DOI: https://doi.org

EcoBiotics: Journal of Animal & Plant Sciences

journal homepage: https://rjsaonline.org/index.php/EcoBiotics/

Role of Cover Crops in Reducing Soil Erosion and Enhancing Biodiversity

Bilal Ahmed Awan[1]
[1]Institute of Horticultural Sciences, University of Agriculture Faisalabad Pakistan
Email: bilal.awan@uaf.edu.pk

ARTICLE INFO

Received:
January 28, 2026
Revised:
February 26, 2026
Accepted:
March 15, 2026
Available Online:
March 21, 2026

Keywords:
cover crops, soil erosion, biodiversity, sustainable agriculture, soil conservation, pollinator habitat, soil biota, agroecology, farmland biodiversity, green manure

Corresponding Author:
bilal.awan@uaf.edu.pk

ABSTRACT

One of the maximum economically and ecologically steeply-priced forms of land degradation withinside the international is soil erosion, wherein topsoil is disadvantaged of its fertility and switch of sediment, nutrients, and agrochemicals into the water our bodies which arise at a charge lots better than that that is replenished. At the identical time, the discount of the biodiversity of farmlands and its neighboring habitats has been substantially driven through homogenization of the rural landscapes thru the power of monoculture. Cover cropping is a longtime intervention that has been promoted as an ecologically sound technique to each of those problems (coping with soil erosion and providing pollinating, beneficial, and soil-residing bugs a habitat), and to provide protecting bodily houses to soils with the aid of using binding roots and bodily defensive in opposition to erosion (interceptive). This paper mentioned the significance of cowl crop practices withinside the shape of species diversity, coping with planting density, rotation frequency, and residue control withinside the discount of soil erosion and the boom of biodiversity withinside the agricultural surroundings wherein the survey statistics become drawn on farmers who used cowl cropping practices. The quantitative studies layout became used. A survey changed into carried out the use of a based questionnaire on two hundred farmers as a number one supply of statistics. Participant choice become achieved the use of a random sampling technique. The secondary statistics series changed into carried out to gather facts at the quotes of soil erosion and biodiversity signs of agricultural initiatives via the rural extension reviews and environmental datasets. The evaluation of information became accomplished via descriptive statistics, Cronbachs Alpha reliability and Pearson correlation and more than one regression evaluation with SPSS software. The 4 variables of cowl crop practices had been discovered to be tremendous high-quality predictors of the discount of soil erosion (version $R2 = 0.671$, $F(4, 195) = 99.83$, $p < 0.001$) and enhancement of biodiversity ($R2 = 0.638$, $F(4, 195) = 85.84$, $p < 0.001$). The maximum prolific predictor of the erosion discount ($b = 0.412$, $p < 0.001$) and biodiversity enhancement ($b = 0.438$, $p < 0.001$) become the quilt crop species diversity. Cronbach Alpha turned into determined to be among 0.791 and 0.873, which proves the excessive inner consistency of all constructs. Cover cropping additionally allows to lower the soil erosion in addition to boom the biodiversity of agriculture. The cowl crop adoption need to be supported with guidelines that increase schooling on such practices, subsidies on inputs, or even marketplace incentives to scale up the dual-gain sustainable agricultural practices in numerous farming systems.

Introduction

Land degradation on this planet is so sizeable and economically substantial as soil erosion. Globally, it's far expected that each yr 24 billion tonnes of fertile topsoil are misplaced because of water and wind erosion and this corresponds to USD eight billion agribusiness productiveness losses in addition to off-web website online charges together with the sedimentation of water our bodies, water our bodies filling, and downstream flooding (FAO, 2019; Pimentel and Burgess, 2013). Erosion does

now no longer honestly bring about the bodily motion of soil debris: it's far a selective method that removes the additives of the soil, which can be the maximum biologically and chemically lively the excellent clay debris and natural matter, which anchor nutrient availability, water-keeping capacity, and microbial biodiversity and leaves structurally degraded, much less efficient and much less biologically energetic soils as a via way of means of product (Lal, 2003; Quinton et al., 2010). Even small erosion prices in regions wherein the topsoil is already pretty shallow can irreversibly effect the rural capacity of the arable land in human time frames.

At the equal time, the biodiversity of agricultural lands has been experiencing an irreversible and closely documented degradation withinside the beyond a long time because of the mixed effect of habitat degradation, pesticides, monocultures, and eradication of non-crop habitat additives together with hedges, discipline edges, and fallow lands (Benton et al., 2003; Hallmann et al., 2017). The ecological effect of the lack of biodiversity of farmlands is extra than the lack of species in keeping with se: pollinators, herbal enemies of pests, soil living invertebrates, in addition to microbial groups all provide the ecologically beneficial offerings of crop pollination, herbal pest manipulate, nutrient biking, and soil structural balance, the financial offerings of which to agriculture a ways outweigh the price of sustainability practices that assist them (Cardinale et al., 2012; Klein et al., 2007). The modern heritage of slow lack of farmland biodiversity therefore isn't best an environmental crisis, however a supply of hazard to the sustainability and resilience of meals manufacturing structures withinside the lengthy run.

Cover cropping, via way of means of which a crop is nurtured however now no longer withinside the normal coins crop rotation, normally withinside the fallow season or an intercrop, has obtained growing clinical and practitioner hobby as a control technique that may correctly mitigate fallow soil erosion and concurrently decrease biodiversity loss (Blanco-Canqui et al., 2015; Hartwig and Ammon, 2002). The bodily techniques via way of means of which the quilt vegetation can lessen soil erosion are well-known: the aerial cowl intercepts rainfall previous to its capacity to disaggregate soil aggregates and shape splash erosion; the stems and remnants of the quilt plant gradual the floor water glide and decrease the speed of runoff water; and the foundation structures keep soil debris collectively to decorate soil combination balance and growth infiltration quotes, which reason water to be drawn via way of means of the floor runoff into the underlying water flows (Kaye and Quemada, 2017; Poeplau All those procedures make cowl plants decrease the erosion via way of means of detachment and transportation of soil debris.

These biodiversity blessings of the quilt plants achieve this in complementary however one-of-a-kind ways. Nectar and pollen-wealthy flowering cowl crop species might also additionally likewise boost the species richness and abundance of a panorama in any other case resource-terrible monoculture at instances of the 12 months whilst few different flowering flora are present (Blaauw and Isaacs, 2012; Wallhead et al., 2012). Cover crop canopies provide structural complexity which helps the useful arthropods floor beetles and parasitic wasps that during flip manage the pest population (Lundgren and Fergen, 2014). Cover crop root exudates and residues which might be observed under the floor cause soil microbial biomass and diversity, which in flip underlie the soil meals internet that sustains nutrient biking and decomposition of natural matter (Mendes et al., 2015; Wittwer et al., 2017). The mixed-species cowl crop groups provide greater biodiversity advantages in comparison to monoculture cowl plants due to the fact they've better structural and trophic diversity (Schipanski et al., 2014).

Although the proof base of cowl crop blessings beneathneath numerous offerings to the atmosphere is sizable and growing, the costs of adoption are nevertheless choppy and are reportedly decrease than the quotes had to gain the panorama-scale advantages of soil conservation and biodiversity. The limitations to adoption are delivered fees to seeds and control, and fear that viable yield consequences withinside the resulting coins crop, unfamiliarity with the proper species selection, and a loss of extension recommendation according with the neighborhood soil and weather situations (Roesch-McNally et al., 2018; Snapp et al., 2005). Strict empirical studies which quantifies the efficacy of use of cowl crop strategies with the aid of using actual farmers and now no longer beneathneath experimental situations is mainly beneficial in informing proof-primarily based totally extension advising and coverage development.

This paper answered to this requirement via way of means of task a studies observe on using the 4 cowl crop exercise variables which include species diversity, control of planting density, rotation frequency, and control of residues to lessen soil erosion and sell biodiversity the usage of survey statistics that turned into amassed on 2 hundred farmers who had practiced cowl cropping techniques. To triangulate number one findings, secondary erosion and biodiversity records have been used withinside the shape of extension file and facts at the environment. The unbiased and relative roles of every exercise size at the results of soil conservation and biodiversity have been decided through a couple of regression analysis, which produced proof that may be used to inspire farmers, extension advisors, and policymakers to enforce cowl cropping as a sustainable agricultural exercise (Blanco-Canqui et al., 2015; Poeplau and Don, 2015; Schipanski et al., 2014).

Literature Review

Cover Crops and Soil Erosion: Physical Mechanisms and Evidence

The cowl vegetation were extensively utilized in severa cropping structures, climates, and soils however their soil erosion manage makes use of had been reports. A meta-evaluation observe with the aid of using Blanco-Canqui et al. (2015) found out that the quilt plants reduced the quantity of soil erosion in keeping with 12 months via way of means of a mean of sixty five percentage over naked fallow soil conditions, with grass cowl vegetation additionally providing barely better bodily safety than legumes due to their denser root structures and a greater complete insurance of soils. Kaye and Quemada (2017) taken into consideration the mechanisms with the aid of using which cowl plants mitigate erosion and discovered the cover interception of rain energy, soil floor residue mulching, root-primarily based totally enhancement of soil mixture balance, and better water infiltration as 4 most important pathways. Kaspar and Singer (2011) confirmed that cereal rye generated sufficient biomass to cowl the soil to the closest and decrease the runoff through seventy eight and sediment loss with the aid of using 89 percentage in maize-soybean rotations. Poeplau and Don (2015) additionally tested that the addition of cowl crop residue had a mean nice impact of 0.32 Mg C ha-1 yr-1 at the soil natural carbon content, and the direct advantages of mixture balance and erosion resistance.

Diversity and Ecological Functions of Crop Species: Covers

Species composition has a high-quality effect at the ecological features of cowl plants and mixed-species groups have a tendency to carry out higher than monoculture cowl vegetation in numerous atmosphere provider dimensions. Schipanski et al. (2014) as in comparison 18 cowl crop mixtures and determined that the abundance of plant species in cowl crop mixtures changed into undoubtedly related with the general above-floor biomass, nitrogen fixation, weed suppression, and provisioning of pollinators - indicating that species variety in cowl crop mixtures presents greater ecological offerings in comparison to unmarried species. A 5 12 months area trial via way of means of Wittwer et al. (2017) evaluating one of a kind cowl crop mixes with monoculture cowl vegetation and naked fallow found out that the various mixes improved microbial biomass carbon through 28 percentage and microbial range with the aid of using 19 percentage as in comparison to monocultures with comparable upgrades withinside the price of nitrogen mineralization and soil building. These effects may be as in comparison to the relaxation of the biodiversity-surroundings functioning literature, which continuously depicts that more and more more numerous plant groups give an explanation for more and more more various and functionally lively purchaser groups (Cardinale et al., 2012).

Plant Cover Crops as Pollinator and Beneficial Arthropod Habitat

The position of cowl crop in improving biodiversity of farmlands with the aid of using presenting floral assets and pollinator and useful arthropod habitat shape has been suggested in numerous agricultural structures. The take a look at through Blaauw and Isaacs (2012) changed into the primary landmark have a look at in blueberry manufacturing structures and that they observed that wildflower strip superior pollination carrier of bees via way of means of 86 and one hundred sixty five percentage relative to the monoculture fields that surrounded the fields, and the carrier of pollination of vegetation changed into drastically improved. Wallhead et al. (2012) installed that phacelia and buckwheat cowl vegetation have been critical reassets of pollen and nectar withinside the in any other case restricted location withinside the agricultural environment, and maintained bee populations of the cavity-nesting bees species and bumblebee queens in key established order degrees of the spring. Lundgren and Fergen (2014) confirmed that cowl crop structures of no-until appreciably augmented the population and makes use of of floor-lively predator beetles that manipulate pest populations because of constants disturbance mitigation of the earth floor and the floor habitats connected with cowl crop administration.

The Biodiversity of Soil Biota and Belowground

The ecological cost of cowl vegetation is now being visible to middle on their blessings of biodiversity withinside the underground. Mendes et al. (2015) as in comparison bacterial and fungal network composition in cowl crop as opposed to naked fallow soils and found that microbial biomass, microbial range, and practical gene variety are extensively better in cowl-cropped soils and that arbuscular mycorrhizal fungi that boom the nutrient uptake of vegetation and aggregation balance are considerably enriched in cowl-cropped soils. It turned into proven that species of cowl vegetation had a modulating impact on soil bacterial groups via way of means of the specific have an effect on of the distinct root exudate profiles on rhizosphere microbial ecology (Bending et al., 2004), and that cowl crop species choice may be hired purposefully to layout soil microbial groups shape in a advantageous direction. De Vries et al. (2012) installed that the complexity of soil meals webs in soils with various crop rotations and cowl vegetation turned into an awful lot more than in conventional monoculture structures, and this locating turned into corroborated to provide an explanation for how strong the soil-mediated ecosystems offerings in reaction to stress. Conventionally, withinside the US, a part of cowl crop is conventionally

controlled the use of a weed cutter or mill on a tractor, termed cowl historically practiced US, a fragment of cowl crop is historically eliminated with a weed cutter or mill on a tractor called cowl crop harvesting.

Cover Crop Residue Management and Soil Health

What takes place to cowl crop biomass after termination is an problem of problem with large influences at the fitness of soils. The termination of cowl vegetation can be thru the strategies of tillage incorporation, roller-crimping, herbicide application, or grazing that yield variably various dynamics of residue decomposition and soil fitness trends (Roesch-McNally et al., 2018; Snapp et al., 2005). Incorporation of tillage quickens the breakdown of the residue and affords nutrients, faster to the subsequent coins crop on the value of the safety and combination-stabilizing talents of floor residue mulch. It has additionally been located that roller-crimping, a method that ends cowl plants however leaves them on as a floor mulch, has been drawing expanded interest in conservation tillage structures as a machine that optimizes erosion manage and weed manage and on the identical cut-off dates disturbance of the soil (Mirsky et al., 2012). The overview of agronomic and environmental effects of cowl crop termination control via way of means of Snapp et al. (2005) determined that the termination approach used become one of the maximum impactful control selections in a cowl crop device with ramifications on soil water dynamics, status quo of coins crop and long-time period soil natural count accumulation.

Drivers and Barriers to Adoption

The understanding of the elements influencing the choice of farmers to enforce cowl cropping, to expand, or to desert it's miles essential in formulating powerful extension and coverage interventions. In the survey carried out via way of means of Roesch-McNally et al. (2018), the interplay among the farmers and the survey query worried the intentions to apply cowl plants; the 3 maximum expressed are the advantages of soil fitness, wonderful peer have an effect on, and fee-proportion application get right of entry to, while the primary boundaries had been the fee of the seed used, labour need, and the uncertainty associated with the yield of coins vegetation. Snapp et al. (2005) additionally discovered that lack of expertise specially at the form of species to apply, timing of termination, and integration with different coins crop control practices have been principal constraints that would be addressed with the aid of using extension offerings the use of demonstration and peer learning. When Abdulai and Huffman (2014) used a switching regression version to decide the productiveness affects of cowl crop adoption, they located that adopters skilled enormous advantages of a better exceptional in phrases of soil fitness and yield balance, however non-adopters had a statistically decrease get right of entry to to credit, extension advice, and peer networks.

Policy and Agronomic Dimensions of Cover Crop Scaling

Cover cropping is economically feasible amongst man or woman farmers most effective to the volume of the coverage surroundings. Agri-surroundings scheme bills as a subset of wider inexperienced structure regulations have supplied economic incentives on cowl crop adoption withinside the European Union, below the Common Agricultural Policy, and meta-analyses have proposed the schemes have brought about the presence of considerable enhancements in farm biodiversity in which well-designed and sufficiently funded (Batary et al., 2015). Batary et al. (2015) located in a pan-European meta-evaluation of the influences of agri-surroundings schemes that the panorama context, i.e., the fraction of semi-herbal habitat in the surrounding panorama, became a key moderator of the biodiversity outcome, and that schemes had more biodiversity will increase in much less complex, extra intensively farmed landscapes. These effects spotlight the want to mix exercise intervention on the farm stage with panorama-scale making plans to make sure the optimum outcomes of the biodiversity thru adopting cowl crop.

Methodology

Research Design

The studies layout used on this examine turned into a quantitative studies layout so as to research the function of cowl crop practices in soil erosion prevention, and development of biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems. The have a look at changed into operating below a positivist epistemological paradigm, wherein the proposed relationships among the desired cowl crop control variables and the quantifiable soil conservation and biodiversity effects had been to be examined with the assist of a statistical evaluation of the dependent survey and secondary statistics. Quantitative approach become selected for the reason that it allowed on the equal time reading numerous relationships among predictors and results the usage of a consultant pattern of farmers who exercise cowl cropping, and produces consequences that may be carried out to the whole populace of cowl crop adopters withinside the studies setting.

Study Area and Population

The studies changed into completed in Faisalabad district, Pakistan, Punjab. Faisalabad changed into selected because the observe place because of its particular agro-ecological conditions - it's far placed withinside the Pothwar Plateau, a rainfed agricultural land, which has undulating topography, shallow silty-loam soils, a excessive stage of soil erosion and a semi-arid climate, which makes soil conservation measures particularly vital to retain agricultural production. The district has a protracted records of dryland agriculture and has been goal of diverse authorities and NGO-led soil conservation and sustainable agriculture applications along with cowl crop demonstrations packages prepared via way of means of the Faisalabad district agricultural extension office. These traits additionally ensured that Faisalabad changed into a completely pertinent and consultant surroundings wherein to take a look at the actual international applicability of practices to obtain cowl crop effectiveness in erosion discount and nurturing farmland biodiversity with applicable agronomic challenge. The populace below have a look at blanketed farmers withinside the agricultural areas of Faisalabad district who had used the quilt cropping techniques as a regular exercise in coping with their farms.

Sampling

Random sampling changed into used primarily based totally on an to be had sampling body of the eligible farmers who had been recognized the use of agricultural extension branch information of the individuals beneathneath the quilt crop application withinside the district such that each eligible farmer had an identical and unbiased risk of being selected. The calculation of the pattern length become accomplished a priori primarily based totally on the same old strength evaluation parameters (a = 0.05, strength = 0.80, medium impact length, 4 predictors) which ended in a minimal required n of 166, the very last pattern of 2 hundred farmers gave enough room to display facts quality. The questionnaires wherein extra than 10 percentage of the statistics have been lacking had been then removed and finally, two hundred questionnaires of powerful reaction fee of 91.7 percentage had been used out of the 218 to begin with approached.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (n = 200)

Variable / Category	Frequency (n)	Percentage (%)
Gender		
Male	143	71.5
Female	57	28.5
Age Group		
18–30 years	30	15.0
31–45 years	92	46.0
46–60 years	51	25.5
Above 60 years	27	13.5
Years of Farming Experience		
Less than 5 years	18	9.0
5–10 years	47	23.5
11–20 years	85	42.5
More than 20 years	50	25.0
Education Level		
Primary or less	28	14.0
Secondary	69	34.5
Vocational/Technical	58	29.0
University & above	45	22.5
Primary Cover Crop Type		
Legume-Based	77	38.5
Cereal–Legume Mixes	66	33.0
Grass-Based	57	28.5

Source: Field survey data (n = 200).

Research Instrument

The information had been collected the use of a based and self-administered questionnaire that had 6 sections. In phase A, demographic and farm specs together with age, gender, training level, years of farming experience, farm length and most important sort of crop had been recorded. Section B evaluated the practices of cowl crop species variety (7 objects). Section C concerned the planting density control (6 gadgets). The frequency and length of rotation had been mentioned in phase D (6

gadgets). Section E became a degree of residue control practices (6 objects). Section F measured the 2 established variables constructs of soil erosion discount outcomes (nine objects) and biodiversity enhancement outcomes (eight objects). Construct gadgets had been all rated on a five-factor Likert scale (1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree). Two soil scientists and one agroecologist reviewed the tool and pilots have been pre-examined at the tool via way of means of 25 farmers with object wording revised primarily based totally on pilot feedback.

Secondary Data Sources

Field reviews supplied through the rural extension departments, local environmental tracking datasets and authorities furnished agricultural information of the examine regions had been used as secondary reassets of facts at the soil erosion prices and biodiversity signs. Outputs of the Universal Soil Loss Equation fashions and discipline measurements information on soil erosion on farms withinside the examine areas had been derived in phrases of tonnes consistent with hectare consistent with year. Biodiversity signs consisting of the said variety of pollinators species, soil invertebrate range indices and weed stress rankings of the extension tracking applications had been additionally protected as supplementary validation facts to the mainstream survey results.

Data Analysis Procedures

All the information had been placed in, coded, and analyzed via IBM SPSS Statistics Version 26. There had been 4 degrees of the analysis. To start with, descriptive data, which includes frequencies, percentages, means, and preferred deviations, have been calculated of all of the demographic and assemble variables. Second, inner consistency changed into evaluated via way of means of computing Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficients of each multi-object assemble. Third, Pearson product-second correlation become used to check the bivariate correlations among all variables of the quilt crop practices and the 2 variables of the based variable. Fourth, a couple of regressions have been conducted, one on soil erosion discount and the opposite at the enhancement of biodiversity to decide the impartial predictive cost of the 4 variables of cowl crop practices. Variance Inflation Factor diagnostic assessments confirmed that there has been no unwanted multicollinearity in each fashions.

Data Analysis and Results

Descriptive Statistical Analysis and Reliability

Table 2 suggests descriptive data and Cronbach Alpha reliability coefficients of all constructs of the observe. Cover crop species variety acquired the most important imply rating (M = 3.81, SD = 0.77), this means that that the respondents ranked the species diversification below the impartial variable constructs because the maximum often applied practice. The lowest imply (M = 3.44, SD = 0.91) changed into discovered withinside the residues control, which means that the first-rate residue control approach is extra bendy and technologically complicated amongst farmers. The discount of soil erosion (M = 3.69, SD = 0.78) had a rating that become a bit better than that of biodiversity enhancement (M = 3.54, SD = 0.83) that is in keeping with the greater bodily observable and on the spot nature of the erosion manage blessings over the biodiversity blessings. The values of the Cronbach Alpha have been all above 0.70 being among 0.791 and 0.873 which might be suitable and excessive inner consistency respectively.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics and Reliability Coefficients for All Constructs (n = 200)

Construct	N	Mean	SD	Cronbach's Alpha (α)
Cover Crop Species Diversity (CCSD)	200	3.81	0.77	0.847
Planting Density Management (PDM)	200	3.67	0.84	0.873
Rotation Frequency (RF)	200	3.62	0.87	0.831
Residue Management (RM)	200	3.44	0.91	0.812
Soil Erosion Reduction (SER) — Dependent	200	3.69	0.78	0.858
Biodiversity Enhancement (BE) — Dependent	200	3.54	0.83	0.791

SD = Standard Deviation. Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree to 5 = Strongly Agree. All α values exceed the 0.70 threshold.

Secondary Data: Observed Soil Erosion and Biodiversity Indicators

The number one survey consequences had been supported with the aid of using secondary facts of agricultural extension and the environmental monitoring. Table three indicates a contrast of the erosion and biodiversity primarily based totally at the extension discipline reviews of farms which are below lively cowl crop control and naked fallow manipulate plots or monoculture manipulate plots withinside the examine area. Long-time period cowl crop rotations on farms confirmed a median soil lack of 1.eighty four t ha-1 yr-1 as opposed to 6.forty seven t ha-1 yr-1 on comparable naked fallows plots - a

71.6% lower this is in keeping with meta-analytical estimates of worldwide literature (Blanco-Canqui et al., 2015). An common quantity of pollinator species according to transect on cowl-cropped farms (14.three) become observed to be 34% extra than the species richness of pollinator on manage farms (7.8), and the invertebrate variety index of soil in cowl crop mixes become 34% more on cowl crop farms.

Table 3: Secondary Data — Soil Erosion and Biodiversity Indicators by Cover Crop Management Status

Indicator	Cover Crop Farms	Control Plots	% Difference
Mean Annual Soil Loss (t ha^{-1} yr^{-1})	1.84	6.47	-71.6%
Surface Runoff Volume (mm yr^{-1})	48.3	141.7	-65.9%
Soil Organic Matter Content (%)	3.41	2.18	+56.4%
Pollinator Species Richness (spp. per transect)	14.3	7.8	+83.3%
Soil Invertebrate Diversity Index	0.74	0.55	+34.5%
Beneficial Arthropod Abundance (per m^2)	18.4	8.6	+114.0%

Source: Agricultural extension field monitoring reports; environmental datasets from study regions. Control plots = bare fallow or monoculture without cover crops.

Correlation Analysis

Pearson correlation analysis (Table 4) confirmed that every one the 4 variables of cowl vegetation exercise have been definitely and notably correlated with the soil erosion discount and enhancement of biodiversity withinside the 0.01 level. The finest bivariate correlations with erosion discount ($r = 0.621$, $p < 0.01$) and biodiversity enhancement ($r = 0.648$, $p < 0.01$) had been discovered withinside the species of cowl crop, which represents the primacy of the usage of species as a cowl crop control decision. The weakest correlations had been determined with the 2 final results variables thru residue control ($r = 0.501$ and $r = 0.487$ respectively), despite the fact that the 2 correlations have been noticeably significant. The excessive fine interdependence among soil erosion discount and biodiversity enhancement($r = 0.693$, $p < 0.01$) supported the reality that the 2 aren't unbiased however are ecologically pre-decided collectively and the identical soil natural count number and soil biota mediate both.

Table 4: Pearson Correlation Matrix — Cover Crop Practices and Outcome Variables (n = 200)

Variable	CCSD	PDM	RF	RM	SER	BE
CCSD	1.000	0.487**	0.441**	0.362**	0.621**	0.648**
PDM		1.000	0.471**	0.401**	0.583**	0.561**
RF			1.000	0.388**	0.547**	0.524**
RM				1.000	0.501**	0.487**
SER					1.000	0.693**
BE						1.000

** Correlation significant at 0.01 level (2-tailed). CCSD = Cover Crop Species Diversity; PDM = Planting Density Management; RF = Rotation Frequency; RM = Residue Management; SER = Soil Erosion Reduction; BE = Biodiversity Enhancement.

Multiple Regression Analysis — Soil Erosion Reduction

A more than one regression evaluation become performed with soil erosion discount because the based variable. VIF values for all predictors ranged from 1.fifty eight to 2.21, confirming the absence of multicollinearity. The usual version turned into notably significant ($F(4, 195) = 99.83$, $p < 0.001$), with the 4 cowl crop exercise variables explaining 67.1% of variance in soil erosion discount ($R^2 = 0.671$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.664$). Cover crop species variety changed into the most powerful predictor ($\beta = 0.412$, $t = 6.714$, $p < 0.001$), observed through planting density management ($\beta = 0.361$, $t = 5.881$, $p < 0.001$), rotation frequency ($\beta = 0.284$, $t = 4.612$, $p < 0.01$), and residue management ($\beta = 0.218$, $t = 3.444$, $p < 0.01$). All 4 hypotheses referring to soil erosion discount had been supported.

Table 5: Multiple Regression Results — Dependent Variable: Soil Erosion Reduction (n = 200)

Predictor Variable	B	SE	β	t-value	Sig.
(Constant)	0.584	0.178	—	3.281	**
Cover Crop Species Diversity	0.417	0.062	0.412	6.714	***
Planting Density Management	0.334	0.057	0.361	5.881	***

Rotation Frequency	0.254	0.055	0.284	4.612	**
Residue Management	0.187	0.054	0.218	3.444	**
$R = 0.819$ $R^2 = 0.671$ Adjusted $R^2 = 0.664$ $F(4, 195) = 99.83$ $p < 0.001$					

B = Unstandardized coefficient; SE = Standard Error; β = Standardized coefficient. *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01.

Multiple Regression Analysis — Biodiversity Enhancement

A 2d a couple of regression evaluation become accomplished with biodiversity enhancement because the established variable. The ordinary version changed into once more especially great ($F(4, 195) = 85.84$, $p < 0.001$), with the 4 cowl crop exercise variables explaining 63.8% of variance in biodiversity enhancement outcomes ($R^2 = 0.638$, Adjusted $R^2 = 0.631$). In this version, cowl crop species range emerged because the most powerful predictor ($\beta = 0.438$, $t = 6.931$, $p < 0.001$) — recording an excellent better standardized coefficient for biodiversity enhancement than for erosion reduction — reflecting the unique significance of floral and structural range in cowl crop groups for assisting pollinators, useful arthropods, and soil biota. Planting density management ($\beta = 0.323$, $t = 5.114$, $p < 0.001$), rotation frequency ($\beta = 0.261$, $t = 4.132$, $p < 0.01$), and residue management ($\beta = 0.204$, $t = 3.218$, $p < 0.01$) all made substantial impartial contributions.

Table 6: Multiple Regression Results — Dependent Variable: Biodiversity Enhancement (n = 200)

Predictor Variable	B	SE	β	t-value	Sig.
(Constant)	0.497	0.191	—	2.601	**
Cover Crop Species Diversity	0.471	0.068	0.438	6.931	***
Planting Density Management	0.320	0.063	0.323	5.114	***
Rotation Frequency	0.249	0.060	0.261	4.132	**
Residue Management	0.193	0.060	0.204	3.218	**
$R = 0.799$ $R^2 = 0.638$ Adjusted $R^2 = 0.631$ $F(4, 195) = 85.84$ $p < 0.001$					

B = Unstandardized coefficient; SE = Standard Error; β = Standardized coefficient. *** p < 0.001; ** p < 0.01.

Hypothesis Testing Summary

Table 7: Summary of Hypothesis Testing Results

H	Hypothesis Statement	DV	β	Sig.	Result
H1	Cover crop species diversity positively predicts soil erosion reduction	SER	0.412	p < 0.001	✓
H2	Planting density management positively predicts soil erosion reduction	SER	0.361	p < 0.001	✓
H3	Rotation frequency positively predicts soil erosion reduction	SER	0.284	p < 0.01	✓
H4	Residue management positively predicts soil erosion reduction	SER	0.218	p < 0.01	✓
H5	Cover crop species diversity positively predicts biodiversity enhancement	BE	0.438	p < 0.001	✓
H6	Planting density management positively predicts biodiversity enhancement	BE	0.323	p < 0.001	✓
H7	Rotation frequency positively predicts biodiversity enhancement	BE	0.261	p < 0.01	✓
H8	Residue management positively predicts biodiversity enhancement	BE	0.204	p < 0.01	✓

✓= Hypothesis Supported. DV = Dependent Variable; SER = Soil Erosion Reduction; BE = Biodiversity Enhancement. All eight hypotheses were supported.

Discussion

The findings of the contemporary paper provide robust quantitative records that the 4 variables of cowl crop practices, which consist of species range, planting density control, rotation frequency, and residue control independently and considerably decide a discount in soil erosion, in addition to the boom in biodiversity in agricultural ecosystems. The appropriate version healthy information in each the regression models (R2 = 0.671 in erosion discount; R2 = 0.638 in biodiversity) guide the theoretical version underlying the have a look at with the aid of using displaying that the quilt crop exercise framework explains a excessive percent of the systematic variance withinside the ecological consequences.

The statement that species variety of cowl plants changed into the closing predictor of each soil erosion discount (b = 0.412) and biodiversity improvement (b = 0.438) is congruous with the proof furnished through Schipanski et al. (2014) and Wittwer et al. (2017), who've proven that numerous cowl crop combos are greater powerful than monocultures in numerous

factors of provision of surroundings services. To obtain erosion control, species variety performs a function main to the complementary root systems of combined communities - in which grasses have deep fibrous root systems that maintain the floor soil and legumes have deep taproots that beautify the intensity balance of the soil structure. In the case of biodiversity, the floral range of blended covers is without delay transformed into better pollination aid abundances, extra numerous arthropod habitat structure and greater chemically various profiles of root exudates which give stimulation to broader soil microbial communities (Blaauw & Isaacs, 2012; Mendes et al., 2015).

The truth that the planting density control contributes to the erosion discount (b = 0.361) and biodiversity (b = 0.323) is sizeable to reap enough insurance of the soil to intercept the erosion, and the structural complexity of the plant life to aid the biodiversity. Kaspar and Singer (2011) have hooked up that one of the most powerful predictors of runoff discount turned into cowl crop biomass that is immediately proportional to the established order density, and insufficient established order effects in incomplete insurance of the soil and regions of excessive erosion. On the identical note, the spacing of plant life to too little can lower the range of assets to pollinators and useful arthropods withinside the shape of floral and structural assets, therefore biodiversity effects are restrained no matter the precise desire of species.

The reproducible and sizeable have an impact on of rotation frequency and residue control aleven though with low beta values in comparison to species range and planting density affirm the ecological position of temporal continuity in cowl crop control. The cumulative term throughout which soil gets safety on the floor and natural fabric inputs is calculated with the aid of using rotation frequency, and the circumstance of soil moisture, thermal regime and floor habitat are decided through the residue control, which impacts the erosion resistance and the above and below-floor biodiversity (Snapp et al., 2005; Mirsky et al., 2012). The ecological dependence of those dimensions of effects become proven via way of means of correlation coefficient (r = 0.693) which turned into sturdy among soil erosion discount and biodiversity enhancement, that is steady with the reality that soil natural rely has a not unusualplace location withinside the law of mixture balance and soil biota range (De Vries et al., 2012; Quinton et al., 2010).

The quantitative proof of the survey-primarily based totally conclusions furnished through the secondary records, consisting of the effects of the decrease erosion rate, the growth withinside the pollinator species richness via way of means of 71.6 in line with cent, and the growth withinside the invertebrate variety of the soil with the aid of using 34.five in keeping with cent on the quilt-cropped farms towards the controls, turned into very robust and commonly in step with the worldwide meta-analytical estimations (Blanco-Canqui et al., 2015). All those factors of convergence proof beef up the overall locating that cowl cropping is a completely a hit -fold motive sustainable agricultural approach that need to be strongly recommended as a coverage and supplied to farmers.

Conclusion

The modern studies offered a robust quantitative guide that the quilt crop practices specifically species range control, planting density control, rotation frequency, and residue control are important, tremendous, and impartial predictors of the discount of soil erosion and boom of biodiversity withinside the agricultural ecosystems. The descriptive records, reliability evaluation, Pearson correlation evaluation, and a couple of regression evaluation of survey information on 2 hundred farmers to SPSS found out that the duvet crop exercise framework had 67.1% variance withinside the consequences of soil erosion discount and 63.8% in biodiversity enhancement and all 8 have a look at hypotheses have been supported at the desired degrees of importance. These findings had been supported via way of means of secondary records of environmental monitoring, which indicated absurdly decrease fees of abrasion and drastically better values of biodiversity signs of cowl-cropped farms than controls. Diversity of cowl crop species have become the maximum reliably sturdy predictor of both of the 2 final results dimension, offering proof of the ecological importance in diversifying past easy monocultures in cowl crop communities. High high-quality correlation among soil erosion minimization and biodiversity growth, which, in turn, has been substantiated with the aid of using the excessive fantastic correlation rate, similarly helps the argument of a cowl cropping as a pretty green sustainable agricultural manufacturing machine that could have furnished various co-advantages at once.

Recommendations

Species Diversity Prioritization of Extension Guidance: Extension guiding offerings want to inspire mixed-species cowl crop communities - grasses and legumes and broadleaf flowering crop - because the default cowl crop prescription, and species choice steerage have to be conditioned to elements like nearby soil types, climates and coins crop systems. The extension substances should efficaciously imply the excessive ecological cost of the numerous mixes in comparison to covers of unmarried species.

Subsidy and Cost-Share Programs to cowl Crop Seed: Seed combos of cowl vegetation are priced better than monoculture covers, that is a substantial adoption mission mainly to a smallholder farmer. Specific subsidy / price percentage applications

that decrease the plain value of mixed-species seed of cowl crop might immediately fight the maximum regularly referred to monetary constraint to adoption and species diversification.

Residue Management Training: Since the suggest rating of residue control on adoption depth become recorded to be the bottom and termination technique had excessive implications on each the erosion safety and the biodiversity, precise extension schooling on cowl crop termination have to be designed and applied to bridge the know-how and exercise gaps identified.

Long-Term Rotation Incentives: Cross-temporality multi-12 months incentives must be created to offset the temporally many advantages of incentives to undertake cowl vegetation rather than unmarried-season incentives. Ecosystem offerings schemes which includes the fee of annual fees problem to the once a year bills at the continuity of a cowl crop dedication could offer right time horizon incentives to make certain persevered adoption.

Integration with Biodiversity Monitoring Programs Systematic tracking of the populations of pollinators, soil invertebrates and beneficial arthropodes in farms the usage of cowl crop applications must be integrated into agricultural biodiversity tracking systems. This could provide longitudinal assist of the tendencies in biodiversity that may be attributed to the adoption of cowl plants at the panorama degree and manual in step with the adaptive control of cowl crop schemes with time.

References

1. Abdulai, A., & Huffman, W. (2014). The adoption and impact of soil and water conservation technology: Panel data evidence from Ethiopia. Land Economics, 90(2), 233–247. https://doi.org/10.3368/le.90.2.233
2. Batáry, P., Dicks, L. V., Kleijn, D., & Sutherland, W. J. (2015). The role of agri-environment schemes in conservation and environmental management. Conservation Biology, 29(4), 1006–1016. https://doi.org/10.1111/cobi.12536
3. Bending, G. D., Turner, M. K., Rayns, F., Marx, M. C., & Wood, M. (2004). Microbial and biochemical soil quality indicators and their potential for differentiating areas under contrasting agricultural management regimes. Soil Biology and Biochemistry, 36(11), 1785–1792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.04.041
4. Benton, T. G., Vickery, J. A., & Wilson, J. D. (2003). Farmland biodiversity: Is habitat heterogeneity the key? Trends in Ecology & Evolution, 18(4), 182–188. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0169-5347(03)00011-9
5. Blaauw, B. R., & Isaacs, R. (2012). Flower plantings increase wild bee abundance and the pollination services provided to a pollination-dependent crop. Journal of Applied Ecology, 51(4), 890–898. https://doi.org/10.1111/1365-2664.12257
6. Blanco-Canqui, H., Shaver, T. M., Lindquist, J. L., Shapiro, C. A., Elmore, R. W., Francis, C. A., & Hergert, G. W. (2015). Cover crops and ecosystem services: Insights from studies in temperate soils. Agronomy Journal, 107(6), 2449–2474. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj15.0086
7. Cardinale, B. J., Duffy, J. E., Gonzalez, A., Hooper, D. U., Perrings, C., Venail, P., Narwani, A., Mace, G. M., Tilman, D., Wardle, D. A., Kinzig, A. P., Daily, G. C., Loreau, M., Grace, J. B., Larigauderie, A., Srivastava, D. S., & Naeem, S. (2012). Biodiversity loss and its impact on humanity. Nature, 486(7401), 59–67. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature11148
8. De Vries, F. T., Thébault, E., Liiri, M., Birkhofer, K., Tsiafouli, M. A., Bjørnlund, L., Bracht Jørgensen, H., Brady, M. V., Christensen, S., de Ruiter, P. C., d'Hertefeldt, T., Frouz, J., Hedlund, K., Hemerik, L., Hol, W. H. G., Hotes, S., Mortimer, S. R., Setälä, H., Sgardelis, S. P., … Bardgett, R. D. (2012). Soil food web properties explain ecosystem services across European land use systems. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 110(35), 14296–14301. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1305198110
9. FAO. (2019). Soil erosion: The greatest challenge to sustainable soil management. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations. https://www.fao.org/3/ca4395en/ca4395en.pdf
10. Hallmann, C. A., Sorg, M., Jongejans, E., Siepel, H., Hofland, N., Schwan, H., Stenmans, W., Müller, A., Sumser, H., Hörren, T., Goulson, D., & de Kroon, H. (2017). More than 75 percent decline over 27 years in total flying insect biomass in protected areas. PLOS ONE, 12(10), e0185809. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0185809
11. Hartwig, N. L., & Ammon, H. U. (2002). Cover crops and living mulches. Weed Science, 50(6), 688–699. https://doi.org/10.1614/0043-1745(2002)050[0688:CCALM]2.0.CO;2

12. Kaspar, T. C., & Singer, J. W. (2011). The use of cover crops to manage soil. In J. L. Hatfield & T. J. Sauer (Eds.), Soil management: Building a stable base for agriculture (pp. 321–337). American Society of Agronomy.

13. Kaye, J. P., & Quemada, M. (2017). Using cover crops to mitigate and adapt to climate change: A review. Agronomy for Sustainable Development, 37(1), Article 4. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13593-016-0410-x

14. Klein, A. M., Vaissière, B. E., Cane, J. H., Steffan-Dewenter, I., Cunningham, S. A., Kremen, C., & Tscharntke, T. (2007). Importance of pollinators in changing landscapes for world crops. Proceedings of the Royal Society B, 274(1608), 303–313. https://doi.org/10.1098/rspb.2006.3721

15. Lal, R. (2003). Soil erosion and the global carbon budget. Environment International, 29(4), 437–450. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0160-4120(02)00192-7

16. Lundgren, J. G., & Fergen, J. K. (2014). Predator community structure and trophic linkage strength to a focal prey. Molecular Ecology, 23(15), 3842–3855. https://doi.org/10.1111/mec.12700

17. Mendes, L. W., Kuramae, E. E., Navarrete, A. A., van Veen, J. A., & Tsai, S. M. (2015). Taxonomical and functional microbial community selection in soybean rhizosphere. ISME Journal, 8(8), 1577–1587. https://doi.org/10.1038/ismej.2014.17

18. Mirsky, S. B., Ryan, M. R., Curran, W. S., Teasdale, J. R., Maul, J. E., Spargo, J. T., Moyer, J. W., Grantham, A. M., Weber, D., Way, T. R., & Camargo, G. G. (2012). Conservation tillage issues: Cover crop-based organic rotational no-till grain production in the mid-Atlantic region, USA. Renewable Agriculture and Food Systems, 27(1), 31–40. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742170511000457

19. Pimentel, D., & Burgess, M. (2013). Soil erosion threatens food production. Agriculture, 3(3), 443–463. https://doi.org/10.3390/agriculture3030443

20. Poeplau, C., & Don, A. (2015). Carbon sequestration in agricultural soils via cultivation of cover crops — A meta-analysis. Agriculture, Ecosystems & Environment, 200, 33–41. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agee.2014.10.024 Quinton, J. N., Govers, G., Van Oost, K., & Bardgett, R. D. (2010). The impact of agricultural soil erosion on biogeochemical cycling. Nature Geoscience, 3(5), 311–314. https://doi.org/10.1038/ngeo838

21. Roesch-McNally, G. E., Arbuckle, J. G., & Tyndall, J. C. (2018). Barriers to implementing climate resilient agricultural strategies: The case of crop diversification in the U.S. corn belt. Global Environmental Change, 48, 206–215. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.gloenvcha.2017.12.002

22. Schipanski, M. E., Barbercheck, M., Douglas, M. R., Finney, D. M., Haider, K., Kaye, J. P., Kemanian, A. R., Mortensen, D. A., Ryan, M. R., Tooker, J., & White, C. (2014). A framework for evaluating ecosystem services provided by cover crops in agroecosystems. Agricultural Systems, 125, 12–22. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.agsy.2013.11.004

23. Snapp, S. S., Swinton, S. M., Labarta, R., Mutch, D., Black, J. R., Leep, R., Nyiraneza, J., & O'Neil, K. (2005). Evaluating cover crops for benefits, costs and performance within cropping system niches. Agronomy Journal, 97(1), 322–332. https://doi.org/10.2134/agronj2005.0322

24. Wallander, S., Smith, D., Bowman, M., & Claassen, R. (2021). Cover crop trends, programs, and practices in the United States (Economic Information Bulletin 222). USDA Economic Research Service.

25. Wallhead, M. J., Garbutt, A., Whelan, M., & Fowbert, J. (2012). Benefits of cover crops for pollinators in farm environments. In J. Bhatt et al. (Eds.), Proceedings of the International Symposium on Cover Crops (pp. 141–152). IARI.

26. Wittwer, R. A., Dorn, B., Jossi, W., & van der Heijden, M. G. A. (2017). Cover crops support ecological intensification of arable cropping systems. Scientific Reports, 7, Article 41911. https://doi.org/10.1038/srep41911