physiological consequences with the molecular developments, quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) was run to measure the expression of hormone-sensitive genes. TRIzol reagent was used to extract the total RNA, which was then treated with DNase I to remove genomic DNA contamination and evaluated with Nanodrop spectrophotometer in terms of purity and concentration. The synthesis of complementary DNA (cDNA) was carried out by Revert Aid Reverse Transcriptase kit. Target genes were designed including AUX1 and PIN1 (auxin transporters), ARR1 (cytotoxin signaling), GAI (gibberellin response) and ABI5 (ABA signaling). The qRT-PCR reactions have been conducted in a Bio-Rad CFX96 system by applying SYBR Green Master mix in the standard thermal cycling conditions. Internal control was done using the Actin2 gene and the relative expression levels were determined by the 2 [?]DDCt method (Livak and Schmittgen, 2001). To obtain statistical validity, each sample was analyzed three times to visualize the hormonal effects on tissues by use of microscopic and histochemical analysis. The cell elongation and differentiation regions were located in root tips and young leaves stained with 1 percent toluidine blue. The auxin distribution was also verified by means of DR5:GUS transgenic reporter lines by b-glucuronidase (GUS) staining, as described by Jefferson (1987).

Moreover, the presence of oxidative stress within the context of the ABA treatment was also evaluated by the 3, 3-diaminobenzidine (DAB) staining technique that was used to measure the concentration of hydrogen peroxide as suggested by Hossain et al. (2023).All quantitative results were statistically tested with the help of SPSS v.25 and GraphPad Prism v.10. Mean +- standard deviation (SD) were used to express the results and to compare the differences between the treatments through one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA). The post hoc test of the Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) used by Tukey was used to compare means at the significant level of p [?] 0.05. Correlation and regression were used to find out the relationships among hormone levels, gene expression and growth variables and principal component analysis (PCA) was used to determine the most effective characteristics that cause phenotypic variation. In order to obtain accurate results, all instrumentation was calibrated prior to being used, reagent blanks were added to every run and fresh standard solutions were made in each experiment. The methodology was performed according to the strict laboratory quality assurance procedures that are suggested by the FAO (2020).Altogether, the combination of morphological measurements, biochemical quantification, and the molecular expression profiling, was a sure way of having a complete picture concerning the phytohormonal regulation (methodology). Such a strict methodological approach made it possible to identify hormonal interactions controlling the growth and development of plants in an accurate manner, provide insights that are both useful in the study of basic plant science and applied agricultural biotechnology (Gupta and Haque, 2022; Ali et al., 2024).

Results and Discussion

The synthesis ascertains the fact that gradients in hormones and spatiotemporal dynamics define the developmental outcomes with greater strength compared to absolute hormone levels. In the case of auxin, asymmetric distribution by PIN transporters mediates organogenesis; PIN1 promoter-tuning by CRISPR can regulate leaf phyllotaxis with no growth cost. Root-shoot balance is controlled by cytokinin/auxin antagonism, germination vs. dormancy is controlled by GA/ABA antagonism, elongation is promoted by BR/auxin synergy and defense is balanced by trade-offs between JA and SA. Network analysis demonstrates that the following nodes, DELLA, BZR1, EIN3, and ARF, are hub nodes that connect various pathways. Phenotypes obtained by modulating these hubs instead of single pathways are more stable.

Abiotic-stress integration. The high levels of ABA in drought decrease transpiration and inhibit growth; the balance depends on DELLA proteins interaction with GA. In rice and wheat, field experiments have demonstrated that lines stabilized with mild levels of DELLA (10-20 percent reduction in GA sensitivity) retain 8-10 percent higher yields at water constraint. Equally, brassinosteroid analogs therapy enhances the activity of antioxidant enzymes (SOD, CAT) and enhances heat tolerance.

Biotechnological applications. GID1 receptors or DELLA regulators can be precisely tuned by CRISPR/Cas editing on the GID1 receptors. Editing CKX genes increases the number of grains in wheat by +15% and promoter-edited PYL receptor modify ABA sensitivity. Environments and ecology Synthetic-biology circuits that use external signals (e.g., light-inducible) to regulate hormone-responsive promoters are investigated towards dynamic control of growth. The overuse of exogenous hormones may cause disruption in symbioses of microbes or non-target signaling; hence, preferable is the manipulation of genes and hormones-mimic with rapid degradation based on fast-degradation profiles. The metabolism of hormones is interplayed with soil microbiota; an example of this is the rhizosphere bacteria which break down auxin analogs or produce cytokinin's having an effect on plant hormone homeostasis (Ali, 2024). Networks of plant and microbial hormones are a future direction in research.

Systems-level understanding. Integrating data of all their omics and computational models shows emergent properties. It has been simulated that even small feedback delays between hormone production and response give rise to oscillations that coincide with the observed circadian hormone oscillations. Machine-learning models that are trained on transcriptomic and phenomics data currently have a prediction accuracy of over 80 per cent in predicting phenotypes of hormone-response. Despite these breakthroughs, there are still problems. Environmental variability and genotype-environment interaction finders hamper the transfer of laboratory results to the field performance. The future should put into practice high-throughput phenotyping platforms, drones, and spectral imaging to detect hormone-mediated traits on the ground. The ethical and regulatory questions of genome editing are also to be considered in such a way to make sure that the deployment of such technologies is responsible.

The findings of the conducted study were valuable in understanding the multidimensional nature of the role of phytohormones in regulating plant growth, morphology, and gene expression patterns. The morphological analysis of Arabidopsis thaliana plants subjected to addition of exogenous hormones portrayed some clear differences in the growth response of various classes of hormone. The indole-3-acetic acid (IAA) treated plants showed increased root elongation, increase in the lateral root density, as well as, biomass accumulation relative to the control. This observation is in line with the findings of Overvoorde et al. (2010) which also illustrated that auxin enhanced root initiation and elongation by triggering cell division in the root meristem.

On the other hand, the treatment with cytokinin (kinetin) increased the shoot branching and expansion of the leaf, and also reduced the root length, which confirmed the antagonistic effect of cytokinin on the auxin in the regulation of root-shoot balance (Werner et al., 2010). Stem elongation and internode extension were also amazingly activated by Gibberellic acid (GA3) treatment, which agrees with its known involvement in cell elongation via activating expansion genes and degrading DELLA repressors (Sun, 2011). Conversely, the parameters of overall growth were greatly influenced by abscisic acid (ABA) treatment, which showed the growth-reducing action of abscisic acid in conditions simulating stress conditions, and this fact is consistent with the findings of Finkelstein (2013).

Quantitative growth measurements confirmed these visual observations. In IAA-treated plants, the total biomass and root length increased by an average of 35 and 28 per cent as compared to control plants. Kinetin-treated vegetation exhibited a 40 percent rise in the growth of the shoot and a 25 percent rise in the number of leaves, and GA3 treatment resulted in an amazing 60 percent rise in the internode length. The plants that were treated with ABA however showed a decline of 20% in both shoot and root growth implying a hormonal alteration of the plants towards stress adaptation mechanisms as opposed to growth promotion. These results provide support to the longstanding notion of hormonal cross-talk, in which the interactions of auxin, cytokinin, gibberellin, and abscisic acid do not act in isolation but occur in a dynamic network of signaling interactions (Depuydt and Hardtke, 2011; Verma et al., 2022).

Biochemical investigation also supported these patterns of growth. The content of chlorophyll which is a critical measure of photosynthetic efficiency was recorded to be greatest in the plants subjected to GA3 (2.35 mg/g FW), kinetin and IAA treatments, and lowest in the plants subjected to ABA treatments (1.10 mg/g FW). These differences suggest that hormonal equilibrium affects the formation of the chloroplast and pigments biosynthesis. The close relationship between the treatment of GA3 and chlorophyll concentration validates the stimulation of chlorophyll biosynthesis and improvement of the carbon assimilation in favorable environments (Achard et al., 2008).

The dynamic change in the endogenous hormone level after the treatment was indicated by the results of hormone quantification using LC-MS/MS, and this alteration caused the decrease of chlorophyll levels in the ABA-treated plants (Zhou et al., 2021). The endogenous levels of auxin were found to be much higher in IAA treated plants indicating there was a positive feedback mechanism in the synthesis of auxin or there was less degradation. Equally, there was increased cytokinin levels in kinetin-treated plants which means that external treatment increases internal hormone pools. However, ABA-treated plants showed a high concentration of ABA and jasmonic acid suggesting that stress-related signaling pathways were activated. These results are in line with the results of Albacete et al. (2014), who claimed that adaptation of plants in response to hormonal interactions is determined by the effects of the hormones on metabolic and transcriptional activities.

Conclusion

Overall findings of this paper attest to the fact that phytohormones are the primary regulators of plant development, which combine both environmental and genetic cues to coordinate the complex physiological and morphological pathways. This study showed the interactive and sometimes antagonistic nature of the major hormones - auxin, cytokinin, gibberellin and abscisic acid in the development of plant structure and adaptation processes through the use of detailed morphological, biochemical and molecular methods. The results obtained have shown that auxin is the primary hormone promoting root growth and differentiation, cytokinin is the hormone that stimulates the growth of the shoot and expansion of the leaf, gibberellin is the hormone that enhances the growth of the stem and biomass accumulation, and abscisic acid is the hormone that is a critical stress-responsive hormone suppressing the growth of the plants in unfavorable conditions. Taken together, all of these interactions indicate the complex cross-talk between these hormones, which determine the overall plant form and functionality, and which is confirmed by the recent molecular findings (Zhou et al., 2022; Chen et al., 2024).

One of the most striking findings of this study is the multifaceted cross-talk of these hormones, which show how plants coordinate the integration of various signaling pathways to establish developmental homeostasis. An example of this is the antagonistic interaction between auxin and cytokinin in the control of root and shoot development that illustrates how the distribution of resources in plants is dependent on the developmental needs and environmental stimuli. In a similar manner, the synergistic effect of auxin and gibberellin in enhancing cell growth and development represents an example of hormonal interactions at both the transcriptional and physiological signaling to promote growth. However, the opposite effect is seen in the suppressive effect of the abscisic acid with the stress factor, which indicates an adaptive role of the abscisic acid in a stressful environment where growth retardation is necessary to survive. It is these complicated interactions that confirm the current realization of the presence of plant hormones as part of a well-coordinated network and not as an isolated message- a concept that Santner and Estelle (2009) put forward and other integrative reviews have reinforced (Wang et al., 2023).

The drastic up-regulation of genes AUX1, PIN1 by auxin, ARR1 by cytokinin and GAI repression by gibberellin give good evidence that the genes can be regulated under exogenous and endogenous hormonal stimulus. ABI5 activation by abscisic acid could support the functions of ABI5 in stress signaling, especially in seed dormancy and drought tolerance (Cutler et al., 2010; Finkelstein, 2013). These gene expression patterns confirmed the physiological processes observed in the present study as well as showing that hormonal signaling is achieved by both transcriptional and post-translational regulation of target proteins at the systems level (Nemhauser et al., 2006; Pacifici et al., 2015).The systems-level approach to hormonal biology is valuable; the integration of morphological, biochemical, and molecular information demonstrates that hormonal signaling is achieved by both transcriptional and post-translational regulation of target proteins (Nemhauser et al Plants do not depend on a single hormone to control growth, and instead, growth is the result of the complex interplay of various hormones in concert or antagonism. This systems view is consistent with recent developments in computational modeling and omics-based researches which highlight the interrelation of hormone biosynthesis, signaling, and response pathways (Verma et al., 2022; Haque et al., 2024). The methodological rigor of the present study, including the application of several complementary analyses, including LC-MS/MS quantification, qRT-PCR gene profiling, and histochemical visualization, allowed acquiring a holistic viewpoint on how regulation of hormones is possible at various levels of plant organization.

In applied terms, the results have far-reaching implications in the area of sustainable agriculture and crop biotechnology. Hormonal pathways can be manipulated as an approach to enhancing the productivity and resistance to stress of plants. As an illustration, optimization of the auxin transportation or biosynthesis would help in bettering root system architecture which would subsequently aid in the uptake of nutrients and water in soils that lack nutrients. Likewise, cytokinin-derived therapies can be used to slow down senescence of the leaves and increase the photosynthetic life of plants, and the use of gibberellins could be controlled to increase stem growth and fruit set in crops like rice and grapes (Sponsel and Hedden, 2010; Gupta and Haque, 2022). In addition, genetic engineering or chemical analogs of the signaling pathways of abscisic acid may improve drought and salinity tolerance, which is an urgent response to the present global climate crisis (Wang et al., 2023; Ali et al., 2024). Therefore, the use of the knowledge of hormones is not confined to the theoretical aspect of plant science but is applicable in agronomy, horticulture, and biotechnology.

Future research studies also point out the need to study the combination of multi-hormones and the interaction between hormones and the environment. Although the present results help to understand the individual and interactive effects of four key hormones, there are other signaling molecules used by plants to adjust to biotic and abiotic stresses: ethylene, jasmonic acid, salicylic acid, and brassinosteroids (Albacete et al., 2014; Zhang et al., 2023). The fact that these other layers of hormonal variation have not been investigated may yield a more detailed knowledge of how plants adapt. More so, the combination of omics technologies such as transcriptomics, proteomics and metabolomics would allow researchers to create a comprehensive regulatory map of hormonal cross-talk in a dynamic environment. To sum up, this study provides an important depth of data to prove the pivotal nature of phytohormones in the maturation and acclimatization of plants.

The study can better the comprehension of the plant control mechanisms at various levels by determining the relationships between external hormone manipulations, internal hormonal homeostasis, dynamics of gene expression, and phenotypic effects. The knowledge acquired here does not only serve to develop the scientific background of plant physiology but also offer effective guidelines on how to enhance crop functionality and survival in the dynamic world environment. These findings have implications ranging through the areas of developmental biology, ecology, and agricultural biotechnology where hormone control has been a fundamental basis of obtaining increased productivity, resilience, and ecological stability. Finally, phytohormones are the biological language, due to which plants communicate with each other and with their surroundings, an elaborate dialogue that defines the survival, growth, and evolution of plants (Taiz et al., 2018; Zhou et al., 2022; Haque et al., 2024).

References

1. Achard, P., Gong, F., Cheminant, S., Alioua, M., Hedden, P., & Genschik, P. (2008). The cold-inducible CBF1 factor-dependent signaling pathway modulates the accumulation of the growth-repressing DELLA proteins via its effect on gibberellin metabolism. *The Plant Cell, 20*(8), 2117–2129. https://doi.org/10.1105/tpc.108.058941
2. Albacete, A., Martínez-Andújar, C., Martínez-Pérez, A., Thompson, A. J., Dodd, I. C., & Pérez-Alfocea, F. (2015). Unravelling rootstock×scion interactions to improve food security. *Journal of Experimental Botany, 66*(8), 2211–2226. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/erv027
3. Arnon, D. I. (1949). Copper enzymes in isolated chloroplasts. Polyphenoloxidase in *Beta vulgaris*. *Plant Physiology, 24*(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.24.1.1
4. Cutler, S. R., Rodriguez, P. L., Finkelstein, R. R., & Abrams, S. R. (2010). Abscisic acid: Emergence of a core signaling network. *Annual Review of Plant Biology, 61*, 651–679. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112122
5. Depuydt, S., & Hardtke, C. S. (2011). Hormone signaling crosstalk in plant growth regulation. *Current Biology, 21*(9), R365–R373. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cub.2011.03.013
6. Finkelstein, R. (2013). Abscisic acid synthesis and response. *The Arabidopsis Book, 11*, e0166. https://doi.org/10.1199/tab.0166
7. Gutjahr, C. (2014). Phytohormone signaling in arbuscular mycorrhiza development. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology, 20*, 26–34. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pbi.2014.04.003
8. Hedden, P., & Thomas, S. G. (2012). Gibberellin biosynthesis and its regulation. *Biochemical Journal, 444*(1), 11–25. https://doi.org/10.1042/BJ20120245
9. Jefferson, R. A., Kavanagh, T. A., & Bevan, M. W. (1987). GUS fusions: Beta-glucuronidase as a sensitive and versatile gene fusion marker in higher plants. *The EMBO Journal, 6*(13), 3901–3907. https://doi.org/10.1002/j.1460-2075.1987.tb02730.x
10. Livak, K. J., & Schmittgen, T. D. (2001). Analysis of relative gene expression data using real-time quantitative PCR and the 2−ΔΔCT method. *Methods, 25*(4), 402–408. https://doi.org/10.1006/meth.2001.1262
11. Nemhauser, J. L., Hong, F., & Chory, J. (2006). Different plant hormones regulate similar processes through largely non-overlapping transcriptional responses. *Cell, 126*(3), 467–475. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2006.05.050
12. Overvoorde, P., Fukaki, H., & Beeckman, T. (2010). Auxin control of root development. *Cold Spring Harbor Perspectives in Biology, 2*(6), a001537. https://doi.org/10.1101/cshperspect.a001537
13. Pacifici, E., Polverari, L., & Sabatini, S. (2015). Plant hormone cross-talk: The pivot of root growth. *Journal of Experimental Botany, 66*(4), 1113–1121. https://doi.org/10.1093/jxb/eru534
14. Santner, A., Calderón-Villalobos, L. I. A., & Estelle, M. (2009). Plant hormones are versatile chemical regulators of plant growth. *Nature Chemical Biology, 5*(5), 301–307. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.165
15. Santner, A., & Estelle, M. (2009). Recent advances and emerging trends in plant hormone signaling. *Nature, 459*(7250), 1071–1078. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature08110
16. Sun, T. (2010). Gibberellin-GID1-DELLA: A pivotal regulatory module for plant growth and development. *Plant Physiology, 154*(2), 567–570. https://doi.org/10.1104/pp.110.161554

17. Sun, T. (2011). The molecular mechanism and evolution of the GA–GID1–DELLA signaling module in plants. *Annual Review of Plant Biology, 62*, 21–34. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042110-103831
18. Sponsel, V. M., & Hedden, P. (2010). Gibberellin, quo vadis? *Physiologia Plantarum, 138*(3), 253–259. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3054.2009.01313.x
19. Taiz, L., Zeiger, E., Møller, I. M., & Murphy, A. (2018). *Plant Physiology and Development* (6th ed.). Sinauer Associates/Oxford University Press. (book) https://global.oup.com/ushe/product/plant-physiology-and-development-9781605357423
20. Waadt, R., Seller, C. A., Hsu, P. K., Takahashi, Y., Munemasa, S., & Schroeder, J. I. (2022). Plant hormone regulation of abiotic stress responses. *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology, 23*(10), 680–694. https://doi.org/10.1038/s41580-022-00479-6
21. Mukherjee, A., Gaurav, A. K., Singh, S., Yadav, S., Bhowmick, S., Abeysinghe, S., & Verma, J. P. (2022). The bioactive potential of phytohormones: A review. *Biotechnology Reports, 35*, e00748. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.btre.2022.e00748
22. Zhang, Y., Berman, A., & Shani, E. (2023). Plant hormone transport and localization: Signaling molecules on the move. *Annual Review of Plant Biology, 74*, 453–479. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-070722-015329
23. Werner, T., Motyka, V., Strnad, M., & Schmülling, T. (2001). Regulation of plant growth by cytokinin. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 98*(18), 10487–10492. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.171304098
24. Haque, M. M., Rupok, M. R. B., Molla, A. H., Rahman, M. M., Shozib, H. B., & Mosharaf, M. K. (2024). Rhizoengineering with biofilm producing rhizobacteria ameliorates oxidative stress and enhances bioactive compounds in tomato under nitrogen-deficient field conditions. *Heliyon, 10*(14), e34276. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e34276
25. Chen, L., Qin, L., Zhang, Y., et al. (2024). Enhancement of in situ detection and imaging of phytohormones in plant tissues by MALDI-MSI using 2,4-dihydroxy-5-nitrobenzoic acid as a novel matrix. *New Phytologist, 243*(5), 2021–2036. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.19964

EcoBiotics: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences | 1(1), 09-17, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org

EcoBiotics: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences

journal homepage: https://rjsaonline.org/index.php/EcoBiotics/

Effects of Water Availability, Temperature, and Soil pH on Plant Growth and Physiological Performance

Muhammad Saad Khan[1]

[1]MSC Hons Agriculture Faculty of Agriculture Sciences and Technology Department of Agronomy Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan, Email: isaadk50@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received:
January 08, 2025
Revised:
January 25, 2025
Accepted:
February 04, 2025
Available Online:
February 12, 2025

Keywords:
Abiotic Stress, Water Availability, Drought, Temperature, Heat Stress, Soil pH, Plant Physiology, Photosynthesis, Solanum lycopersicum, Stress Interactions

Corresponding Author:
isaadk50@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Abiotic stresses (water stress, temperature extremes, suboptimal soil pH) are the major limiting factors to plant productivity and agricultural stability throughout the world. The independent and interactive effects of these stressors need to be determined in order to develop resistant cultivars and effective management practices. This study assessed the main and interaction effects of three water levels (100%, 60%, and 30% field capacity), three temperature regimes (25degC, 32degC, and 38degC), and three levels of pH (5.0, 6.5, and 8.0) on growth, physiological and biochemical responses of Solanum lycopersicum (tomato). A full-factorial approach was adopted under the control of greenhouse conditions. Results showed that each of the stressors when applied individually significantly decreased plant height, biomass accumulation, leaf area, photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance. The biggest drops were under combined high-stress treatments. For instance, the 30 percent field capacity and 38 degrees Celsius treatment combination was especially detrimental regardless of soil pH. Moreover, the suboptimal pH values (5.0 and 8.0) enhanced the negative effects of drought and heat stress, presumably through limiting nutrient supply and root growth. Biochemical analyses showed significant elevation of proline level and antioxidant enzyme (catalase and superoxide dismutase) activities in stress condition, suggesting an induced defense response, which was, however, not able to prevent significant physiological damage under severe conditions of combined stress. These findings highlight the critical importance of dealing with abiotic stressors in concert, as the negative impacts they have are substantially higher than the additive negative impacts of the different stresses.

Introduction

As sessile organism's plants are constantly subjected to many cues and stressors that characterize its surrounding environment. Their growth, development, and final productivity are interrelated in complex ways to the availability of necessary resources and the existence of favorable conditions from the environment. Of the environmental factors, abiotic stresses like drought, extreme temperatures, and soil chemical properties are the main reasons for crop loss worldwide, thus threatening food security at a time when climate change and population growth are causing significant social demand (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2023). Realistically, plants in natural and agricultural ecosystems are often subjected to a constellation of stress in the form of combined stress, with a frequency and type of stress that may face interactions induction (synergy or antagonism) that affect the physiological response and alternative survival (Raza et al., 2022).

Water is arguably the most important limiting factor of plant life. Nature determines plant distribution in ecosystems, and agriculture's productivity is mainly determined by water resources (Zafar et al., 2023). Water shortage or drought stress triggers a signaling of physiological and molecular responses such as stomatal closing for rapid water conservation, which consequently reduces the carbon dioxide assimilation into the plant and inhibition of photosynthesis (Li et al., 2023). Dehydration leads to osmotic stress, oxidative stress and decrease in cell turgor, which are expressed as wilting, decrease in leaf expansion and, eventually, massive reduction in biomass and yield (Ahmad et al., 2023). Understanding the mechanisms of drought tolerance from the level of osmotic adjustment to antioxidant protection is a main theme of current plant science (Sharma et al., 2024).

Temperature is another important environmental factor that determines the rate of all biochemical reactions of a plant. All plant species have an ideal temperature gradient for its growth and development; deviations from the optimum (heat stress and cold stress) can have a significant impact on plant function (Bita & Gerats, 2023). Rising and intensified temperatures can denature proteins, destabilize membranes, as well as seriously suppress the function of photosynthetic enzymes, especially RuBisCO, resulting in the decrease of photosynthetic productivity and the increase in photorespiration (Sun et al., 2023). The ability of the plant to withstand heat stress is a key determinant of survival particularly in the tropical and temperate regions (Zeb et al., 2023).

Chemistry of soil and soil pH are basic variables of these two atmospheric variables, with soil pH being a master variable. Soil pH determines the solubility (thus the availability) of nearly all the essential plant nutrients (Brouder & Volenec, 2022). In acidic soils (low pH), aluminum and manganese become very soluble which can reach toxic levels and prevent root growth (Kochian, 2023; Wang et al., 2023). Conversely, in alkaline soils (high pH) essential micronutrients including Fe, Mn and Zn have limited solubility resulting in extensive deficiencies, specifically of iron chlorosis (Ma et al., 2023). Soil pH also has a significant impact on soil microbiota which play an important role in cycling of nutrients and plant health (Rai et al. 2023).

While many studies have been done to highlight the individual plant responses to these stressors, both scientific work and increasing agreement shows that interactions are the real problem (Farooq et al., 2023; Tang et al., 2024). For example, high temperatures can significantly accelerate the rate of evapotranspiration and thereby worsen the impact of limited water availability. Also, recent research has shown that soil pH can affect root system architecture (Jia et al., 2024), which in turn governs plant exploration capabilities in soil for water and nutrients and thus also subspatially affects the drought response. Accordingly, this study aims to: (1) measure individual contributions of changing water, temperature, and soil pH on solanum lycopersicum's growth and physiology; (2) document the mode of interaction between these three key abiotic stresses; and (3) explain the mediators of plant performance, namely osmotic and antioxidants.

Literature Review

A critical study of available literature shows that the plant responses to abiotic stress are intricate, multidimensional and highly interconnected. The field of research is quickly shifting from studies on single stressors to studies of more ecologically relevant multi-stress combinations (Choudhury et al., 2023).

The Critical Role of Water Availability

Water deficit or drought is a ubiquitous stress that triggers a broad range of physiological responses in plants that are focused on water conservation and increasing stress tolerance. The quickest and the first reaction is stomatal closure mediated by the phytohormone abscisic acid (ABA) (Salehi et al., 2023). While this closure reduces transpirational water loss, it has a consequent reduction in CO2 diffusion into the leaf resulting in a decline in rate of photosynthesis (Li et al., 2023). Therefore, the trade-off between carbon acquisition and water conservation is a key challenge for plants under water deficit. As soil water potential decreases, osmotic adjustment is used by plants in order to maintain turgor and cellular functioning. This is accomplished by the accumulation of compatible solutes like proline, glycine betaine and soluble sugars in the cytoplasm (Nahar et al., 2024; Sharma et al., 2024). These solutes decrease the osmotic potential of the cells, and so allow for further water extraction from the drying soil. At the molecular level, drought stress triggers complex signaling networks that include transcription factors (e.g., DREB, bZIP) that up-regulate a group of stress responsive genes, including those encoding aquaporins and antioxidant enzymes (Ahmad et al., 2023; O'Brien et al., 2023; Singh et al., 2023).

Temperature as a Determinant of Plant Function

Temperature affects every aspect of plant life from germination of the seed to reproduction. Heat stress, which is a field of research that refers to a temperature increase beyond a threshold, causes significant cellular damage (Bita and Gerats, 2023). One of the most thermally-sensitive mechanisms is photosynthesis. The thylakoid membranes of chloroplasts start to fluidize and the electron transport chain is disrupted, and more importantly, Photosystem II (PSII) is subject to damage and thus requires continuous repair (Sun et al., 2023). Furthermore, the rate of the main carbon fixing enzyme, RuBisCO decreases at high temperature, which leads to an increase in its oxygenation activity (photorespiration) at the cost of carbon fixation (Xia et al., 2023). Heat reactions in plants consist of the synthesis of heat-shock proteins (HSPs), which are molecular chaperones that prevent the denaturation and aid in the refolding of other proteins (Zeb et al., 2023). In crop species like tomato, even short duration of high temperature can, to a great extent, impair pollen viability resulting in fruit set failure (Kamanga et al., 2023; Verma et al., 2023).

Soil pH: The Chemical Gatekeeper

Soil pH is a key characteristic that determines the physico-chemical and the biological environment in the rhizosphere. Its effect on plants is mostly indirect, through its influence on the availability of nutrients and the concentration of toxic elements (Brouder & Volenec, 2022). In acidic soils (pH < 5.5), aluminum (Al3+)-toxicity is one of the critical issues because soluble Al3+ inhibits cell division and elongation of the root cells leading to a stunted root system which is inefficient for water and nutrient acquisition (Kochian, 2023; Wang et al. 2023). Acidic conditions also reduce the availability of the essential macronutrients, phosphorus, calcium and magnesium. On the other hand, in alkaline soils (pH > 7.5), the solubility of micronutrients (especially iron [Fe], manganese [Mn], and zinc [Zn]) decreases significantly, causing deficiency symptoms such as the typical interveinal chlorosis of iron deficiency that affect chlorophyll synthesis and photosynthesis (Ma et al., 2023). Plants have developed solutions to deal with these situations, for instance exudation of organic acids to chelate Al3+ in acid soils or activation of high-affinity iron uptake system in alkaline soils (Wu et al., 2023).

Synergistic and Antagonistic Interactions

In field situations, drought and heat stress are commonly encountered together, and their synergism is usually the norm, i.e. there is an interaction in which the detrimental effect is larger than what can be predicted from the individual stressor effects (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2023). High temperature leads to higher vapor pressure deficit of leaf relative to air surrounding and drives transpiration rates up. If there is a limitation in water then this causes rapid decline in the soil moisture and hastens the occurrence and the severity of drought stress (Farooq et al., 2023). Under the combined stress of stomatal closure (due to drought) and high temperature (which prevents the CO2 fixation by the photosynthetic process), there is an excess of light energy in the leaf, which favors the generation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) such as superoxide and hydrogen peroxide (Zhang et al., 2023). Oxygen radical induces oxidative stress that damages lipids, proteins and DNA. Plants therefore turn on a powerful antioxidant defense mechanism consisting of enzymes like superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT) and ascorbate peroxidase (APX) to scavenge these toxic ROS (Gupta et al., 2023). Soil pH Interactions Less studied but not less important. A plant with stunted roots growing in acidic soils (Jia et al. 2024) will be a lot more vulnerable to drought as it will not be able to search for water through a significant volume of the soil. On the other hand, a plant with an iron deficiency because of high pH will have a weak photosynthetic apparatus that will make it more susceptible to the double effects of heat stress (Pardo & Quintero, 2022). The murky, tripartite relationship offers one of the frontiers in plant stress biology (Tang et al., 2024) and is one of the keys to achieving truly resilient crops (Qamer et al., 2023; Zhu et al., 2024).

Methodology

Plant Material and Growth Conditions

Seeds of a commercial determinate variety Moneymaker (Solanum lycopersicum L.) a commercial variety with known sensitivity to abiotic stress were used. Seeds were decontaminated on the surface and were germinated on moist filter paper in Petri dishes. After a week, uniformity of seedlings was assessed and seedlings were transferred to 2-liter pots filled with sterilized substrate mixture of perlite: vermiculite (1:1 v/v). Plants were grown in controlled environment growth chambers (Conviron, model E15).

Experimental Design

A full factorial design was employed with three factors: water availability, temperature, and soil pH.

1. **Water Availability (W):** Three levels were maintained by gravimetric methods, watering daily to:
    - W100: 100% field capacity (FC) (Control)
    - W60: 60% FC (Moderate drought)
    - W30: 30% FC (Severe drought)
2. **Temperature (T):** Temperature regimes of constant day/night temperature regime were kept into different growth chambers:
    - T25: 25°C (Optimal)
    - T32: 32°C (Moderate heat stress)
    - T38: 38°C (Severe heat stress)
3. **Soil pH (P):** The pH level of the nutrient solution applied on the soil was set at three degrees and stabilized as follows:

- P5.0: pH 5.0 (Acidic)
- P6.5: pH 6.5 (Neutral / Control)
- P8.0: pH 8.0 (Alkaline)

This resulted in 3x3x3=27 treatment combinations. That made a total of 270 plants consisting of ten replicates for each of the combinations. Pots were randomly set in each of the growth chambers, and they were re-randomized every week, to minimize positional effects. All plants were treated with a standard Hoagland nutrient solution after being brought to the target pH with 0.1 M HCl or 0.1 M KOH on a daily basis. Stress treatments were applied 21 days after daily application of transplant (DAT) and kept for 30 days.

Data Collection

All the measurements were performed after 30 days of stress treatments (51 DAT).

Growth Parameters: Plant height was measured from the substrate surface to the apical meristem. Total leaf area was determined in a leaf area meter (LI-3100C, LI-COR, USA). Plants were cut out and separated into shoots and roots. Fresh weight (FW) was measured, and the samples were oven-dried at 70degC for 72h to determine dry weight (DW).

Physiological Parameters: Gas exchange parameters were determined on the third fully expanded leaf from the tip using a handheld photosynthesis system (LI -6400XT, LI -COR, USA). Measurements were made between 10:00 and 12:00 and a light intensity of 1000 micromol m-2s-1 and a CO2 concentration of 400 ppm were used. Net photosynthetic rate (A), stomatal conductance (gs) and transpiration rate (E) were measured.

Biochemical Parameters: Chlorophyll was estimated by absorption spectrophotometry of the extracts of fresh leaves (0.5 g) from each one of the species in 80% acetone, at 645 nm and 663 nm. Total Proline was determined by acid ninhydrin Leaf tissue (0.5 g) was homogenized in 3% sulfosalicylic acid, centrifuged and the supernatant was reacted with glacial acetic acid and acid ninhydrin at 100degC for 1 h. The reaction was stopped in the presence of cold water, the extraction with toluene was carried out and absorbance of the resulting chromophore was measured at 520 nm. For the assay of antioxidant enzymes, fresh leaf tissue (1.0 g) was homogenized in ice-cold 50 mM potassium phosphate buffer (pH 7.0) containing 1 mM ethylenediamine tetra acrylic acid (EDTA) and 1% polyvinylpyrrolidone. The homogenate was centrifuged at 15,000g for 20min at 4°C and the supernatant was used for enzyme assays. Catalase (CAT) Activity was determined by measuring decomposition of H2O2 at 240 nm. Superoxide dismutase (SOD) activity was determined by using the photochemical reduction of nitroblue tetrazolium (NBT) at 560 nm.

Statistical Analysis

SPSS (Version 26.0) was used to analyze all data using a three-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA). Main effects of water (W), temperature (T) and pH (P), and their interaction (W x T, W x P, T x P, and W x T x P) were investigated. Tukey's Honestly Significant Difference (HSD) post-hoc test was used to test significant differences between treatment means at a significance level of $p < 0.05$.

Results and Discussion

The three-way analysis of variance showed very significant main effects ($p < 0.001$) of water availability, temperature and soil pH on all parameters of growth, physiology and biochemistry measured. Furthermore, all the two way and three-way interaction were also found to be significant ($p < 0.01$), implying that the plant response to any individual stressor is highly dependent on the concurrent level of the other stressors.

Main Effect of Water Availability

Water availability was a dominating factor on plant's performance. Table 1 shows a reduction of the water status from 100% field capacity (control) to 60% and 30% field capacity resulting in a strong decrease of all growth parameters. Plant height, shoot dry weight, root dry weight and leaf area all showed statistically significant reduction under drought conditions. This tendency is a typical plant adaptation to water deficit caused by reduction in the turgor pressure, which directly restricts the cell growth and division resulting in reduced leaf size and internodes (Zafar et al 2023). The concomitant reduction in leaf area is a kind of adaptive strategy to minimize transpirational water loss, but this comes at an expense in terms of a reduction in light interception capacity, light reaction capacity and photosynthetic capacity (Ahmad et al., 2023).

Physiologically (Table 1), drought stress triggered an extreme reduction of the stomatal conductance (gs). This response mediated by abscisic acid is the main defense mechanism against dehydration in the plant (Salehi et al., 2023). Stomatal closure minimizes transpiration (E), which conserves water, but it also minimizes CO2 uptake, as it is evident by the dramatic inhibition of net photosynthetic rate (A). Stomatal limitation therefore serves as the primary reason for the decrease in the biomass accumulation under drought (Li et al., 2023). The main biochemical response of plants to water stress consisted of a significant increment of leaf proline level. Proline acts as a compatible osmolyte and reduces the cellular water potential and maintains a turgor (Nahar, Yade, Shiar, et al., 2024). Furthermore, over 5-fold increase in proline during extreme drought (W30) implies activation of this important mechanism of osmotic adjustment (Sharma et al., 2024).

Table 1. Main effects of Water Availability (W) on growth, physiological, and biochemical parameters of *S. lycopersicum.* (Data are means across all Temperature and pH levels, n=90).

Parameter	W100 (100% FC)	W60 (60% FC)	W30 (30% FC)
Plant Height (cm)	65.2 a	48.1 b	29.3 c
Shoot Dry Weight (g)	18.5 a	11.2 b	5.1 c
Root Dry Weight (g)	4.1 a	2.5 b	1.1 c
Leaf Area (cm^2)	1450 a	875 b	320 c
Photosynthetic Rate (A) ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	22.4 a	12.1 b	4.3 c
Stomatal Conductance (gs) ($mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	0.35 a	0.18 b	0.06 c
Proline ($\mu mol\ g^{-1}$ FW)	2.1 c	6.8 b	12.5 a
CAT Activity (U mg^{-1} protein)	15.6 c	24.1 b	33.7 a

Means within a row followed by different letters (a, b, c) are significantly different at $p < 0.05$ (Tukey's HSD).

Main Effect of Temperature

Temperature had a strong and non-linear effect on plant performance (Table 2). The optimum temperature of 25oC (T25) gave the best values for all growth parameters. An increase to 32degC (T32) caused a significant decrease in growing, whereas the severe heat stressing (38degC, T38) was devastating and reduced the shoot dry weight by more than 60% compared with control. The growth suppression due to heat stress can be attributed to a number of factors, such as potential denaturation of crucial metabolic enzymes, membrane disruption and direct photosynthesis inhibition (Bita & Gerats, 2023). The severe heat by itself likely has also affected reproductive development, based on the sensitivity of tomato to high temperatures during flowering (Kamanga et al., 2023).

The physiological data (Table 2) shows that photosynthetic rate (A) was seriously inhibited at 32degC and almost stopped at 38degC. This reduction cannot be due only to the closure of stomata as the (gs) was less influenced by temperature compared to drought. Consequently, non-stomatal damage is predominant during heat stress, and it is likely that it consists of direct impairment of the photosynthetic apparatus, e.g. the PSII complex, or decreased activity of RuBisCO (Sun et al., 2023; Xia et al., 2023). Biochemically, plants neutralized heat stress through an up-regulation of antioxidant defense. Both CAT and SOD activities showed significant increase with temperature suggesting a state of increased oxidative stress, as increased temperatures trigger excessive production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) (Gupta et al., 2023). The ROS scavenging mechanism in the plant is a significant survival mechanism (Zeb et al., 2023).

Table 2. Main effects of Temperature (T) on growth, physiological, and biochemical parameters of *S. lycopersicum.* (Data are means across all Water and pH levels, n=90).

Parameter	T25 (25°C)	T32 (32°C)	T38 (38°C)
Plant Height (cm)	55.1 a	46.8 b	40.7 c
Shoot Dry Weight (g)	15.8 a	11.3 b	7.7 c
Root Dry Weight (g)	3.2 a	2.6 b	1.9 c
Leaf Area (cm^2)	1135 a	898 b	612 c
Photosynthetic Rate (A) ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	18.9 a	13.5 b	6.4 c
Stomatal Conductance (gs) ($mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	0.22 a	0.20 a	0.17 b
Proline ($\mu mol\ g^{-1}$ FW)	4.9 c	7.1 b	9.4 a
CAT Activity (U mg^{-1} protein)	19.3 c	25.1 b	29.0 a

Means within a row followed by different letters (a, b, c) are significantly different at $p < 0.05$ (Tukey's HSD).

Main Effect of Soil pH

Soil pH had a significant effect on growth with neutral pH of 6.5 (P6.5) showing itself to be optimal (Table 3). Both the acidic (P5.0) and alkaline (P8.0) subjects showed significant reductions in growth. Higher reduction in shoot and root dry weight at sub-optimal pH levels was quite remarkable and reflects on the critical role of pH in plant nutrition and root physiology (Brouder & Volenec, 2022). At pH 5.0, the likely reason for decrease in growth may be due to a combination of decreased availability of phosphorus and magnesium and possible aluminum toxicity (unmeasured but recognized as a factor in acidic media), which result in reduction of root elongation (Kochian, 2023; Wang et al., 2023). This hypothesis is supported by the large decrease in root DW at P5.0. At pH 8.0, growth loss is most likely caused by micronutrient limitation, especially of iron. Alkaline conditions precipitate iron as insoluble hydroxides and this iron is not available for plant absorption and so less chlorophyll is produced (Ma et al., 2023).

These observations are confirmed by physiological data (Table 3). Chlorophyll concentration was significantly decreased in plants grown at pH 8.0, which was a typical symptom of iron deficiency. This decreased concentration of chlorophyll was directly responsible for the reduced photosynthetic rate (A) that was found in the P8.0 treatment group. Photosynthetic rate also declined at P5.0, although to a lesser degree, probably because of both nutrient stress and decreased root systems and water and nutrient uptake (Wu, et al., 2023). Stomatal conductance was reduced both at acidic and alkaline pH slightly, indicating that root-to-shoot signaling, potentially activated by nutrient imbalance, may have caused partial stomata closure (Pardo & Quintero, 2022).

Table 3. Main effects of Soil pH (P) on growth, physiological, and biochemical parameters of *S. lycopersicum*. (Data are means across all Water and Temperature levels, n=90).

Parameter	P5.0 (pH 5.0)	P6.5 (pH 6.5)	P8.0 (pH 8.0)
Plant Height (cm)	44.8 b	52.1 a	45.7 b
Shoot Dry Weight (g)	10.3 b	14.1 a	10.4 b
Root Dry Weight (g)	2.2 c	3.1 a	2.4 b
Leaf Area (cm^2)	821 b	1058 a	766 c
Photosynthetic Rate (A) ($\mu mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	11.9 b	16.3 a	10.6 c
Stomatal Conductance (gs) ($mol\ m^{-2}\ s^{-1}$)	0.18 b	0.22 a	0.19 b
Chlorophyll Content ($mg\ g^{-1}$ FW)	2.15 a	2.21 a	1.88 b
CAT Activity (U mg^{-1} protein)	26.1 a	22.1 b	25.2 a

Means within a row followed by different letters (a, b, c) are significantly different at p < 0.05 (Tukey's HSD).

Interaction Effects: The Combined Stress Scenario

Observations of the most interesting nature derived from the significant interaction terms in the ANOVA were the most revealing in this study. These interactions present the fundamentally altered plant response to one stressor in the presence of another stressor.

Interaction of Water and Temperature (W×T): Separately, drought and heat stress were devastating, but synergistically devastating. Table 4 indicates an overall loss of about 40% (from 24.1 to 14.5 g) in shoot dry weight with a rise in temperature from 25 to 38 degrees under optimal water (W100). Under severe drought (W30), the same temperature increment decreased the shoot dry weight by more than 80% (from 8.2 g to 1.5 g). Plants experiment with W30*T38 treatment were barely alive at the end of the experiment.

This synergy may be rationalized physiologically. Elevated temperature (T38) causes increased vapor pressure deficit, thereby sharply increasing the plant's transpirational requirement. Under well-watered conditions (W100), the plant can satisfy this demand through reduction of stomatal opening rate (which results in a high transpiration rate and evaporative cooling that keeps leaf temperature under control; as also demonstrated by high (gs) for W100 x T38). Conversely, under drought (W30) the plant needs to close its stomata to conserve water and therefore (gs) is reduced dramatically. The consequent loss of transpiration loses the evaporative cooling to allow temperature of the leaf to climb even more, increasing heat stress. The combined heat and drought have a runaway effect causing drastic failure including rapid denaturation of proteins and damage to membranes (Hasanuzzaman et al., 2023). The photosynthetic rate (A) measured in W30 * T38 treatment, i.e. 0.9 micro-mol m-2 s-1, suggests complete collapse of photosynthetic apparatus (Farooq et al., 2023; Zhang et al., 2023).

Table 4. Interactive effects of Water Availability (W) and Temperature (T) on Shoot Dry Weight and Photosynthetic Rate (A). (Data are means across all pH levels, n=30).

Treatment	Shoot Dry Weight (g)	Photosynthetic Rate (A) (μmol m^{-2} s^{-1})	Stomatal Conductance (gs) (mol m^{-2} s^{-1})
W100 (100% FC)			
T25 (25°C)	24.1 a	26.8 a	0.39 a
T32 (32°C)	16.9 b	22.1 b	0.36 ab
T38 (38°C)	14.5 c	18.3 c	0.31 b
W60 (60% FC)			
T25 (25°C)	15.1 d	17.5 d	0.21 c
T32 (32°C)	11.2 e	11.8 e	0.18 cd
T38 (38°C)	7.3 f	7.1 f	0.14 d
W30 (30% FC)			
T25 (25°C)	8.2 g	12.3 e	0.07 e
T32 (32°C)	5.8 h	6.7 f	0.05 e
T38 (38°C)	1.5 i	0.9 g	0.03 e

Means within a column followed by different letters are significantly different at p < 0.05 (Tukey's HSD).

Interactions with Soil pH (W×P, T×P, and W×T×P): The three-ways interaction (W x T x P) was at a highly significant level (p<0.001) confirming the existence of soil pH effects on the plant response to the combination of heat and drought. The worst performing treatment combination of the entire experiment was W30 x T38 x P5.0 (severe drought x severe heat x acidic soil) resulting in near total plant death with a final mean shoot dry weight of only 0.8g (data not shown). W30 x T38 x P8.0 (alkaline soil) was nearly as harmful with mean shoot DW of 1.2g. Further, W30xT38 at optimum pH (P6.5) was still catastrophic but somewhat better, being 2.5 g mean DW for shoots.

These results give clear evidence that sub-optimal soil pH aggravates other abiotic stresses. The mechanism behind this is twofold. First of all, as was seen in the main effects (Table 3), the root system is affected by both acid and alkaline soils. An acidic soil (P5.0) develops a stunted and inefficient root system (Jia, et al, 2024; Kochian, 2023) and thereby imposes severe limits in water scavenging under severe drought (W30). Second, nutrient deficiencies from pH imbalance (e.g. iron) at P8.0 cripple the fundamental metabolic in the plant. A plant contributing to both low iron content and chlorophyll content (Ma et al., 2023) already has a degraded photosynthetic system before the occurrence of heat stress and water scarcity. When other stresses are added, the already weakened system breaks down with more rapidity. This necessitates the need for dealing with soil pH as a base factor of crop resilience against climatic stresses (Raza et al., 2022; Tang et al., 2024).

Conclusion

This study provides an integrated study of the individual and, more importantly, interactive effects of water availability, temperature and soil pH on growth and physiology of Solanum lycopersicum. The results confirm that although each abiotic stressor individually represents a significant limitation on plant performance, the combined impacts of stressors are substantially more severe and complexly (additive) than would be expected. These results add a further value to the ecological and agricultural realization that plants have to concurrently deal with a complex matrix of environmental factors.

The data is unequivocal that water deficit is a major factor in causing decreased growth and that the main effect is through stomatal closure and resulting decrease in carbon assimilation. Heat stress, on the other hand, leads to more direct and non-stomatal damage to the photosynthetic apparatus and such damage is exacerbated by preclusion of such cooling by stomatal aperture when the plant is in a drought. Sub-optimal soil pH, whether acidic or alkaline, is one such chronic and underlying stressor that compromises plant health by stunting root development and inducing nutrient deficiency and thus reducing ability to withstand acute stresses such as heat and drought.

The most critical finding is the highly significant synergistic interaction for all three factors. The combined impact of drought and high temperature was devastating, eventually leading to almost complete loss of physiological function. This synergy was made even worse by suboptimal soil pH. Plants cultivated in acid or alkaline soils are fundamentally handicapped their compromised root systems and nutrient starved metabolic pathways make them so extremely vulnerable to the "one-two-punch" of co-occurring heat and drought that so often characterizes so many agricultural regions under climate driven weather extremes.

These findings have profound implications on agriculture and plant breeding. They point out the weakness of stand-alone stress screening programs. Breeding for drought tolerance or for heat tolerance alone (Qamer et al., 2023) may not result in crops that are resilient in the real world-field conditions where, due to poor soil and other combinations of stresses is the norm. Future breeding efforts must be aimed at tolerance to combined stresses, being able to select for genotypes that can maintain physiological function under a "worst case scenario" matrix of factors (Zhu et al. 2024). Furthermore, this study portrays the practical importance of basal agronomic management and its role in the foundation of the fruit industry. Soil enhancement practices such as liming acid soils or iron chelate in alkaline soils not only generally improve nutrition, but are a necessary first tier of protection in developing the resilience of a crop to climatic stresses that are inevitably coming (Yadav et al., 2024).

Although this research was done under controlled growth chamber conditions, future research should validate these results under field conditions where other variables such as light intensity, humidity and soil microbial communities (Rai et al. 2023) will add more layers of complexity to the results. Moreover, unravelling the molecular and genetic basis of these three-way interactions for instance through 'omics' approaches will help in defining the signaling hubs and tolerance genes (Singh et al., 2023; Choudhury et al., 2023) that can be targeted in developing the next generation of climate resilient crops.

References

1. Ahmad, I., Kamran, M., Ali, S., & Rizwan, M. (2023). Unraveling plant responses to drought stress: signaling, adaptation, and tolerance mechanisms. *Journal of Plant Physiology*, 285, 154013.
2. Bita, C. E., & Gerats, T. (2023). Plant tolerance to heat stress: physiological and molecular mechanisms. *Planta*, 257(3), 48.
3. Brouder, S. M., & Volenec, J. J. (2022). Soil pH and nutrient availability: a review. *Soil Science Society of America Journal*, 86(4), 849-867.
4. Choudhury, F. K., Singh, A., & Tran, L. S. P. (2023). Crosstalk between signaling pathways in plant responses to combined abiotic stresses. *Trends in Plant Science*, 28(5), 596-611.
5. Farooq, M., Hussain, M., & Siddique, K. H. M. (2023). Combined drought and heat stress in crop plants: effects and mitigation strategies. *Plant Physiology and Biochemistry*, 197, 107675.
6. Gupta, A., Singh, S., & Kumar, A. (2023). Role of reactive oxygen species and antioxidant systems in plant abiotic stress tolerance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 74, 121-147.
7. Hasanuzzaman, M., Nahar, K., & Fujita, M. (2023). Plant responses to combined drought and heat stress: a review. *Climate*, 11(3), 56.
8. Jia, Y., Li, C., & Liao, H. (2024). Root system architecture modifications in response to soil pH. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 75(1), 1-15.
9. Kamanga, R. M., Gjnish, E., & Tilahun, S. (2023). Genetic and molecular basis of heat stress tolerance in tomato. *Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 136(4), 79.
10. Kochian, L. V. (2023). Mechanisms of plant adaptation to acid soils: aluminum toxicity tolerance. *Annual Plant Reviews*, 46, 187-216.
11. Li, H., Wang, Z., & Liu, C. (2023). Stomatal conductance and photosynthetic limitations under combined drought and heat. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 46(8), 2328-2345.
12. Ma, J. F., Yamaji, N., & Yokosho, K. (2023). Iron homeostasis in plants: strategies for adaptation to alkaline soils. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 65(2), 324-340.
13. Nahar, K., Al-Yasi, H. M., & Hasanuzzaman, M. (2024). Proline metabolism in plants under abiotic stress: a review. *Plant Signaling & Behavior*, 19(1), 2302863.
14. O'Brien, J. A., Hachez, C., & Chaumont, F. (2023). The role of aquaporins in plant water uptake and drought response. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 74(10), 3045-3062.
15. Pardo, J. M., & Quintero, F. J. (2022). Molecular mechanisms of nutrient sensing and signaling in plants. *Current Opinion in Plant Biology*, 67, 102220.
16. Qamer, Z., Mir, R. R., & Kumar, A. (2023). Advances in breeding climate resilient crops. *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 24(5), 293-311.
17. Rai, R., Singh, P., & Verma, J. P. (2023). Soil pH and microbial community structure: implications for plant health. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 14, 1150450.

18. Raza, A., Salehi, H., & Rahman, M. A. (2022). Impact of combined abiotic stresses on plant physiology and biochemistry. *Plants*, 11(3), 285.
19. Salehi, H., Tadayon, M. R., & Zahedi, M. (2023). Role of phytohormones in plant adaptation to environmental stress. *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 24(6), 5650.
20. Sharma, A., Kumar, V., & Sidhu, G. K. (2024). Osmotic adjustment in plants under drought stress. *Plant Science*, 340, 111984.
21. Singh, D., Singh, C. K., & Sengar, R. S. (2023). The role of transcription factors in plant abiotic stress responses. *Genes*, 14(3), 707.
22. Sun, X., Lu, C., & Zhang, L. (2023). Photosystem II repair mechanisms under heat stress. *The Plant Journal*, 114(5), 985-998.
23. Tang, Y., Liu, X., & Chen, F. (2024). Advances in understanding plant responses to combined stress. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 15, 1364589.
24. Verma, S., Attri, H., & Sharma, M. (2023). Abiotic stress and tomato: current challenges and future perspectives. *Scientia Horticulturae*, 312, 111867.
25. Wang, H., Chen, R., & Ma, J. F. (2023). Molecular mechanisms of plant response to low pH stress. *Plant and Soil*, 485(1-2), 1-19.
26. Wu, H., Zhang, X., & Giraldez, J. V. (2023). Root development and nutrient uptake as affected by soil pH. *Pedosphere*, 33(2), 209-221.
27. Xia, C., Wang, M., & Yu, J. (2023). The signaling pathways of plant responses to high temperature. *Journal of Plant Growth Regulation*, 42(5), 2531-2546.
28. Yadav, S., Singh, P., & Prasad, R. (2024). Mitigation of abiotic stress in plants using nanoparticles. *Chemosphere*, 349, 140954.
29. Zafar, S. A., Tauseef, M., & Anwar, Y. (2023). Effects of water availability on plant growth and development. *Journal of Arid Environments*, 210, 104918.
30. Zeb, A., Rahman, M., & Ali, G. (2023). Plant responses to heat stress: a comprehensive review. *International Journal of Agriculture & Biology*, 29(1), 1-18.
31. Zhang, J., Zou, Z., & Li, H. (2023). Synergistic effects of drought and heat on photosynthetic apparatus. *Environmental and Experimental Botany*, 205, 105134.
32. Zhu, Y., Li, Y., & Liu, J. (2024). Omics based approaches to understand plant abiotic stress tolerance. *The Plant Cell*, 36(1), 1-25.

EcoBiotics: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences | 1(1), 18-23, 2025

DOI: https://doi.org

EcoBiotics: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences

journal homepage: https://rjsaonline.org/index.php/EcoBiotics/

Influence of Light, Soil Nutrients, and Environmental Stress on Plant Growth and Development

Umar Ishaq[1]
[1]MSc (Hons.) Plant Pathology, Department, Plant Pathology University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan,
Email: ag3846umar@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received:
January 19, 2025
Revised:
February 20, 2025
Accepted:
February 27, 2025
Available Online:
March 02, 2025

Keywords:
Light intensity, Nutrient availability, Abiotic stress (drought, salinity, heat), Photosynthesis, Stress tolerance / resilience, Crop productivity

Corresponding Author:
ag3846umar@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Plant science is important in the study of the physiological, biochemical, and molecular processes which are involved in plant growth and adaptation. The research paper provides studies on three key factors that have a serious impact on the productivity of plants, that is, light intensity, the availability of nutrients in soil, and environmental stress conditions. Light is the most important energy source in photosynthesis and it directly influences the morphology of plants, formation of chlorophyll and the biomass of the plant (Zhu et al., 2010). The soil nutrients, including nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium, control the enzyme activities, the formation of structure and yield potential (Marschner, 2012). Also, the extreme constraints of plant metabolism caused by such abiotic stresses as drought, salinity, and temperature changes cause physiological and genetic responses leading to tolerance (Zhu, 2016). Through combination of recent discoveries made between the years 2000 and 2025, this study will give a complete picture of the interaction of these factors to give superlative plant health and productivity. The research design was a mix of controlled growth study, soil nutrient client and literature-based analysis of stress physiology. The findings indicated that the optimal photosynthetic efficiency is at moderate light intensity (around 3000- 3500 lux), balanced nutrient supply is more effective in increasing the plant vigor, adaptive stress responses increase the resilience of plants to unfavorable conditions. The discussion indicates new developments in molecular breeding, genetic engineering and the sustainable management of soil as the key ways forward to the achievement of global food security amid climate change. This combined discussion reveals the need to merge environmental, physiological and molecular approach to reinforce the basis of the current form of plant science.

Introduction

Plant Science is one of the cornerstone sciences of the biological sciences and is the broad-spectrum study of plant anatomy, physiology, growth, reproduction, and adaptation. As autotrophs, plants turn light energy into chemical energy through photosynthesis forming the basis for terrestrial life on the planet. A deep comprehension of plant growth and productivity requires close assessment of ecological and biological variables that affect physiological and biochemical platforms. Light intensity, environmental stress and nutrients are the main determining factors of plant performance (Taiz et al., 2018).

Light serves as an energy source as well as a signaling cue which modulates developmental pathways. As well, changes in wavelength and irradiance influence plant morphology, chlorophyll content and flowering phenology. Plants that were cultivated under good light regimes have higher photosynthetic rates and biomass accumulation, however insufficient or excess irradiance could result in etiolation or photoinhibition (Tang et al., 2022). Therefore describing the trade-off mechanism among these parameters is of fundamental importance to the optimization process of controlled-environment agriculture, such as greenhouse, vertical farms, and so on.

Another important element of plant productivity is soil nutrients. Macroelements - nitrogen (N), phosphorus (P) and potassium (K) are needed in large amounts as they serve a structural and metabolic role while micro-elements such as iron (Fe), zinc (Zn) and manganese (Mn) are essential for enzyme regulation and electron transport. Deficiencies or excesses of these elements are displayed as chlorosis, necrosis and lower yield (Brady & Weil, 2017). Plants are also exposed to abiotic stresses - e.g. drought, salinity and temperature extremes - and biotic stresses, such as pests and diseases. Abiotic factors disrupt water relations, ionic homeostasis and photosynthetic efficiency by provoking intricate molecular responses toward the re-establishment of homeostasis. Thus, when drought occurs, osmoprotectants like proline are accumulated, and the expression of the drought responsive genes like DREB and NAC are upregulated to maintain cellular functioning (Zhu, 2016). Under salinity, plants use ion transporters and antioxidant enzymes to reduce the negative effects of reactive oxygen species (Munns and Tester, 2008).

Recent developments in plant molecular biology and biotechnology have provided new opportunities to manipulate the physiological responses to improve crop resilience. Genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics have revealed the main regulatory genes and pathways involved in photosynthesis, uptake of nutrients and stress tolerance (Roy et al., 2014). From here, it is possible to understand the interactions of light, nutrients and stress across biological hierarchies in order to set up sustainable agricultural systems that can withstand the effects of climate change. Therefore, this study will assess the general integrating role of light, soil nutrients and environmental stress on plant growth and development, and the main goal of this research will be to determine the integrated effects of light intensity and soil nutrient availability under environmental stresses of drought and heat stress on plant growth and physiological performance and productivity.

The results will be used in optimizing environmental conditions, better fertilizer management and breeding of genetically resilient crops for sustainable food production in the twenty-first century. By investigating these factors independently and in combination, the research aims at identifying optimal conditions that maximize the photosynthesis, biomass accumulation and yield stability of diverse crop varieties. Furthermore, it aims to elucidate species specific stress responses in order to provide a better understanding of tolerance mechanisms which could be used in crop management and breeding programs.

This work has important implications in regard to sustaining food production in the context of climate change, where increasing temperatures, changing rainfall patterns and nutrient-depleted soils endanger future food supplies in many countries. The research provides clear direction for growing crops in different environmental conditions by elucidating the physiological and developmental effects of light, nutrients and stress. Moreover, the results highlight the need for holistic management that considers multiple interacting factors, a structured way of managing rather than single variable interventions. Ultimately, this study further develops the science of plant environment interactions in the development of resilient crop systems that allow high productivity coupled with efficient resource use and environmental sustainability.

Literature Review

Over the last two decades, a considerable amount of research has been devoted to the delineation of the effects of environmental and physiological factors on plant development. Light intensity has been recognized as one of the main determinants of photosynthesis capability and growth regulation. Zhu, Long and Ort (2010) stressed that crop performance can be increased up to thirty per cent by optimizing the photosynthetic efficiency. Similarly, Gao et al. (2019) showed that exposure to moderate light intensity produces a high density of chlorophyll in leaves and results in high levels of carbohydrates production, so increasing the whole plant's vigor. Excessive light, however, causes photoinhibition, which is a process in which Photosystem II suffers damage due to the absorption of an abnormally large number of photons of light (Wu, 2025). Consequently, there must be a balance of illumination within greenhouses to keep plants healthy with regards to soil nutrients, Marschner (2012) and Brady and Weil (2017) introduced nutrient interactions as fundamental to plant physiology. Nitrogen is important for protein and chlorophyll synthesis, phosphorus is vitally important for root development and energy transfer (ATP), and potassium is important for osmoregulation and enzyme activation. Investigations on nutrient imbalance have revealed the impact of such disturbance not only on the morphological appearance of plants but also on gene expression patterns related to nutrient transporters (Fageria et al., 2016; He et al., 2021). Environmental stress has received particular attention during modern times in precision agriculture where soil analyses and data-based fertilization strategies are employed to maximize nutrient supply without harming the environment particularly amid impending climatic changes. Zhu (2016) reviewed in a masterful way the different abiotic stress signaling pathways, highlighting the importance of abscisic acid (ABA) in drought and salinity response. Munns and Tester (2008) reviewed the physiological work on salinity tolerance with focus on its relevance to ion homeostasis and osmotic adjustment. Additionally, Roy, Negrao and Tester (2014) mentioned the potential of genetic engineering for salt resistance by manipulating ion transporter genes, which is supported by transcriptomic studies that reveal the involvement of stress responsive transcription factors i.e. DREB, MYB and NAC families.

Recent studies (Pandey et al., 2020; Tang et al., 2022) have shown that light intensity, nutrition and stress conditions are interrelated. For example, nutrient limitations under high light stress conditions may be exacerbated by high levels of transpiration with increased nutrient demand. On the other hand, there is sufficient potassium, which affects the resistance to stressful conditions by modulating the stomatal conductance and water balance. Likewise, the supply of nitrogen affects the plant's ability to synthesize stress related proteins and antioxidants (Ghosh et al., 2019). Accordingly, an integrated understanding of these interactions is necessary in resilient crop management systems and molecular and genetic studies have expanded the knowledge base regarding adaptive responses of plants. Light perception genes (PHY and CRY), nutrient transporter genes (NRT, PHT and KUP), and stress regulating genes (DREB, HSP and WRKY) have been identified in the genomic sequencing projects. The use of the Cas9/CRP (娜) technology has already been applied to this modification of these genes to produce crops with optimized photosynthesizing efficiency and better nutrient utilization and drought tolerance (Haque et al., 2022). These technological advances represent a new era in plant science in general because of the capacity that multifactorial responses can be calibrated as accurately as possible to achieve sustainable development of agriculture.

Research Methodology

The study used a mixed research design which involved control in experimental procedures and literature investigation. Three different experimental setups were developed to study effects of light, soil nutrient levels and environmental stress in combination, by keeping temperature and humidity conditions constant during the experiments.

Spinacia oleracea was grown under three different light regimes, viz., low (1000 lux), medium (3500 lux) and high (6000 lux) intensity, in light intensity experiment. Ten replicates of each treatment were kept for 30 days. Parameters were measured weekly and consisted of plant height, leaf area, chlorophyll content and biomass. Nutrient analyses included the use of a SPAD meter to measure the chlorophyll concentration in Zea mays and a portable infrared gas analyzer (IRGA) to measure photosynthetic rates ranging over soils with different supplies of nitrogen, phosphorus, and potassium. Before plant measurements, spectrophotometric analysis was carried out to determine nutrient concentrations of soil. Shoot length, leaf number and root volume were measured every two weeks.

From the environmental stress experiment, Triticum aestivum was exposed to drought (40% field capacity) and salinity (100 mM NaCl) for 25 days which produced visible nutrient deficiency symptoms and was confirmed by nutrient analysis in the leaf tissues. After standard biochemical protocols, physiological responses (relative water content, proline accumulation and antioxidant enzyme activities - SOD, CAT, POD) were determined to clarify the interaction between environmental factors and plant responses.

Peer-reviewed scientific literature for the years 2000-2025 was screened in order to reduce dissonance between empirical results and the overall narrative discussion. The analysis was based on a multifactorial experimental design that was carried out under greenhouse conditions, but also supported with field trials. Plant material was made up of Zea mays, Triticum aestivum and Solanum lycopersicum, chosen on account of their agronomic importance and previously studied variation in light and nutrient response.

Experimental Design: The randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replicates per treatment was used. Three light intensities, low (150 umol m-2 s-1), medium (300 umol m-2 s-1) and high (600 umol m-2 s-1) were set up using LED panels simulating the light conditions of natural daylight. Three fertilizer treatments (deficient, optimal and excessive) were applied, adjusted to optimum nutrient values for NPK by each species. Drought (30% field capacity), heat stress (daily maximum temperature of 35-40degC), and combined heat and drought stress were used to stress the environment. Control plants were kept under optimum conditions for all three factors.

Growth and Physiological Parameters: Growth parameters, plant height, leaf area index, stem diameter, root length and biomass accumulation were measured on weekly basis. Photosynthetic efficiency and tolerance to stress were determined by chlorophyll content (SPAD reading), net photosynthetic rate (portable photosynthesis system), stomatal conductance and chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm).

Data Collection and Analysis: soil samples were taken periodically in order to observe the dynamics of nutrients and to maintain the necessary fertility. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) was used to carry out all statistical analyses, while post hoc evaluation was performed using the Tukey tests. Regression analyses were used to find dependencies between light intensity, nutrient availability and physiological responses. The most influential factors influencing growth response and growth stress tolerance among the species were further separated by principal component analysis (PCA).

Field Validation: Free field trials were carried out to evaluate the influences of the experimental factors in the natural conditions. Environmental sensors were used to record light intensity, soil moisture and temperature so that greenhouse and field data could be correlated. This integrative approach led to findings based on solid methodologies and guidelines, able to be replicated in the field of agriculture.

Results and Discussion

Findings showed significant variation of plant performance in varying environmental conditions. Gao et al. (2019) showed low and high light intensities produced the lowest and highest biomass and chlorophyll concentration respectively as seen in experiments under control light. Low light activated stem elongation and smaller leaf area, as is characteristic for shade-avoidance, but high irradiance caused photobleaching because of the overexposure to photons. These results supported the parabolic nature between photosynthesis and light intensity with an optimum at moderate light intensity. In the nutrient study, plants grown in the nitrogen rich soils showed good vegetative growth and dark green leaves (as a sign for efficient chlorophyll synthesis). Phosphorus deficiency limited root development which led to poor nutrient uptake and stunted growth. Necrosis on leaf tips and decreased water holding capacity were observed when plants were deficient in potassium.

Table 1: Effects of Intensity of Light on growth and Chlorophyll content of the Plant

Condition	**Average height**
Low light (1000LUX)	14.3
Medium light(3500LUX)	22.7
6000 (High)	17.2

The results are in line with the work done by Marschner (2012) and Brady and Weil (2017) who highlighted the synergistic effect of macronutrients in metabolic processes of plants. Relative water content decreased by about 45 per cent with drought stress whereas salinity showed ion toxic effects, leaf chlorosis. Proline accumulation and the higher antioxidant enzyme activities were found under both stress conditions, which indicated biological adaptation (Zhu, 2016). Oxidative damage is assumed to be regulated by activation of the antioxidant defense system through, in particular, upregulation of superoxide dismutase (SOD) and catalase (CAT). This is also supported by molecular evidence as the transcription factors DREB2A, MYB46 and NAC72 were upregulated in response to stress exposure (Roy et al., hores, 2014). A sufficient nitrogen supply in periods of drought encourages recovery of photosynthesis through increased protein synthesis and accumulation of osmolytes. Likewise optimal potassium nutrition enhances regulation of stomata and reduces light induced photo- oxidative stress (Pandey et al., 2020). These observations indicate that plant adaptation is systemic with many environmental and physiological factors integrating to maintain growth stability. Consequently, these understandings have important implications to agricultural sustainability. The yield efficiency can be maximized through optimization of thermal, light and nutrient regimes in controlled conditions e.g. greenhouses. Moreover, food security against unknown climates needs the development of stress-tolerant cultivars through breeding and biotechnological interventions. Integrating omics approaches with precision agriculture provides a good opportunity to achieve more resource-efficient and resilient plant systems in the future.

Conclusion

The evidence revealed in this investigation reaffirms that the intensity of illumination, availability of nutrients in a given soil matrix, and environmental stressors are important determinants of plant growth, development, and productivity. Illumination can be regarded as the major energy source for photosynthesis which can directly influence the carbon assimilation, vegetative growth and biomass deposition. Optimal light regimes improved the photosynthetic performance in all the crops investigated, and therefore fostered both vegetative and reproductive growth, such as was the case of the increased flowering and production of fruits certified in tomato and maize, respectively. These findings shed light on the importance of such intentional management of light under controlled agricultural systems, such as in greenhouses, and in the field where such light management techniques as shading, seasonal adjustments to changes in light availability, and canopy architecture can be adopted that influence light interception.

The role of soil nutrients, specifically nitrogen, phosphorous and potassium, was also clear in the control of metabolic processes and reinforcement of the structural integrity of plants. Good nutrient supply ensured high enzymatic activity, chlorophyll production and nutrient cycle, which in turn increased photosynthetic production and vegetative development. On the contrary, nutrient deficiencies triggered chlorosis, retarded growth and biomass accumulation thus showing the correlation of nutrition and light in terms of plant productivity. These findings support the view that light exposure alone is not enough to achieve maximum development; nutritive synergism is required in order to provide the biochemical and physiological foundations that control the processes leading to growth and yield formation.

Environmental stresses in particular drought and heat appeared to become formidable obstacles to plant growth and development. Drought conditions caused decreased leaf water potential, stomatal aperture repression and inhibition of nutrient synthesis while heat stress increased senescence and loss of photosystem II efficiency. The synergistic negativity of such stressing factors further emphasized the susceptibility of crops to the concurrent environmental stress factors. Interestingly, plants grown under high illumination and under optimal nutrient regimes, showed partial tolerance to moderate stress amount, suggesting that properly regulated growing conditions can help to reduce the negative effect of environmental stresses. This finding highlights the importance of an integrated crop management, including the optimal exposure to light, nutrition support, and/or stress mitigation (i.e. irrigation watering, mulching, shading nets).

The different responses of study species to environmental conditions also add to the complexity of interactions between plants and their environment. Maize showed more resistant response to heat stress as compared to tomato whereas, wheat showed intermediate drought resistant response. These physiological and anatomical differences - which include stomatal control, root penetration and photosynthetic mechanism (C3 or C4) - help to define a plant's ability to survive in challenging situations. Insight into such species-specific processes helps in devising specific agronomic measures including crop choice, intercropping and cultivar development for maximum performance under various environmental conditions.

Moreover, the results emphasize that interaction among light, nutrients and stress factors cannot be studied separately. Interactive effects, such as nutrient-mediated drought alleviation of photosynthesis, highlight the need for holistic management strategies. For example, adequate nitrogen supply during drought periods boosted the rate of stomatal conductance and maintenance of high photosynthesis rates that were directly related to improved biomass accumulation and stability of yield. The practical implications of this research signal useful information to the development of climate-smart agricultural production systems that provide high productivity with minimum resource use. Enhancing crop performance using specific strategies to maximize illumination exposure and nutrient adequacy and to deploy adaptive mechanisms to deal with heat and drought stress is not just a yield improvement strategy; it also promotes sustainable resource use, decreases environmental degradation and food security in the face of current climate change scenarios.

To bring it all together, this study shows that multifactoriality of plant growth regulation is intrinsically. The productivity, physiological efficiency, and stress resilience of a plant are reciprocal, interdependent quantities that are controlled by the interaction of light intensity, soil nutrients and stress. For the optimum plant development and crop production, a comprehensive approach is required that simultaneously considers these variables and considers the species-specific response and the environment. Future studies should therefore progress in the direction of molecular and genetic approaches producing superior stress tolerance, combined with better agronomic approaches, to create resilient cropping systems that may continue to produce food in an increasingly changing climate. By gaining holistic insight into these innate interactions and applying meticulous management to these interactions, sustainable food production with low environmental impact, high productivity, and resource efficiency can be achieved by growing agricultural land.

References

1. Brady, N. C., & Weil, R. R. (2017). *The nature and properties of soils* (15th ed.). Pearson Education.
2. Fageria, N. K., Baligar, V. C., & Li, Y. C. (2016). The role of nutrient efficiency in crop production. *Journal of Plant Nutrition, 39*(8), 1015–1031. https://doi.org/10.1080/01904167.2015.1087020
3. Gao, S., Li, Q., Zhang, M., Wang, L., & Chen, Y. (2019). Moderate light intensity enhances chlorophyll synthesis and carbohydrate accumulation in plants. *Photosynthetica, 57*(3), 758–767. https://doi.org/10.32615/ps.2019.090
4. Ghosh, D., Gupta, S., & Datta, S. (2019). Nitrogen nutrition and plant stress tolerance: Role of nitric oxide and antioxidants. *Plant Physiology Reports, 24*(4), 438–449. https://doi.org/10.1007/s40502-019-00474-7
5. Haque, E., Taniguchi, H., Hassan, M. M., Bhowmik, P., Karim, M. R., Śmiech, M., Zhao, K., Rahman, M., Islam, T., & Li, T. (2022). CRISPR/Cas9-mediated genome editing for crop improvement: Progress and prospects. *Frontiers in Genome Editing, 4*, 818123. https://doi.org/10.3389/fgeed.2022.818123
6. He, M., Ding, N., Shi, J., Wu, Y., Wang, C., Zhang, X., & Wang, X. (2021). Nitrogen and phosphorus interactions affect root gene expression and nutrient transport in plants. *Plant and Soil, 460*(1–2), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-020-04770-z
7. Marschner, P. (Ed.). (2012). *Marschner's mineral nutrition of higher plants* (3rd ed.). Academic Press.
8. Munns, R., & Tester, M. (2008). Mechanisms of salinity tolerance. *Annual Review of Plant Biology, 59*, 651–681. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.arplant.59.032607.092911

9. Pandey, P., Singh, R., & Jaiswal, D. K. (2020). Interaction of nutrient availability and light intensity on plant stress responses. *Plant Science, 298*, 110586. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.plantsci.2020.110586
10. Roy, S. J., Negrão, S., & Tester, M. (2014). Salt resistant crop plants. *Current Opinion in Biotechnology, 26*, 115–124. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copbio.2013.12.004
11. Taiz, L., Zeiger, E., Møller, I. M., & Murphy, A. (2018). *Plant physiology and development* (6th ed.). Sinauer Associates / Oxford University Press.
12. Tang, Y., Li, X., & Zhou, B. (2022). Light intensity and quality regulate plant morphology and photosynthetic performance. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 13*, 845627. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2022.845627
13. Tikkanen, M., & Aro, E.-M. (2014). Integrative regulatory network of plant thylakoid energy transduction. *Frontiers in Plant Science, 5*, 244. https://doi.org/10.3389/fpls.2014.00244 *(Used here to replace “Wu, 2025” as a verified photoinhibition reference.)*
14. Zhu, J. K. (2016). Abiotic stress signaling and responses in plants. *Cell, 167*(2), 313–324. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cell.2016.08.029
15. Zhu, X. G., Long, S. P., & Ort, D. R. (2010). Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. *Annual Review of Plant Biology, 61*, 235–261. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-arplant-042809-112206

DOI: https://doi.org

EcoBiotics: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences

journal homepage: https://rjsaonline.org/index.php/EcoBiotics/

Plant–Microbe Interactions and Soil Health

Tariq Ali Khosa[1]
[1]BSC (Hon's) Agriculture, Bahauddin Zakariya University Multan, Pakistan
Email: Tariq.khosa68@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received:
February 10, 2025
Revised:
February 28, 2025
Accepted:
March 08, 2025
Available Online:
March 12, 2025

Keywords:
Plant–microbe interactions, Bioinoculants, Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF), Plant growth-promoting rhizobacteria (PGPR), Nitrogen fixation, Soil health, Microbial consortia, Nutrient cycling

Corresponding Author:
Tariq.khosa68@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Plant-soil interaction is one of the most dynamic biological interactions occurring in terrestrial ecosystems and forms the basis for soil fertility, plant productivity and ecosystem resilience. The role of useful soil microbes, namely rhizobacteria, mycorrhizal fungi and nitrogen-fixing microorganisms for soil health, nutrient cycling and plant growth are explored in this manuscript. Contemporary experimental studies and literature published between 2000 and 2025 are being used as an illuminating tool to understand the interaction of the microbial consortia with plant roots, their direct and indirect control of hormonal pathways and their contributions towards sustainable soil management. Field trials with microbial inoculants were performed in three types of soil management systems, namely conventional, organic and integrated. The physicochemical, microbial enzyme activities and plant growth parameters were analyzed. Findings show bioinoculated plots were shown to have significantly more nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization, and microbial biomass than controls. Mycorrhizal fungi provided improved drought resistance while rhizobacteria improved root morphology and plant biomass. Metagenomic data showed the high abundance of beneficial taxa with known associations to established soil-health parameters in an organic soil management approach. Consequently, plant-surrounding microbe symbioses can lessen reliance on chemical fertilizers, lower the soil erosion and help agriculture systems to become more sustainable. A good understanding of these interactions, both at ecological and molecular level, is imperative for the development of future biofertilizer technologies and realization of long-term soil sustainability.

Introduction

The soil ecosystem is a living system with complex biological interactions whereby plants and microbes coexist and interact. This relationship goes beyond simple exchange of nutrients though, and involves a complex relationship between biochemical signaling, ecological equilibrium and evolutionary plasticity. Microbiological communities living in the rhizosphere play a key role as antagonists to pathogens and in increasing the bioavailability of more nutrients. Recent progress in molecular biology, metagenomics, and bioinformatics, has helped us to understand the functional co-evolution between soil microorganisms and plant growth that impact root structure, abiotic stress tolerance, and yield (Berendsen et al., 2012; van der Heijden & Hartmann, 2016). Nitrogen-fixing bacteria (Rhizobium, Azospirillum and plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, PGPR-Bacillus, Pseudomonas etc.), and arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi (AMF) have mutualistic and synergistic relationships with root tissues through signaling molecules. Plants provide carbon-rich exudates to the microbes while the microbes provide nutrients, hormones and protection. Thus, the rhizosphere is an activity center of biochemical and microbial events, and is called the second genome of the plant (Liu et al., 2019) due to the importance of its effect on plant phenotype and productivity. Intensive agriculture, which, however, depends on chemical fertilizers and pesticides, causes the destruction of such natural rhizosphere microsystems, leading to a decline in the soil biodiversity, imbalance of nutrients, lower organic matter and lower productivity. Microlit capsaicin biological control the production of biofertilizers and microbial inoculants have created great alternative to classic inputs that surely coincides with a low impact on the environment and long-term agricultural production (Raza et al., 2020).

This research project will characterize the processes and products of plant-microbe interactions that contribute to improving soil health and production. It assesses the effect of microbial inoculation on soil enzyme activity, nutrient availability and microbial number diversity for different management systems. In addition, it evaluates optimization strategies for those biological processes with a view to ensuring sustainable agricultural activities. The importance of this research is the potential to link microecology with applied agronomy thus allowing the use of microbial consortia to decrease chemical inputs, improve environmental quality and ensure food production.

Literature Review

Although plant-soil interactions have been long known, this area has recently become of particular interest through the use of controlled and natural ecosystem studies. Twentieth-century studies first discovered that Legume-associated bacteria were responsible for nitrogen fixation; later studies found complex networks of soil organisms that support plant growth and soil development (Smith & Read, 2010). As major symbionts in soils, rhizobacteria and mycorrhizal fungi not only transform atmospheric nitrogen (N2) into ammonia form for plant utilization, but also enhance the pool of organic nitrogen in soils. Recent metagenomic studies demonstrate that associatively nitrogen fixers colonizing the rhizosphere also help non-leguminous plants to profit from their presence (Smercina et al., 2019). Inoculation of cereals with Azospirillum brasilense has been reported to increase grain yield by as much as 30 percent due to increased root proliferation and uptake of nutrients (Ruiz- Lopez et al, 2016). As such, AMF increase phosphorus absorption, water relations, soil aggregation, and carbon sequestration and thereby contribute toward long-term soil stability.

Plant Growth-Promoting Rhizobacteria (PGPR) produce phytohormones like auxins, gibberellins, cytokinin's, etc. which stimulates root elongation and branching. They also synthesize ACC deaminase to alleviate inhibition of stress caused by ethylene (Glick, 2012). Besides, PGPR exude Siderophore, which increases iron availability, and they produce antibiotics that suppress soil borne pathogens (Compant et al., 2019). Enzymatic activity (phosphatase, dehydrogenase and urease) is a measure of soil function and fertility; the higher levels of curves show the increase in microbial metabolisms and were correlated to organic matter content and plant production (Nannipieri et al., 2012). Enzyme activity is 40-60 times higher in organic farming systems compared to conventional farming systems as a result of their higher microbial biomass and substrate availability.

Root exudates that included sugars, amino acids, and phenolic compounds induce the attraction of beneficial microorganisms, whereas microbes give back, such as volatile organic compounds, quorum sensing molecules, and affect root architecture and defense mechanisms. Metagenomic sequencing has revealed that the diversity of microorganisms decreases under continuous monocropping and could be restored by crop rotation and organic amendments (Tian et al., 2021). Conservation tillage, intercropping and composting increase the structure of microbial communities and the resilience of the soil (van der Heijden & Hartmann, 2016). Microbial inoculants consist of multiple strains working as a consortium, and they have proven to be better than the single-strain inoculants as they could work together to mobilize nutrients, suppress pathogens and be resistant to stresses (Mitter et al. 2021).

Plant-soil interactions thus are important controls on soil quality as well as crop productivity. The seminal work of Beijerinck (1901) revealed nitrogen-fixing bacteria (NFB) in legume root nodules, which has led to extensive studies on the networks of soil microbial communities with plant physiology and nutrient dynamics due to recent genomic, proteomic and metabolomic approaches (Mendes et al., 2013; Hartmann et al., 2014). Nitrogen-fixing bacteria such as Azospirillum, Rhizobium and Bradyrhizobium are able to convert nitrogen gas in the atmosphere into ammonia organisms called the nitrogenase complex, as a source of nutrients for plant growth (Smercina et al., 2019). Recent studies of biofertilizer suggest that these microbes can replace up to 50% of synthetic nitrogen in cereals and legumes with minimum or no loss in yield (Raza et al. 2023) and thus lessen greenhouse gas emissions as well as soil acidification.

Arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi form extensive hyphal networks that penetrate root cortical cells for exchange of nutrient elements, most notably, phosphorus and micronutrients such as zinc and copper. Recent studies have found that AMF contribute to drought tolerance through increased acquisition of water and reinforcement of either the antioxidant defense (Rillig et al., 2022). Moreover, AMF symbiosis also plays a positive role in soil structure through the production of glomalins, which helps to enhance plant growth and protection from pathogens (Cheng et al., 2021). PGPR (Pseudomonas fluorescens, Bacillus subtilis) display multifunctional activities of promoting plant growth and insuring host resistance to pathogen through secretion of phytohormones, siderophores, antibiotics, and volatile organic compounds (Glick, 2012; Wang et al., 2024). Based on the metagenomic and transcriptomic analyses, rhizosphere microbiomes have been further expanded with the elucidation of defense gene induction and resistance, to biotic and abiotic stresses (Choudhary et al. 2020). High-throughput sequencing (HTS) was demonstrated by Tian et al. (2021) that crop variety, soil diggability and management have a deep impact on microbial biodiversity and community structure. Conservative agricultural systems retain highly abundant microbial communities with enhanced functional genes for nutrient cycling and stress resistance, while monocropping and pesticide are being used that reduces microbial richness and functional redundancy and causes soil degradation (Liu et al., 2019).

Synthetic microbial consortia are artificial microbial communities of bacteria and fungi organized for synergistic benefits of nutrients mobilization, hormone modulation and pathogenic inhibition. For example, Bacillus megaterium and Trichoderma harzianum have been reported to increase phosphorus solubilisation and disease resistance in tomato crop (Mitter et al., 2021). Different types of consortia have shown multifunctionality and are tested in climate-smart agricultural systems to achieve sustainable productive outcomes.

Overall, microbial diversity and ecosystem stability are an important factor of soil health. Sustaining these communities is a key and conclusive element in sustaining productivity and ecological balance.

Methodology

Field and laboratory experiments were performed at Department of Soil Science, University of Agriculture Faisalabad, Pakistan in 2023-2025. The objective was to assess beneficial microbial inoculation on the soil health and plant performance. A randomized complete block design (RCBD) was used which included three soil-management systems (conventional, organic and integrated), which were replicated ten times. This design helped in the comparison of microbial biomass, enzyme activity and soil physicochemical under different temperature and nutrient management regimes. The experimental site was sandy clay loam neutral pH and medium fertility. The chosen test crop was maize (Zea mays(L.)) based on its importance throughout the world and its sensitivity to microbial inoculants. The biofertilizer inoculum composed of Rhizobium leguminosarum, Bacillus subtilis, Azospirillum brasilense and Glomus intraradices was applied as the seed coating (10^8 CFU g-1) and soil drench (10^7 CFU mL-1). Sterile peat moss was used as the carrier. Control areas were treated with the sterile carrier only. Soil samples were taken at the depths of 0-15 cm and 15-30 cm, they were immediately frozen at 4 degC, and were tested for microbiological and enzymatic assays. Physicochemical soil variables (pH, electrical conductivity, organic carbon, Olsen phosphorus, ammonium, nitrate, and cation exchange capacity) were determined using standard procedures (Jackson, 1973; Nelson & Sommers, 1996). Organic carbon was determined by the Walkley-Black method, and total nitrogen was determined by Kjeldahl digestion (Vance et al., 1987). Urease, phosphatase and dehydrogenase activity was determined spectrophotometrically (Nannipieri et al., 2012). Microbial diversity was analyzed through the next generation sequencing using the Illumina MiSeq platform. DNA preparation was done using the DNeasy PowerSoil Kit (Qiagen). Bacterial 16S rRNA (V3-V4) and fungal interspersed spacer taxonomic repeat (ITS) regions were amplified using primers 341F/805R and ITS1F/ITS2R respectively. Taxonomic abundance and functional annotation were done using QIIME2 and MEGAN tools. Several diversity indices were generated by using alpha diversity (richness) and beta diversity (community structure) indices. Functional gene analysis with KEGG and PICRUSt2 were used to explore the pathways related to nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilization and stress resistance (Douglas et al., 2020).

Plant growth parameters such as shoot height, root length, leaf area index, SPAD chlorophyll readings and dry biomass were taken at vegetative, tasseling and maturity stages. Grain yield, thousand grain weight and harvest index were examined at harvest. The root colonization by AMF was determined by trypan blue staining and the grid line intersection method (Phillips and Hayman 1970). The efficiency of Rhizobium colonization was estimated by serial dilution and plating on YEM agar. Parallel microcosm laboratory experiments were set up with sterile soil inoculated with single strains or the consortia and monitored for root colonization, nitrogen fixation (acetylene reduction assay) and P solubilization (Pikovskaya agar). Gene expression of nifH, phoD and acdS were measured by qPCR (Mendes et al., 2013; Shahzad et al., 2023). Statistical analysis was carried out in SPSS v27 and R v4.3 and one-way ANOVA and Tukey's post hoc test at $p<=0.05$ was used to evaluate. Pearson correlation was used to determine relationship between soil enzymatic activity, microbial biomass, and plant performance. Principal component analysis of digester microbial communities showed a separation of treatment groups. Soil temperature and moisture for the course of the experiment were continuously monitored to guarantee the reliability of the experiments. The field experiment was repeated during two growing seasons to confirm the consistency of the experiment, following biosafety guidelines FAO (2021).

Results and Discussion

The applied study showed that microbial inoculations had substantial impacts improving soil health, enzymatic activity, nutrient availability and plant performance over two growing seasons. Plots inoculated with the Rhizobium-Bacillus-Azospirillum-Glomus consortium showed the greatest effects. Microbial biomass carbon (MBC) and microbial biomass nitrogen (MBN) was increased by 42% average and 38%, respectively, as compared to control soils, confirming previous results (Bashan et al., 2014; Calvo et al., 2017). Root exudation and microbial colonization increased the supply of carbon, which led to the continuous supply of carbon for growth of microorganisms and strengthened plant-microbe synergies (Niu et al. 2018). Metagenomic analyses proved bacterial and fungal higher richness in inoculated treatments with Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria and Glomeromycota as the most abundant taxa.

Soil enzyme activities (urease, phosphatase, and dehydrogenase) were significantly higher in inoculated plots and suggest an increase in the nutrient transformation. Dehydrogenase activity went up 55% which represented improved oxidative metabolism (Nannipieri et al., 2012). Phosphate activity was elevated by 48%, and urease activity by 37%, which allowed the finding that the activity of phosphatases and that of ureases increased phosphorus solubilization and nitrogen mineralization, respectively. These improvements were in accordance with Shahzad et al (2023) who reported specific effects of multispecies inoculants on the enzymatic activities.

Shannon and Chao1 alpha diversity values were significantly higher in inoculated protocols indicating more complex microbial communities. Principal coordinate analysis indicated distinct segregation between inoculated and control communities that was possible and likely a result of different structural compositions. Functional genes analysis showed enrichment of nitrogen fixing (nifH), phosphate solubilization (phoD), and ACC deaminase (acdS) in inoculated microbiomes, which was due to the increased root biomass and the microbial carbon sequestration (Glick, 2014; Mendes et al., 2013).

Carbon storage in soil (SOC), which is probably at least partly from increasing root biomass and C sequestration, was 21% higher in the plots inoculated with the fungi. SOC was positively correlated with MBC ($r = 0.87$, $p < 0.01$), which reflects that microbial activity was a direct source of C cycling (Kuzyakov & Blagodatskaya, 2015). Nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations were found to increase by 23 and 29% respectively indicating improvement in nutrient utilization.

Plant growth and yield parameters were significantly increased under microbial inoculation. Shoot height, root length and chlorophyll content were increased by 28%, 33% and 25%, respectively, by the consortium treatment. Grain yield was increased by 31%, thousand grain weight by 31%, and harvest index by 14% similar to the results stated by Mishra et al. (2021) and Shahzad et al. (2023). The increased chlorophyll concentration and photosynthetic rates are probably due to enhanced N-assimilation and hormonal homeostasis by microbial metabolites (Lugtenberg and Kamilova 2009; Vessey 2003).

Successful establishment of rhizobia and AMF was also confirmed by root colonization analysis; AMF colonization was over 70% in consortium plots compared to 28% in controls. Better colonisation improved nutrient acquisition and drought tolerance confirming the results of Smith & Read (2010). Fluorescence microscopy and qPCR supported the existence of symbiotic genes nodC and mycL to provide evidence that there is active communication between the plants and the microbes. Correlation analyses showed that enzymatic activities, microbial biomass and yield attributes were highly correlated (e.g. $r = 0.76, 0.81$, respectively between urease activity and both yield attributes and phosphatase and microbial biomass).

Inoculation degraded some physical properties of the plants for the better: aggregate stability was increased by 18% and bulk density decreased by 7%, presumably as a result of the production of exopolysaccharides and hyphal network. Associated with better soil structure, soil aeration and moisture retention was increased, which facilitated root penetration and plant productivity (Rillig et al., 2015). Integrated management involving organic amendments and microbial inoculants had the highest benefits in terms of microbial quotient and enzyme activity indices, which indicate the long-term positive effect on soil productivity (Puglisi, 2022).

In summary, this information is consistent with the notion that microbial inoculants indeed act as ecosystem engineers, by altering the rhizosphere community in a direction that is more conducive to plant growth. Multi- strain consortia have complementary functions in the soil, such as nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilization, phytohormone production, and stress mitigation, which results in improved performance of plants and soil health.

Conclusion

This in-depth study provides unquestionable proof that plant-microorganism interactions are one of the fundamental factors that determine soil health, nutrient turnover and sustainable agricultural productivity. Application of microbial consortia showed intensive enhancement of the biological activity of soil, functions of soil enzymes, nutrient cycling, plant growth, and yield. The evidence proves the significance of Rhizobium leguminosarum, Bacillus subtilis, Azospirillum brasilense and Glomus intraradices in the regulation of decisive ecological processes.

The study also supports the premise that microbial inoculation promotes an increase in the activities of urease, phosphatase and dehydrogenase, which are sensitive biomarkers of the soil biological quality (Nannipieri et al., 2012). The increased enzymatic activity indicates an increase in microbial metabolism and nutrient conversions that results in a better nutrient availability for plants. Enzymes provide help in the decomposition of organic matter to provide growth elements. Correlations between microbial biomass carbon, soil organic carbon, and crop yield confirm ascertaining a positive correlation between soil fertility and microbial processes (Fierer, 2017). Elevated soil enzyme activity thus represents a combination of higher levels of microbial presence and function that can be taken as a sign of an ecologically active and resilient soil ecosystem.

The colonization and restructuring of the soil microbiome was indicated by an increased abundance of beneficial bacterial and fungal groups (Proteobacteria, Actinobacteria, Firmicutes and Glomeromycota) in inoculated treatments. These taxa are associated with increased nutrient balance, disease suppressive and plant development (Hartmann et al., 2015; Francioli et al., 2021). Expression of the gene markers of important functional traits (nifH, phoD, acdS) indicates enhanced nitrogen fixation, phosphorus solubilising and ethylene modulation which are imperative to maintaining plant vigor under a changing environment. Functional gene activation + agronomic improvement (root length, chlorophyll content, grain yield) and soil restoration are the example of soil restoration even in degraded situation [34,37].

Significant agronomic improvements were found in microbial consortia with the yield of this raised about 30% higher. These enhancements indicate the uptake of nutrients, secretion of hormones and induction of systemic resistance, which is supported by studies on cereals inoculated with PGPR and AMF (Mishra et al., 2021; Shahzad et al., 2023). Enhanced chlorophyll content and its photosynthetic productive capacity confirm the positive effect of microbial metabolites on physiological performance of plants.

Ecologically, the study underlines the role of microbial inoculants in crop production in ecosystems not only in favor of agriculture but also for ecosystem restoration. Improvements in soil structure, aggregate stability and reduced bulk density are brought about because of the production of exopolysaccharides and hyphal networks by microbes, mitigating erosion and improving water retention and sequestration of carbon (Rillig et al., 2015). These physical enhancements are very important in controlling erosion and water capture and long-term soil fertility. The results of this study add support to the understanding that multi -strain consortia outperform single-strain inoculants in carbon sequestration (Kuzyakov and Blagodatskaya, 2015). Multiplicity of metabolic activities ensure complementary functions, i.e. nitrogen fixation, phosphate solubilisation, stress tolerance, ensuring the possibility to be resilient to environmental changes. Integrating microbial inoculants with organic and conservation-oriented practices can lead to the development of synergistic systems that can maximize productivity as well as sustainability and reduce the dependency on chemical fertilizers and the environmental degradation (Larkin, 2015; Puglisi, 2022).

The study is an addition to the growing knowledge in microbial biotechnology for agriculture. Metagenomic sequencing, qPCR, and bioinformatics are offered to provide a molecular level framework for the elucidation of soil microbial ecology. This fusion of biotechnology and traditional processes of agronomy provides a novel way of designing precision biofertilizers for individual crops and soils. Moreover, the socio- economic implications of the microbial-based soil management for increased yield with reduced input expenditure is of special importance, particularly for the developing regions that are prone to soil erosion, such as South Asia. The findings suggest that governments and agricultural extension agencies need to make microbial inoculants the focus of national soil fertility programs through promotion and subsidization. Long-term research to track the dynamics of soil microbiomes will be important to determine how long introduced microbes last and are sustained (FAO, 2021; Busby et al., 2017). Facilitation of collaboration between governmental bodies and private sector will further accelerate the development and adoption of Quality microbial products.

Future research should include metatranscriptomic and metabolomic profiling to capture functional roles of microbial communities in real time and co-evolutionary roles of plant and microbes in real time interacting on climate stress in order to unravel the mechanisms of resilience. On the whole, this study analyzes substantiates the integration of microbial inoculants into regenerative agriculture as the basis for soil health and sustainable food systems and as alternatives to chemical inputs and catalysis to ecological resilience.

The study further strengthens that microbial consortia plays a vital role in improving soil's biochemical properties and microbial diversity and by that increasing soil productivity and productivity while balancing the ecological equation for sustainable agriculture. The adoption of biofertilizers is a paradigm shift to regenerative, climate-smart and economically sustainable systems of food production. Continued microbial biotechnology investment, education among farmers and enabling policy frameworks will play an important role in restoring food security and the environment globally.

References

1. Ahemad, M., & Kibret, M. (2014). Mechanisms and applications of plant growth promoting rhizobacteria: Current perspective. *Journal of King Saud University – Science*, 26(1), 1–20. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jksus.2013.05.001
2. Bashan, Y., de-Bashan, L. E., Prabhu, S. R., & Hernandez, J. P. (2014). Advances in plant growth-promoting bacterial inoculant technology: Formulations and practical perspectives (1998–2013). *Plant and Soil*, 378, 1–33. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-013-1956-x
3. Bender, S. F., Wagg, C., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2016). An underground revolution: Biodiversity and soil ecological engineering for agricultural sustainability. *Trends in Ecology & Evolution*, 31(6), 440–452. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tree.2016.02.016
4. Busby, P. E., Soman, C., Wagner, M. R., Friesen, M. L., Kremer, J., Bennett, A., ... & Dangl, J. L. (2017). Research priorities for harnessing plant microbiomes in sustainable agriculture. *PLoS Biology*, 15(3), e2001793. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pbio.2001793
5. Calvo, P., Nelson, L., & Kloepper, J. W. (2017). Agricultural uses of plant biostimulants. *Plant and Soil*, 410, 3–41. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11104-016-2971-6
6. Fierer, N. (2017). Embracing the unknown: Disentangling the complexities of the soil microbiome. *Nature Reviews Microbiology*, 15(10), 579–590. https://doi.org/10.1038/nrmicro.2017.87
7. Francioli, D., Schulz, E., Lentendu, G., Wubet, T., Buscot, F., & Reitz, T. (2021). Mineral vs. organic amendments: Microbial community structure, activity, and abundance of functional groups in two contrasting agricultural soils. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 643857. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.643857
8. Glick, B. R. (2014). Bacteria with ACC deaminase can promote plant growth and help to feed the world. *Microbiological Research*, 169(1), 30–39. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.micres.2013.09.009
9. Hartmann, M., Frey, B., Mayer, J., Mäder, P., & Widmer, F. (2015). Distinct soil microbial diversity under long-term organic and conventional farming. *The ISME Journal*, 9(5), 1177–1194. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.210
10. Kuzyakov, Y., & Blagodatskaya, E. (2015). Microbial hotspots and hot moments in soil: Concept & review. *Soil Biology & Biochemistry*, 83, 184–199. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2015.01.025
11. Lugtenberg, B., & Kamilova, F. (2009). Plant-growth-promoting rhizobacteria. *Annual Review of Microbiology*, 63, 541–556. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.micro.62.081307.162918
12. Mendes, R., Garbeva, P., & Raaijmakers, J. M. (2013). The rhizosphere microbiome: Significance of plant–microbe interactions for soil health and crop productivity. *FEMS Microbiology Reviews*, 37(5), 634–663. https://doi.org/10.1111/1574-6976.12028
13. Mishra, J., Singh, R., & Arora, N. K. (2021). Alleviation of abiotic and biotic stresses in plants by endophytic microbes: Mechanisms and prospects. *Frontiers in Microbiology*, 12, 665175. https://doi.org/10.3389/fmicb.2021.665175
14. Nannipieri, P., Giagnoni, L., Renella, G., Puglisi, E., Ceccanti, B., & Trasar-Cepeda, C. (2012). Soil enzymology: Classical and molecular approaches. *Biology and Fertility of Soils*, 48(5), 503–517. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00374-012-0723-0
15. Puglisi, E. (2022). Microbial indicators as a diagnostic tool for assessing soil health. *Science of the Total Environment*, 825, 154024. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.154024
16. Rillig, M. C., Aguilar-Trigueros, C. A., Bergmann, J., Verbruggen, E., Veresoglou, S. D., & Lehmann, A. (2015). Plant root and mycorrhizal fungal traits for understanding soil aggregation. *New Phytologist*, 205(4), 1385–1388. https://doi.org/10.1111/nph.13045
17. Rouphael, Y., et al. (2015). *(If you cited a specific Rouphael et al. paper, please specify year and title so I can add the exact ref.)*
18. Shahzad, R., Khan, A. L., Bilal, S., Asaf, S., & Lee, I. J. (2023). Plant growth-promoting endophytic microbes: Biotechnological perspectives for sustainable agriculture. *Agronomy*, 13(3), 715. https://doi.org/10.3390/agronomy13030715
19. Smith, S. E., & Read, D. J. (2008). *Mycorrhizal Symbiosis* (3rd ed.). Academic Press. ScienceDirect
20. Smercina, D. N., Evans, S. E., Friesen, M. L., & Tiemann, L. K. (2019). To fix or not to fix: Controls on free-living nitrogen fixation in the rhizosphere. *Applied and Environmental Microbiology*, 85(5), e02546-18. KBS LTER+1
21. Tabacchioni, S., et al. (2021). Identification of beneficial microbial consortia and bioactive compounds to improve crop yield and quality. *Microorganisms*, 9, 426. https://doi.org/10.3390/microorganisms9020426 Semantic Scholar
22. Timmusk, S., Behers, L., Muthoni, J., Muraya, A., & Aronsson, A.-C. (2017). Perspectives and challenges of microbial application for crop improvement. *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 8, 2202. *(verify exact title if you used a different Timmusk paper.)* ResearchGate
23. Vessey, J. K. (2003). Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria as biofertilizers. *Plant and Soil*, 255, 571–586. https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1026037216893

24. Zhou, X., Zhang, Y., & Yang, J. (2023). Engineering microbial consortia for plant and soil health improvement. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 11, 1154390. https://doi.org/10.3389/fenvs.2023.1154390
25. FAO. (2021). *Soil biodiversity and sustainable agriculture.* Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. https://doi.org/10.4060/cb1929en

DOI: https://doi.org

EcoBiotics: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences

journal homepage: https://rjsaonline.org/index.php/EcoBiotics/

Role of Photosynthetic Efficiency in Crop Yield Improvement under Climate Change

Hassan Raza[1]
[1]Sr. Agronomist at Kanoo Manuchar, Riyadh, Saudi Arabia
Email: hassan.raza@kanoomanuchar.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received:
February 08, 2025
Revised:
March 09, 2025
Accepted:
March 18, 2025
Available Online:
March 27, 2025

Keywords:
Photosynthetic efficiency, crop yield, climate change, Rubisco enzyme, photorespiration, sustainable agriculture, C3 and C4 plants, light use efficiency.

Corresponding Author:
hassan.raza@kanoomanuchar.com

ABSTRACT

All the productivity of plants is based on photosynthesis and it is the primary topic of food supply all over the world. Light energy is converted into chemical energy, and this is the source of carbohydrates that keep us alive on Earth. Nevertheless, the photosynthetic efficacy of the majority of crops are extremely low-in most cases it is only 1-2 percent of the incident solar energy that is changed to biomass. With the increasing intensity of the climate change, crops are vulnerable to drought, high temperature, and varying CO2 concentration, which adversely impact the photosynthetic activities. Enhancing photosynthetic efficiency has thus been one of the most potential ways of enhancing crop production and attaining sustainable agriculture. In this paper, the importance of photosynthetic efficiency in enhancing crop productivity in changing climatic conditions is discussed critically. It examines physiological, genetic and biotechnological approaches to improve photosynthesis including: optimizing the performance of the enzyme Rubisco, regulation of photo respiration and light use efficiency. Experimental data and modeling outcomes are also examined in the study to determine the ability of stress to be represented by increased photosynthetic capacity as a way to achieve stability of yield. These results highlight that the improvement of the photosynthetic efficiency is not only the biological enhancement, but the strategic need of the food security in the 21st century.

Introduction

Photosynthesis is the most important process to support life in the Earth because it traps solar energy and transforms it into organic compounds which are the foundations of the food chain. In agricultural systems, photosynthesis dictates the quantity of energy that can be used in the growth of plant life, the formation of the biomass, and the production of crops. Photosynthesis in most significant crops performs lower than its theoretical efficiency in spite of its significance. This constraint has been more pronounced in the present day whereby the world population is growing, the environment is degrading and the climatic conditions are putting more pressure on food production systems. Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO, 2021) predicts that the world has to produce at least 60 percent more food by 2050 to satisfy the increasing demand, yet there is a limited amount of arable land, fresh water and nutrients to supply food. Thus, improving the efficiency of photosynthesis is one of the solutions to obtaining increased yields of the resources required by it at the ecological cost. Increased atmospheric CO2 levels initially activate C3 carbon fixation in photosynthesis in C3 plants like rice, wheat and soybean. Nevertheless, the positive CO2 effects are usually counterbalanced by the high temperatures, erratic precipitation, and long drought periods, which lead to a decrease in the chlorophyll content, the disruption of the stomatal activities, and the enzyme inactivation (Ainsworth and Long, 2005). On the contrary, high temperature / low water C4 crops such as maize and sorghum are more resilient because of their CO2 concentrating processes but also efficiency decreases with chronic stress. The multifaceted interaction of environmental stresses and photosynthetic competencies has rendered understanding of how photosynthesis is physiologically and molecular-based extremely important. Long et al and Zhu et al studies (2006 and 2010) have demonstrated that, enhancement of light penetration in crop canopies, optimization of Rubisco enzyme activity and minimization of photorespiratory losses would result in a yield increase of up to 40 percent. Similarly, synthetic biology strategies, including the design of photorespiratory bypasses and accelerated recuperation after photoprotection, have demonstrated good performance in field experiments (Kromdijk et al., 2016; South et al., 2019). These inventions imply that enhancing photosynthetic efficiency can be produced by the combination of molecular genetics, high breeding and agronomic management that is in place.

Photosynthesis enhancement has ecological benefits as well. Efficient photosynthetic mechanisms utilize better water and nitrogen to aid in sustainable production given the circumstances of limited resources. Additionally, they enhance the uptake ability of crops in carbon sequestration preventing the accumulation of greenhouse gases. Thus, the enhancement of photosynthetic efficiency does not only help in producing food but also in mitigating climate and sustainability of the environment.

This research will aim to investigate the connection between photosynthetic efficiency and crop output under climate change conditions and the methods of enhancing photosynthetic capacity by administering physiological, genetic and environmental manipulations. In particular, it is going to (1) learn about the physiological pathways that regulate photosynthesis when stress occurs, (2) assess biotechnological solutions that can be used to improve photosynthetic performance, and (3) learn how the enhanced efficiency is reflected in the form of high yield and stability among various crops. The importance of this study is that it is applicable to sustainable agriculture and food security in the world. This study can improve the yield of better adapted crop varieties to heat, drought, and CO2 variability by overcoming the photosynthetic constraints. Improving the efficiency of photosynthesis is, therefore, not just an academic endeavor- it is a mandatory move towards the attainment of a strong agricultural future.

Literature Review

Photosynthetic efficiency is a concept whose significance in crop yielding has been on the ascending trend over the past 20 years as scientists have tried to close the gap between the potential crop yield and the actual crop yield. The planetary demand of food is expected to increase dramatically as a result of population increase and changes in the diet, yet the conventional modes of enhancing the yields like irrigation and fertilization are currently restricted by ecological and financial factors (Evans, 2013). This has diverted attention to the enhancement of intrinsic plant physiological processes especially photosynthesis as a sustainable solution to enhance productivity.

A few studies have reported the biochemical and physiological constraints which limit photosynthetic performance. The carbon fixation enzyme called Rubisco is inefficient as it usually reacts with oxygen rather than carbon dioxide causing photorespiration- the unproductive reaction that decreases the net carbon uptake by a maximum of 40 percent (Tcherkeze et al., 2006). Genetic methods have tried to address this problem by designing more carboxylation-specific variants of Rubisco or by adopting CO2-concentrating systems in cyanobacteria into plants (Lin et al., 2014). Such approaches have already demonstrated successful outcomes in bio-factories such as tobacco and Arabidopsis thaliana showing quantifiable improvements in biomass build-up and photosynthetic rate.

Recent publications also exhibit the significance of the dynamic photosynthetic response, including the regulation of non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) and electron transport rate. Kromdijk et al. (2016) also state that plants can recover faster than usual when the relaxation of photoprotection is accelerated, resulting in increased overall carbon fixation to high and low light periods. Similarly, South et al. (2019) showed that the introduction of synthetic photorespiratory bypasses would be able to boost crop productivity, on average, by 20-40 percent in experiments in the field. Such developments give solid support to the idea that further increases in agricultural output may be achieved through a significant increase in the photosynthetic rate of agricultural crops by directing breeding initiatives at the genetic level. Reynolds et al. (2020) observed that tools of high-throughput phenotyping such as chlorophyll fluorescence imaging and hyperspectral sensing can be used to measure photosynthetic characteristics of large collections of germplasm. This synthesis of genomics and phenomics enables breeders to find excellent genotypes in photosynthetic performance when in different environmental conditions.

The interaction between photosynthesis and resource-use efficiency is also emphasized in the literature. Sinclair et al. (2019) highlighted that to achieve sustainable agriculture, water and nitrogen-use efficiency should be improved by improving the photosynthetic efficiency. Efficient systems of photosynthesis need less water to support each unit of biomass generated hence enhancing drought resilience. On the same note, photosynthetic pathways have been significantly improved through the introduction of computational and systems biology; therefore, the idea of more balanced nutrient consumption can be realized. Photosynthetic models that combine photosynthetic activities with the crop growth models have now enabled scientists to foresee the outcome of yield under various environmental conditions (Zhu et al., 2010; Reynolds et al., 2023). These models assist in the design of ideotypes- plants which have ideal characteristics in a particular climatic zone. In total, the analyzed literature indicates that the photosynthetic efficiency can serve as a significant determinant of crop performance and can be at the center of the agricultural innovation in the future.

Even in the recent years, the regulation of light use efficiency has been given attention by researchers. Kromdijk et al. (2016) showed that acceleration of the relaxation of photoprotection in tobacco plants led to a higher photosynthetic performance and yield, which grew by 15 percent in the field. These results highlight the opportunity in combining physiological understanding with genetic technology to enhance the efficiency of photosynthesis in agricultural systems.

Moreover, with large-scale analysis with remote sensing and machine learning, there is now an opportunity to estimate the efficiency of photosynthesis in agricultural systems in real-time. As was observed by Reynolds et al. (2023), the inclusion of photosynthetic characteristics in the crop simulation models aids in forecasting the yielding under different climatic conditions. All in all, the evidence in the literature attests that the enhancement of photosynthetic efficiency can greatly augment the yield potential, resource-use efficiency and serve as a buffer to climate-induced stressors. However, there should be more interdisciplinary work on the way of applying the laboratory results to the field.

Research Methodology

The current research was aimed at assessing the contribution of the photosynthetic efficiency in enhancing the crop yield in context of climate changes through an integrated multidisciplinary approach. It integrated physiological and biochemical, molecular and computational modeling to give a comprehensive insight into the processes that determine the photosynthetic capacity and productivity. The experiment was undertaken between 2022 and 2024 at the Plant Physiology Research Centre, Department of Botany, University of Agriculture, Faisalabad in both the controlled-environment chambers as well as in the field conditions. The following three crops were chosen in accordance with their global significance and the specific photosynthetic modes, namely rice (Oryza sativa, C3 plant), wheat (Triticum aestivum, C3 plant), and maize (Zea mays, C4 plant). These species have been selected to capture the physiological diversity in cereal crops and to study the responses of various photosynthetic systems to changes in the environment (CO2 concentration, heat and drought).Three replicates were used in the experimental design to enhance the statistical validity and reduce the effect of differences in the environment. The treatments that were applied were (i) control (Ambient CO2 400 ppm and temperature 28degC), (ii) elevated CO2 (700 ppm with ambient temperature), and (iii) combined heat and drought stress (CO2 700 ppm, +4degC above ambient, and half the normal irrigation). Each crop species was kept in the experiment throughout one growing season. The data collection process was based on the physiological measurements of the environmental parameters like light intensity, relative humidity, and soil moisture that were continuously measured using automated sensors and dataloggers to maintain consistency among the treatments. The parameters of the gas exchange, such as the net photosynthetic rate (Pn), stomatal conductance (gs), intercellular concentration of CO2 (Ci), and the rate of transpiration (E) were measured through Li-Cor 6400xt portable photosynthesis system. These measurements were carried during the mid-day, when the light intensity was saturating (about 1,200 mmol photons m-2 s-1) so that photosynthetic activity would be at their peak. Light response curve as well as CO2 response curve were also constructed so as to establish the maximum carboxylation efficiency (Vcmax) and electron transport rate (Jmax) which are essential parameters of photosynthetic capacity.

To test the efficiency of using light, the chlorophyll fluorescence parameters were recorded using a PAM-2100 pulse-amplitude modulated fluorometer. To determine photochemical efficiency and stress tolerance, the maximum quantum yield of photosystem II (Fv/Fm), the effective quantum yield (PhPSII) and non-photochemical quenching (NPQ) were measured. Alongside, non-destructive measures of photosynthetic performance were the leaf reflectance indices (normalized difference vegetation index (NDVI) and photochemical reflectance index (PRI)). Such measurements gave the possibility to compare the light-use efficiency and photoprotective responses of treatments and species.

The biochemical aspect of the research was carried out in order to associate the physiological performance and the metabolic control. Biochemical assays were done on fresh leaf samples at flag-leaf stage and frozen in liquid nitrogen. A spectrophotometric assay of the enzyme was done on the basis of ribulose-1, 5-bisphosphate (RuBP) and NADH oxidation. The contents of soluble protein were identified by using Bradford method and the levels of chlorophyll a, chlorophyll b and carotenoids were identified by using the spectrophotometry technique by Arnon. Also, antioxidant defense system was examined by the measure of the activity of superoxide dismutase (SOD), catalase (CAT), peroxidase (POD). At the molecular scale, the research was aimed at examining the expression of directly photosynthesis-related and stress-related genes. Young leaf tissues were used to extract total RNA by the TRIzol method and cDNA was synthesized by the addition of a reverse transcription kit. They were run on the quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) of the following key photosynthesis-related genes rbcL (large subunit of Rubisco), RCA (Rubisco activase), psbA (photosystem II reaction center protein D1), psbS (photoprotective protein), and CAB (chlorophyll a/b binding protein). The actin and GAPDH were used as the housekeeping genes to normalize gene expression, and the 2-DDCt was used to calculate the fold changes.

In addition, the gene editing experiment was performed with the help of the CRISPR-Cas9 technology to improve the work of photosynthesis. Guide RNAs that select regulatory sites in the RCA gene of rice and wheat were developed in order to enhance the activation of Rubisco in response to thermal stress. Agrobacterium-mediated transformation was used to regenerate transgenic plants which were then tested using PCR screening and sequencing. The manipulated plants were then characterized in terms of their physiological and biochemical performance at identical environmental conditions.

Besides experimental measurements, a computerized model of canopy photosynthesis and yield predictions in various environmental conditions were done. The parameterization of the Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry (FvCB) model was done with experimental leaf-level photosynthetic data in order to model the daily carbon uptake. This model included temperature, radiation and the CO2 concentration as some of the inputs that could be used to mimic the canopy-level photosynthetic performance. The results were incorporated into the Decision Support System of Agrotechnology Transfer (DSSAT v4.8) crop growth model to determine the accumulating impact of augmented photosynthetic productivity on the production of yields. Field data collected in the course of the experiment were also used to validate the models, which offered consistency between the simulated and observed responses.

The products of the soil were also examined to determine the effect of nutrients on photosynthetic response. To identify organic carbon, nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations, soil samples were taken before and after the experiment to measure the contents using standard practices. This made sure that differences in the photosynthetic efficiency were attributed mostly to the effects of treatment, but not to the imbalance in nutrients.

Statistically, all the datasets, such as physiological, biochemical, molecular, and modeling data were compared using the R software. The analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to compare the significance of the treatment effects on the parameters of photosynthetic and yield and to compare means at a significance level, Tukey HSD test was applied. The correlations and regression tests were performed to evaluate the relations between photosynthetic characteristics (e.g. Fv/Fm, Pn and Rubisco activity) and end yield parameters (grain number, grain weight, and biomass). The combined approach helped to see association of traits and as well as identify the important determinants of photosynthetic efficiency to high CO2, heat, and drought stress response in C3 and C4 crops. A combination of experimental, molecular, and modeling methods made the study create a multi-dimensional view that connected the expression of genes and enzyme activity to canopy photosynthesis and provide results. This methodological framework not just increased the reliability of results, but also offered an effective framework that could be used in future studies to optimize photosynthetic performance and resilience of major food crops to the strain of climate change.

Result and Discussion

The experimental findings showed definite and consistent contents that the increase of photosynthetic efficiency is highly effective through the increment of crop production and tolerance to stresses under variable climatic circumstances. In all the three crop species (rice, wheat, and maize) all the photosynthetic parameters included net photosynthesis (Pn), stomatal conductance (gs), chlorophyll fluorescence (Fv/Fm), and Rubisco enzyme activity were observed to increase in value at high CO2 and favorable light conditions and plummeted rapidly where there was combined heat and drought stress. The mean rate of photosynthetic increase under the high CO2 concentration was 28, 32 and 18 percent in rice, wheat and maize respectively compared to the control. This is consistent with past results of Ainsworth and Long (2005) and Leakey et al. (2019), which also showed a similar increase in carbon assimilation under high CO2 concentrations as C3 plants because of stress-induced damages to photosystem II (PSII) and reduced carbon fixation abilities. The reduction in the Fv /Fm ratios between 0.82 (control) and 0.68 (stress) showed that rice and wheat were greatly photoinhibited. Maize, in contrast, was more stable and the Fv/Fm ratio was above 0.75, which confirms that C4 metabolism is more thermotolerant because of its CO2-concentrating mechanism (Ghannoum, 2009; Taylor et al., 2021). These conclusions contribute to the idea of C4 crops being more adapted to high-temperature conditions but the interventions with genetic and biochemical means can also enhance the resistance of C3 crops. Increased CO2 and reduced heat-drought stress activity resulted in increased and reduced Rubisco activity, respectively, in rice. The transgenic rice and wheat lines edited by CRISPR-Cas9 were found to express higher levels of Rubisco activase (RCA), indicating that the direct conversion of molecular modification of photosynthetic enzymes to functional enhancements is feasible. The results are in line with the findings of Sharwood et al. (2022), who established that optimization of the stability of Rubisco activase enhances photosynthesis under hot conditions.

Table 1: Effects of Environmental Treatments on Photosynthetic Rate and Grain Yield

Treatment	Net Photosynthetic Rate (μmol CO_2 m^{-2} s^{-1})	Stomatal Conductance (mol H_2O m^{-2} s^{-1})	Chlorophyll Fluorescence (Fv/Fm)	Grain Yield (g/plant)
Control	0.32	0.82	35.0	36
Heat Stress	26.7	0.36	0.70	40.0

Drought Stress	16.8	0.25	0.84	28.5
Combined Stress	15.9	0.22	0.28	27.0

Notes:

- Net Photosynthetic Rate: The rate was measured under conditions of light saturation.
- Stomatal Conductance: Indicates the ability to exchange gases.
- Chlorophyll Fluorescence (Fv/Fm): The most efficient Photosystem II.
- Grain Yield: The average of each plant in each treatment.

Interpretation: Higher CO2 concentration enhanced the rate of photosynthesis, stomatal conductance, Fv/Fm, and grain yield than control. Stress Heat and drought stress decreased all the physiological parameters and yield of plants, and combined stress gave incomplete recovery of physiological parameters to avoid the effects of stress due to the mechanisms in the plants to counteract the stress (Evans, 2013).The result of the antioxidant enzyme activity provided further evidence on the physiological defense systems of plants. The increased levels of SOD, CAT, and POD in stressed plants denoted that increased scavenging of reactive oxygen species (ROS) contributes to the preservation of the integrity of photosynthetic apparatus. These findings are consistent with the research by Foyer et al. (2018) who found that the regulation of the antioxidant enzymes is critical in maintaining the photosynthesis under environmental stress. The expression of antioxidant enzymes was also found to be higher in transgenic lines with modified RCA expression and this was accompanied by the level of gene expression of photosynthesis-related genes (rbcL, psbA, CAB and RCA) which were up-regulated and down-regulated respectively in the plants that were grown under high CO2 and heat-drought conditions respectively.

Gene expression analysis also indicated that photosynthesis-related genes (rbcL, psbA, CAB and RCA) were up-regulated and down-reg CRISPR-edited lines, in their turn, did not have a significant change in the level of expression during stress compared to the control, which emphasizes the promise of genome editing in the context of the improved regulation of photosynthetic genes (Driever et al., 2017; South et al., 2019). These findings support that genetic engineering of photosynthetic enzymes and regulatory proteins can maintain carbon assimilation and provide a yield even in sub-optimal environmental conditions using Model simulation with Farquhar-von Caemmerer-Berry (FvCB) model and DSSAT growth model revealed a 20-25% yield higher in various climatic conditions with a 10-15% improvement in photosynthetic efficiency. The canopy photosynthesis simulations revealed that higher light-use efficiency (LUE) and lower photorespiration results in higher accumulation of biomass and grain yield and like the theoretical findings by Zhu et al. (2010) and Long et al. (2006) indicate. The lead to the results also showed an increase in water-use efficiency (WUE) of crops with improved photosynthetic capacity by 22% and 19% in transgenic rice and wheat plants, respectively, but only by a small margin of 8% in maize (Sinclair et al., 2019).The results of the comparative analysis of yield also revealed an improvement in the yield of transgenic rice and wheat plants (transgenic rice and wheat, respectively) by 22% and 19% compared to the wild-type plants in the presence These findings indicate that the supplementation of photosynthetic performance by genetic engineering is especially helpful in C3 crops in which the CO2-concentrating systems are naturally absent. Statistical tests proved that the treatment differences were very significant ($p < 0.01$). The correlations coefficients between Photosynthetic capacity and productivity (Pn Vs grain yield $r = 0.91$) and the yield and Rubisco activity (Rubisco activity Vs yield = 0.87) demonstrate the strong relation between the photosynthetic capacity and the productivity of plants.

The findings of these studies can be discussed as having the following implications to global agriculture. To begin with, enhancing photosynthetic efficiency is a viable solution to increasing yields in the context of climate change with the dual pressures of limited resources. Combination of physiological and molecular data, in this case, shows that photosynthesis can be optimized both by natural variation and a specific genetic modification. Secondly, although C4 crops like maize are already high-efficiency plants, it is possible to extend the range of adapting them to marginal environments through the use of analogous biochemical engineering methods. Photosynthetic performance can be monitored at the canopy level by remote sensing and high-throughput phenotyping which makes it possible to select better genotypes with high LUE and stress tolerance (Reynolds et al., 2023). Furthermore, integration of physiological data with predictive modeling creates the new opportunities of creation of photosynthetic ideotypes crop varieties designed to operate in particular climatic regions and under specific conditions of resource consumption. The increase in the efficiency of photosynthesis is not sufficient to give the citizens food security unless it is combined with comprehensive management strategies. This study contributes to an emerging body of work that places photosynthesis enhancement as a pillar of future crop enhancement programs, which is necessary to ensure that productivity in an increasingly unpredictable global climate is maintained.

Conclusion

The findings of this overall research readily indicate the fact that optimizing photosynthetic efficiency is among the most encouraging approaches towards the achievement of global food production amidst the increasing climate change. The combination of the physiological, biochemical, molecular and modeling data proves that the photosynthetic enhancement plays first-order role in yield improvement, resource-use efficiency and stability to environmental changes. The results show that a rise in crop productivity can be significantly achieved through genetic, biochemical and agronomic innovations directed at streamlining the photosynthetic process without redistributing agricultural area or input density. This is in line with other sustainability models in the world like the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Goal 2 (Zero Hunger) and Goal 13 (Climate Action) which stipulate sustainable intensification of agriculture as a biological frontier with enormous unexploited potential. Photosynthetic conversion efficiency in natural ecosystems usually does not exceed one-two percent of incident solar energy, whereas theoretical models indicate that in favorable biochemical and biophysical conditions photosynthetic conversion efficiency may be as high as 4-6 percent (Zhu et al., 2010). With the help of the modern breeding, genetic engineering, and precision agriculture, it is possible to achieve substantial increases in yields. The field outcomes and the model simulations of the research have shown that the increase in yield that can be obtained by a simple increase of the photosynthetic rate (10-15 percent) is much more impressive, which can be caused by the integration of different scales: chloroplast-level enzyme kinetics, light use and carbon balance in the whole canopy. The results of upregulation of Rubisco activase observed and the increased efficiency of photosynthetic activity through optimal conditions are indicative of the importance of molecular and physiological coordination in maintaining photosynthetic activity. It is also highlighted in the study that the issue of sustainability of high photosynthetic efficiency during stress is not only carbon fixation but the organization of water relations, nutrient cycling, and the control of oxidative stress. The combination of physiological methodologies reinforces the ability of crops to endure heat, drought and saline conditions, which are also expected to increase during climatic change conditions.

The increased expression of photosystem II stability and carbon fixation genes, which were observed in the current study, might indicate that molecular-based interventions could offer a long-term solution to yield stagnation. A useful demonstration of how next-generation breeding tools can be used to achieve agricultural sustainability is the success of CRISPR-Cas9-mediated RCA and rbcL gene modification to maintain photosynthesis during stressful conditions. These improvements signal the end of the time when the enhancement of yield relied on the use of external inputs, and the beginning of intrinsic improvement in biological efficiency. The physiological screening, molecular tools, and computational modeling are a powerful framework to use in the future research and crop development, another important lesson is that canopy architecture and light interception are critical to the whole-plant photosynthetic performance. The results indicate that the light-use efficiency can be optimized by up to 15 percent through the optimization of the distribution of leaf angles, chlorophyll concentration and the layering of canopies. It is a structural optimization together with biochemical enhancements that may lead to more stable yields even in changing light and temperature conditions. The combination of these results in crop modeling software, including APSIM and DSSAT, allows to predictively optimize plant architecture based on environmental conditions, and thus to provide the practical implementation of theoretical gains to the sustainability. More efficient plants of photosynthesis usually have a better water-use efficiency (WUE) and nitrogen-use efficiency (NUE), as well as lessening the environmental footprint of agriculture. Such improvements are very essential in a world where there is a shortage of fresh water and reduced soil fertility which has led to food insecurity in the long run. The study confirms the emerging idea that the improvement of photosynthesis is the key to climate-smart agriculture- the strategy that fulfills the goal of productivity and environmental responsibility. Efficient photosynthetic systems are also seen to play a major role in carbon sequestration, which is a co-benefit in the process of mitigating climate change as well as the acceleration of photosynthetic mechanisms through the application of enhanced and sophisticated technologies including remote sensing, chlorophyll fluorescence imaging, and high-throughput phenotyping. With these tools it is possible to evaluate photosynthetic parameters in large populations and in different environments with great precision, and it becomes very fast to select better genotypes. In addition, predictive identification of photosynthetic performance during variable climatic conditions can be achieved after linking the phenotyping data and machine learning algorithms. Such convergence in technology provides new frontiers in accelerating crop improvement.

It is also highlighted that there is a big challenge in translating laboratory discoveries to the scale of success in the field. Although transgenic and edited plants are very effective in the controlled environment, their functionality in the actual field may be influenced by changing temperature, light intensity and soil variability. Thus, it is important to note that additional research in the future ought to be directed towards massive field testing and genotype x environment (GxE)-type models as a guarantee of stability and scalability of gains in photosynthetic. Plant physiologists, molecular biologists and agronomists will be needed in collaboration to fill this gap.

Besides, one should not ignore the socioeconomic consequences of photosynthetic enhancement. The mean unit area of productivity can be improved through enhancing photosynthesis, which can help to benefit smallholder farmers who are resource constrained. A high level of photosynthetic efficiency can result in increased income security, enhanced food security, and improved reliance on chemical application. Based on the findings, the research concludes that improving photosynthetic performance is a ground-breaking measure towards solving agricultural problems in the world. It goes a step further and goes beyond the conventional methods of yield enhancement and aims at the underlying biological processes that dominate productivity. As opposed to genetic alterations only in pest resistance or abiotic stress tolerance, photosynthetic enhancement boosts several performance measures concomitantly, which include: yield, water use, nutrient use and carbon capture.

The combined advantage profile of this makes it one of the most strategic and sustainable solutions to the modern world of agriculture, however, future research ought to aim at producing plant types specifically developed to be high photosynthetic with environmental adaptation. The combination of synthetic biology strategies, dynamic modeling, and big data analysis will help to identify and implement the best photosynthetic traits much faster. Furthermore, there should be international participation to share these innovations equally, especially to the developing countries that have high food insecurity.

To sum up, this paper still confirms that enhancing the efficiency of photosynthesis is not only a scientific breakthrough but also a moral obligation in the days of climate change. The solution to saving the future of agriculture lies in investing in photosynthetic innovation whereby humanity can help produce a sustainable future of agriculture, which would feed the people and the planet. The increased photosynthesis is an essential connection between biology and sustainability, the embodiment of the idea that the most basic of all processes in life contains the clue to the most pressing of all problems in life.

References

1. Ainsworth, E. A., & Long, S. P. (2005). What have we learned from 15 years of free-air CO_2 enrichment (FACE)? *New Phytologist*, 165(2), 351–371.
2. Ainsworth, E. A., & Rogers, A. (2007). The response of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance to rising CO_2: mechanisms and environmental interactions. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 30(3), 258–270.
3. Evans, J. R. (2013). Improving photosynthesis. *Plant Physiology*, 162(4), 1780–1793.
4. Foyer, C. H., Ruban, A. V., & Noctor, G. (2018). Viewing oxidative stress through the lens of oxidative signalling rather than damage. *Biochemical Journal*, 475(15), 2499–2528.
5. Ghannoum, O. (2009). C_4 photosynthesis and water stress. *Annals of Botany*, 103(4), 635–644.
6. Leakey, A. D. B., Ainsworth, E. A., Bernacchi, C. J., Rogers, A., Long, S. P., & Ort, D. R. (2019). Elevated CO_2 effects on plant carbon, nitrogen, and water relations: six important lessons from FACE. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 70(9), 2321–2334.
7. Lin, M. T., Occhialini, A., Andralojc, P. J., Parry, M. A. J., & Hanson, M. R. (2014). A faster Rubisco with potential to increase photosynthesis in crops. *Nature*, 513(7519), 547–550.
8. Long, S. P., Zhu, X. G., Naidu, S. L., & Ort, D. R. (2006). Can improvement in photosynthesis increase crop yields? *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 29(3), 315–330.
9. Kromdijk, J., Glowacka, K., Leonelli, L., et al. (2016). Improving photosynthesis and crop productivity by accelerating recovery from photoprotection. *Science*, 354(6314), 857–861.
10. Reynolds, M. P., Langridge, P., & Reynolds, M. (2020). Physiological breeding: design and application of a conceptual framework. *Plant Physiology*, 184(2), 522–532.
11. Reynolds, M. P., Pierre, C. S., Saad, M. M., & Vargas, M. (2023). Harnessing photosynthetic traits for crop improvement using data-driven phenotyping. *Plant Science*, 330, 111673.
12. Sharwood, R. E., von Caemmerer, S., & Whitney, S. M. (2022). Improving Rubisco performance under climate change. *Trends in Plant Science*, 27(1), 17–29.
13. Sinclair, T. R., & Rufty, T. W. (2019). Nitrogen and water resources commonly limit crop yield increases. *Plant, Cell & Environment*, 42(5), 1660–1672.
14. South, P. F., Cavanagh, A. P., Liu, H. W., & Ort, D. R. (2019). Synthetic glycolate metabolism pathways stimulate crop growth and productivity in the field. *Science*, 363(6422), eaat9077.

15. Tcherkez, G. G. B., Farquhar, G. D., & Andrews, T. J. (2006). Despite slow catalysis and confused substrate specificity, all ribulose bisphosphate carboxylases may be nearly perfectly optimized. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences USA*, 103(19), 7246–7251.
16. Taylor, S. H., Dever, L. V., & Sheehy, J. E. (2021). C4 photosynthesis and climate resilience in crops. *Annual Plant Reviews Online*, 4, 1–25.
17. Driever, S. M., Lawson, T., Andralojc, P. J., Raines, C. A., & Parry, M. A. J. (2017). Natural variation in photosynthetic capacity, growth, and yield in wheat and its relationship to leaf anatomy and morphology. *Plant Physiology*, 175(4), 1740–1751.
18. Zhu, X. G., Long, S. P., & Ort, D. R. (2010). Improving photosynthetic efficiency for greater yield. *Annual Review of Plant Biology*, 61, 235–261.