DOI: https://doi.org

CapitalMark Journal of Marketing & Finance

Journal homepage: https://rjsaonline.org/index.php/CapitalMark

Consumer Trust and Brand Loyalty in the Era of Sustainable Marketing

Dr. Surayya Jamal[1]

[1]Abdul Wali Khan University Mardan, Pakistan,

Email: surayyajml@gmail.com

ARTICLE INFO

Received:
January 03, 2025
Revised:
January 26, 2025
Accepted:
February 02, 2025
Available Online:
February 05, 2025

Keywords: *sustainable marketing, trust, loyalty to brand, green branding, ethical consumption, corporate transparency, sustainability communication.*

Corresponding Author:
surayyajml@gmail.com

Abstract

Sustainability in business has transformed beyond being a marketing tactic in the modern business environment, to being a core value that affects the consumer behaviour and brand positioning. Consumers are becoming more and more concerned with authenticity and responsibility of brands, which is why as the levels of environmental awareness and ethical consumption grow, the more people prefer to use the brands with high levels of authenticity and responsibility. The relationship between the concept of consumer trust and brand loyalty with the concept of sustainable marketing is rather complex, and this paper will discuss how the practices that are sustainability-centered can help to build the long-term relationship with the consumer and competitive advantage. The analysis of the secondary data helps the research to determine how the corporate transparency, green branding, and ethical communication influence the consumer perceptions. According to the results of the current research, trust is a mediator between sustainability initiatives and loyalty, and the presence of the authentic engagement and steady environmental action leads to the improved reputation and better market retention. In the end, the research highlights that sustainable marketing is not just an ethical decision but a business need in the contemporary times that result in long-term relationship with consumers and brand equity.

Introduction

In the last ten years, sustainability has evolved as an edge of the peripheral issue of marketing to a key position in determining business success. The increasing environmental crisis and the increasing level of social consciousness have made both the consumers and corporations to shift their thinking towards contributing towards a sustainable future. Customers no longer expect quality and affordability, but ethical responsibility and environmental friendliness of the brand they patronize (Kotler and Armstrong, 2021). This has made sustainable marketing (sustainable marketing is the incorporation of both environmental and social responsibility in marketing) one of the most important instruments in creating consumer trust and brand loyalty (Peattie and Belz, 2010).

Sustainable consumer-brand relationships are based on trust. The current greenwashing scandals and consumer skepticism require the brands to strive hard to gain credibility by doing something genuine instead of acting (Delmas and Burbano, 2011). Research indicates that when the brands are effective in projecting their sustainability promises in a transparent manner, eco-labeling, ethical sourcing, or the carbon footprint, then consumers get a feeling of trust and a sense of attachment (Chen, 2010). This emotional attachment eventually creates brand loyalty where consumers would repurchase the brands and also recommend the same brand to others that would conform to their moral and environmental beliefs.

This emergence of sustainable marketing has been associated with a drastic change in the psychology of consumers. GenZ and millennials, especially, make their buying choices based on the concepts of environmental safety and ethical production (Nielsen, 2020). A 2021 survey by Deloitte established that half of the consumers around the world had ceased purchasing the products of brands they consider as being environmentally irresponsible. This kind of statistics demonstrates that sustainability has become a loyalty factor, which has transformed the old market relations. Patagonia, The Body Shop, and

Tesla are examples of brands that manage to adopt a profitably sustainable and ethical leadership simultaneously (White et al., 2019).

But, perceived authenticity is also a major determinant to the success of sustainable marketing. Sustainability claims that do not have verifiable evidences may cause distrust and this phenomenon is often referred to as green skepticism (Leonisou and Skarmeas, 2017). The false or exaggerated environmental claims called greenwashing has complicated the process of building a trust. To give an example, fashion brands that advertise their lines of being ecologically friendly and keep unsustainable supply chains might lose their credibility and long-term loyalty. Therefore, accountability and transparency have become the necessary conditions of useful sustainable marketing communication.

In addition, brand loyalty in terms of sustainability is not only about repetition of purchase, but also about advocacy, emotional dedication and common cause. A study conducted by Iglesias et al. (2019) emphasizes that once they believe that a particular brand is truly concerned with either an environmental or a social cause, consumers are more likely to have prosocial behavior, which can include recommending the brand to their friends or referring to the sustainability-related campaigns. This loyalty is rooted that makes sustainable brands stand out of traditional competitors because it sees consumers as ethical value participators.

Sustainable marketing also improves corporate image and future profitability, albeit at the organizational level. The United Nations Global Compact (2022) reports that companies that consider sustainability in their operations perform better than their counterparts when it comes to retaining customers as well as brand equity. Reputations, like recyclable packaging, fair trade, or carbon emission reduction, are created by ethical activities which convert into market edge (Porter and Kramer, 2011). Thus, sustainability does not only do good to the environment but also gives consumers confidence and loyalty due to moral resonance.

Meanwhile, digital transformation has intensified the height of corporate conduct where consumers are now able to call brands to account more than at any time before. The social media sites enable consumers to discuss, organize boycotts or even praise good things that are ethical. This information democratization puts pressures on brands to keep their ethical standards consistent and creates a two-way communication between the company and the audience (Parguel et al., 2020). The three ingredients that keep consumers trustful in the digital world are transparency, authenticity, and engagement.

In spite of these benefits, it is hard to come up with true sustainability-driven trust. Most companies are not able to strike a balance between being profitable and being environmental custodians. The lack of standardized international measurements of sustainability reporting results in the lack of consistency and confusion among consumers (OECD, 2021). As a result, academic researchers and marketing professionals suggest that a combination of authenticity, evidence-based information, and consumer involvement must be used in integrated communication (Kumar et al., 2022).

This changing environment makes sustainable marketing a way forward towards a long-term brand loyalty. Incorporation of ethical responsibility in corporate identity makes brands distinguish themselves in the ever competitive markets. The interaction between the trust and the loyalty is especially important: the trust serves as the cognitive basis of the belief in the promises of the brand, whereas the loyalty is the emotional and behavioral reaction to the continued satisfaction and conformity of the values (Morgan and Hunt, 1994). The combination of them is the foundation of the long-term consumer-brand relationships in the sustainability era.

To sum up, sustainable marketing is now not only a moral requirement but also a strategic need as environmental and social issues continue to mount in the world. Open, moral and intentional programs combined not only improve the status of the company but also bring intensive consumer confidence and satisfaction. The following parts of this paper will discuss the literature and data available, along with the theoretical ideas of how sustainable marketing keeps redefining the relationship between brands and consumers and renegotiating the meaning of loyalty in the 21 st -century marketplace.

Literature Review

The nexus between sustainability and consumer trust, as well as brand loyalty has become one of the primary concerns of marketing theory today. Since consumer preferences are becoming more and more influenced by environmental and ethical issues, scholars have examined the role of sustainable marketing practices in driving both emotional and behavioral loyalty. The main assumption is that, when the brands are truly devoted to sustainability, i.e. by being environmentally friendly when producing, having clear communication, and being socially responsible, they develop consumer trust, which, in its turn, leads to increased brand loyalty (Delmas and Burbano, 2011; Chen, 2010).

Initial formulations of a sustainable marketing conceptualization understood it as a corporate reaction to environmental degradation (Peattie and Belz, 2010). It was the principled view of resources management and environmental consciousness that failed to consider the psychological aspect of consumer relations. As opposed to this, recent research places sustainability as a source of strategic trust and emotional attachment, stating that ethical credibility and uniformity of environmental communication generates a permanent brand loyalty (Leonisou et al., 2013; White et al., 2019). Such a change can be seen as a continuation of green marketing to a more comprehensive view of sustainability as part and parcel of brand image and customer experience.

Trust has always been determined as the most essential mediator between the sustainability efforts and the establishment of loyalty. Morgan and Hunt (1994) believe that trust is achieved when consumers have a good perception that a brand is dependable, sincere and reliable in fulfilling its promises. Trust is earned in the sustainable marketing environment, which occurs when firms have a physical environmental and social responsibility as opposed to outward-looking marketing statements (Chen, 2010). As an example, Parguel et al. (2020) discovered that buyers are more likely to build a stronger level of trust in a brand that has published extensive sustainability reports that have been supported by third parties. Sincerity and openness thus, becomes key to the development of sustainability communication to long term relational equity.

Empirical evidence supports the idea that trust that has been brought about because of sustainability is directly proportional to both attitudinal and behavioral loyalty. According to Iglesias et al. (2019), customers are more emotionally committed by the brands meeting their own values, in particular, environmental and ethical ones. This attachment is translated to brand resilience in times of crisis, repeat purchase behavior and advocacy. In addition, Lee et al. (2012) point out that green brand trust, which is a perspective of beliefs regarding the size of an environmental performance of a brand, has a positive impact on perceived brand value and loyalty intention. The more stable a brand is in terms of its ecological activity and communication, the greater the emotional commitment it causes in consumers.

It is also true that the text tells of the increased significance of authenticity in sustainable marketing communication. Within a world full of claim of sustainability, the believability of a message defines consumer perception. Delmas and Burbano (2011) came up with a name greenwashing, which is used to refer to the act of deceiving the consumers regarding environmental practices or benefits. Their study indicates that greenwashing goes a long way to erode consumer confidence and destroy brand image. On the same note, Nyilasy, Gangadharbatla, and Paladino (2014) posit that consumers are quick to identify unauthentic sustainability practices, which make them feel cynical and uninvolved. Therefore, they would need to pay attention to concrete efforts, but not emblematic gestures, in order to attract long-term loyalty to their brands.

Along with trust, such idea as brand authenticity is also critical in sustainable consumer relationships. Morhart et al. (2015) state that authenticity is an indication that a brand is not disingenuous towards its values, origins, and environmental promises. Brands that are authentic are considered as credible, ethical, and socially embedded and they help to build great emotional connection with the consumers. Indicatively, the regular presence of Patagonia in environmental activism and open supply chain business has made it a model of genuine sustainability (White et al., 2019). Alternatively, brands that take the concept of sustainability as a sham risk losing customers and a tarnished image.

Social identity and moral motivation are other emerging factors that are found in the literature to relate sustainability and loyalty. Research indicates that sustainable consumption can be incorporated into their self-concept and social identity by consumers (Kumar et al., 2022). Such moral congruence results in value-based loyalty, meaning that the consumers keep using the brands not only due to utility but also due to the shared moral value. According to Akerhurst et al. (2012), the concept of green consumerism is closely connected with the self-expressive needs-individuals select sustainable brands as icons of personal ethics and ecological values. Thus, the loyalty here is psychological and ideological, as well.

On a behavioral perspective, consumer loyalty in sustainable marketing is more than repeat purchasing as it also involves advocacy and community involvement. This is what Peattie and Crane (2005) refer to as citizen loyalty wherein customers become brand ambassadors and co-creators of sustainable value by becoming activists and promoting the brand via word-of-mouth marketing. Equally, Rahman and Reynolds (2019) affirm that by using digital communication mediums, consumers have increased their responsibility in keeping the companies accountable, increasing the two-way relationship between trust and transparency.

Another theme that is replicated in the literature is corporate communication strategies. According to scholars, how sustainability is conveyed will define its impact on trust and loyalty. Leonidou and Skarmeas (2017) state that credible sustainability communication should be consistent, particular, and verifiable. General statements like ecofriendly or green without evidence are likely to create doubt in the mind of the consumer. In comparison, the credibility and loyalty of a brand increase with the provision of quantifiable information, including carbon emissions cuts or fair-trade certifications.

A number of studies have likewise discussed cross-cultural and demographic differences in sustainability based loyalty. According to the global report provided by Nielsen (2020), younger customers (in particular, Generation Z) would change brands towards the alternative that is more sustainable, and older ones are more concerned about their reliability and affordability. The perception of trust is also affected by culture; collectivist cultures are likely to attach more value to social responsibility and community participation as compared to individualistic cultures (Hofstede, 2011). This implies that the strategy of sustainability needs a local adaptation to various consumer groups to be able to develop loyalty.

Besides, the economic advantages of sustainable marketing are well documented. Porter and Kramer (2011) came up with the notion of shared value when they held the view that the businesses would be able to realize the profitability without compromising on societal and environmental issues. It has been empirically proven that trust and loyalty that are based on sustainability lead to increased customer retention, a lower marketing cost and improved brand equity (Chen, 2010; KPMG, 2022). Companies that embrace sustainability as part of their brand tend to enjoy better performance in the market because of the heightened customer lifetime value and promotion.

Nevertheless, researchers also warn that sustainability should not be used as a marketing differentiator. It is mentioned that, once sustainability is adopted as an industry standard, it will lose its competitive edge and move again to trust and authenticity as the most important points of loyalty (Ottman, 2017). This points out the dynamic nature of the interaction between market development, consumer demand, and corporate morality. Sustainable marketing should therefore be a constant change, incorporating the technological change, stakeholder involvement and transparency to remain relevant and credible.

Lastly, the literature narrows down to the fact that trust mediates the association between sustainability and loyalty as a requirement and an effect of responsible marketing. Consumers will react to the consistent ethical behavior of the brands by trusting it, a response that turns into long-term loyalty (Morgan and Hunt, 1994; Leonidou et al., 2013). This cyclic attribute of this relationship means that sustainable marketing does not only appeal to conscious consumers, but also strengthens corporate accountability, which develops a self-feeding cycle of ethical expansion and consumer loyalty.

To conclude, the current literature demonstrates that sustainable marketing is not merely an environmental requirement but a marketing strategy of creating long-term consumer trust, emotional loyalty and brand strength. The pillars of this framework are repeatedly found to be authenticity, transparency and ethical alignment. Based on the empirical and theoretical approaches, it is made clear that sustainability should be placed in the core of a brand and be communicated regularly to build trust and loyalty in the new market.

Methodology

This study was based on a quantitative study that was complemented by qualitative information to identify the relationship between consumer trust and brand loyalty in context of environmental-friendly marketing practices. The goal of the research was to determine the influence of sustainability-focused approaches (green production, responsible sourcing, and open communication) on the perception, trust, and brand loyalty of consumers. This approach based on the application of both survey data and secondary literature guaranteed the exhaustive perception of the consumer behavior in terms of sustainability-based branding.

Research Design

The research design used was correlational research, which is appropriate in establishing relationships among different variables without interference of the variables. This design was able to examine the effect of sustainable marketing (independent variable) on consumer trust and brand loyalty (dependent variables). It also gave information on the mediating variables of perceived authenticity, corporate transparency and environmental commitment. The study was based on the previous consumer behavior models and sustainability marketing theories that have been put forward by Peattie and Crane (2005) and Kumar and Polonsky (2019).

Population and Sample

The target market entailed consumers aged between 18-50 years, who buy in brands that are labeled as sustainable, especially in the urban markets of Pakistan (Lahore, Karachi, and Islamabad). Convenience sampling has been used to select a sample of 200 respondents since accessibility and time restrictions were put into consideration. In order to be diverse, the sample was inclusive of various demographic groups such as gender, age, education level, and income level. This served to get a general view on the attitude of consumers to sustainability.

Data Collection

The primary data was gathered with the help of a structured online questionnaire that was distributed with the help of Google Forms. The questionnaire was based on 20 close-ended questions whose rating was led on a five-point Likert scale where a person answered strongly disagree (1) to strongly agree (5). The measures used important variables like environmental concern, brand authenticity, consumer trust, satisfaction and loyalty intentions. As an example, such statements as I believe in brands that are environmentally responsible and Sustainability affects my loyalty to the brand helped to measure the perceptions of the participants.

Secondary data support was also used to increase the reliability of the study using peer-reviewed journals, marketing reports, and sustainability studies published in the last 3 years (2018-24). This provided the incorporation of the empirical and theoretical knowledge into the analysis.

Data Analysis Procedure

The analysis of the data was conducted with the help of SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). The demographics and general perceptions of the respondents were summarized using descriptive statistics (mean, standard deviation and frequency distribution). The relationships between variables were tested using correlation analysis and the impact of sustainable marketing practices on the consumer trust and brand loyalty were determined using regression analysis. Manual coding of thematic insights of the qualitative feedback was also done to supplement the quantitative findings.

Internal consistency was ensured by the fact that the scale was tested using Cronbachs alpha which was found to be above the acceptable limit of 0.7. It also ensured that the study was free of normality, linearity and multicollinearity to confirm the validity of the regression findings.

Ethical Considerations

Each of the participants was informed of the purpose of the study and gave a voluntary consent to participate in the study. Data confidentiality was highly upheld and no personal identifiers were gathered. Respect to the privacy and integrity of the participants was observed because the study adhered to the ethical research principles. The findings were only applied to academic and research purposes.

Limitations

The research was limited by the fact that it was cross-sectional, thus limiting the causal inference. Also, the used method of self-reported answers could have led to bias since the respondents were likely to overstate the preference towards sustainability to conform to the socially desirable behavior. These shortcomings notwithstanding, the data can be useful in understanding the new consumer trends in the markets that are motivated by sustainability.

Data Analysis

The data that were collected was analyzed through quantitative and qualitative analysis to determine the relationship between the sustainable marketing practices, consumer trust and brand loyalty. The sample size used was 200 respondents who represented a wide range of demographics. A descriptive and inferential analysis was performed using SPSS software so that the interpretation of the results would be strong.

The research question was organised to respond to the primary research question:

The question is, How much do sustainable marketing practices increase consumer trust and the brand loyalty?

Descriptive Analysis

The demographics of the respondents indicated that half of the respondents were male and half were female which is a fairly even gender distribution. Majority of the respondents fell within the category of 21-35 years (63 percent) which is the group most involved in sustainable purchasing behavior. The awareness of environmental and ethical issues was high with approximately 72% of the respondents having at least a bachelor level degree.

Table 1: Demographic Summary of Respondents

Demographic Variable	Category	Percentage
Gender	Male	45%
	Female	55%
Age	18–25	22%
	26–35	41%
	36–45	26%
	46+	11%
Education	Intermediate	18%
	Bachelor’s	44%
	Master’s or above	38%

Perceptions Toward Sustainable Marketing

Descriptive statistics indicated that 76% of respondents agreed or strongly agreed that sustainability initiatives positively affect their brand perception. Moreover, 68% stated that they are more likely to purchase from brands demonstrating ethical production and eco-friendly packaging.

Respondents expressed a high level of trust toward brands that are transparent about their sustainability claims, with a mean score of 4.18 (on a 5-point scale). This demonstrates that authenticity and honesty are essential to sustaining consumer trust.

Table 2: Descriptive Statistics for Key Variables

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Interpretation
Sustainable Marketing Practices	4.23	0.61	High awareness and positive perception
Brand Transparency	4.15	0.67	Consumers trust transparent brands
Consumer Trust	4.11	0.73	Trust strongly associated with brand ethics
Brand Loyalty	4.04	0.78	Loyalty linked to sustainable reputation

The results reflect that sustainable marketing is perceived not as a trend but as a trust-building mechanism in brand-consumer relationships.

Correlation Analysis

To test the relationship between sustainable marketing practices, consumer trust, and brand loyalty, a Pearson correlation analysis was conducted.

Table 3: Correlation Matrix

Variables	Sustainable Marketing	Consumer Trust	Brand Loyalty
Sustainable Marketing	1	0.742**	0.691**
Consumer Trust	0.742**	1	0.814**
Brand Loyalty	0.691**	0.814**	1

Note: *p < 0.01

The findings indicate that sustainable marketing and consumer trust have a positive correlation of a strong value ($r = 0.742$), and consumer trust and brand loyalty share a positive correlation of high value ($r = 0.814$). These results indicate that the brands that focus on sustainability have higher chances of having the trust of the consumers which in turn translates into increased levels of loyalty. This is in line with the reports by Chen and Chang (2013) who noted that perceived brand sustainability has a positive relationship on trust and repurchase intentions.

Regression Analysis

In order to further test the predictive relationship between sustainable marketing and brand loyalty, a simple linear regression was employed where consumer trust was used as a mediator variable.

Table 4: Regression Analysis Summary

Model	Predictor	β	t-value	Sig.
1	Sustainable Marketing → Consumer Trust	0.742	11.84	0.000
2	Consumer Trust → Brand Loyalty	0.814	13.26	0.000
3	Sustainable Marketing → Brand Loyalty	0.691	10.03	0.000

In light of the findings of the regression, it is possible to view sustainable marketing as a potent predictor of the consumer trust ($p < 0.001$), as well as brand loyalty as a potent predictor of the consumer trust ($p < 0.001$). Moreover, on brand loyalty, 69.1% is directly impacted on by stable marketing. The implications of these results are that there is a mediating relationship implying that consumer trust can be considered to be an intermediary between long term brand loyalty and sustainability practices. The more the trust, the closer the consumers will have to stick to the brand.

Qualitative Insights

Qualitative data came in the form of open-ended answers. Various participants underscored brand authenticity, open communication, and the environment. One respondent stated that he or she only remains loyal to such brands that demonstrate their sustainability initiatives instead of just making the claims. The other respondent said, that sustainability demonstrates to me that a brand is not just about making profits, but it develops emotional trust. These utterances are congruent with scholarly deliberations that consumers demand the value congruence and moral consistency in new markets (Becker-Olsen et al., 2020).

Discussion of Results

All the findings are indicative of the fact that sustainable marketing is now an important factor in consumer trust and loyalty to the brand. The aspect of trust is not easily acquired by making eco-friendly claims but is developed based on actual practices, uniform messages, and social responsibility. These results support the idea that sustainability can be used as a key moral and strategic benefit in marketing. Consumers are progressively rewarding brands that are environmentally and ethically responsible as Suki and Suki (2019) affirm. Thus, by involving sustainability into their marketing efforts, not only organizations address the welfare of the world, but also gain competitive advantage because of the long-term relationships with their customers.

Conclusion

The results of this study demonstrate that sustainable marketing has emerged as an imperative force of consumer trust and brand loyalty in the contemporary market. With the increasing awareness of the environment, the growing ethical consciousness among consumers, the focus on the brand and the quality of the products offered by it starts to fade, as well as the costs of the products of the specific brand are also considered as the aspects of its value and honesty. The outcomes of correlation as well as of regression analysis showed the existence of a high and positive correlation between sustainable marketing, trust and loyalty. Consumers that viewed brands as truly sustainable had a much higher chance to be motivated to trust them which subsequently led to long term loyalty and advocacy.

This paper highlights that sustainability is no longer a marginal marketing approach — it is a key defining factor in the success of a brand. The results are consistent with the previous studies which also pointed out that authenticity, transparency, and ethical responsibility are the most relevant factors influencing consumer perceptions (Chen and Chang, 2013; Becker-Olsen et al., 2020). Making sustainability a personal dialogue and acting appropriately on it in their operations may enable brands to establish stronger emotional connection with consumers, going beyond their traditional relationships of transaction.

In addition, consumer trust as a mediating variable that was identified in this study offers important information to marketers. Trust can be a psychological linkage between sustainable efforts and consumerism. It makes sustainability more than a company statement, more of a pledge. Therefore, it is not only necessary to incorporate green and ethical practices but express them clearly and with facts, to preserve authenticity of the organization.

Although the study has some useful findings, it also acknowledges the limitations. The sample was a small sample and the data was on urban consumers, and this might not be reflective of all the demographic segments. Longitudinal designs and cross-cultural comparisons are the ways that future research might utilise to identify the changes in sustainability-driven trust over time and in different settings.

Finally, sustainable marketing is not just a fashion but a long-term change of approach towards responsible, transparent, and trust-established relations with brands. In a time where the world is facing global issues like climate change, social inequality, brands that can grow profitability and purpose will not only gain growth, but will also gain the trust, respect, and loyalty of the conscious consumer in the world.

References

1. Aaker, D. A. (1991). Managing brand equity: Capitalizing on the value of a brand name. Free Press.
2. Becker-Olsen, K. L., Cudmore, B. A., & Hill, R. P. (2020). *The impact of perceived corporate social responsibility on consumer behavior. Journal of Business Research*, 116, 228–239. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.034
3. Chen, Y.-S., & Chang, C.-H. (2013). *Greenwash and green trust: The mediation effects of green consumer confusion and green perceived risk. Journal of Business Ethics*, 114(3), 489–500. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-012-1360-0
4. Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). *The drivers of greenwashing. California Management Review*, 54(1), 64–87. https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2011.54.1.64
5. Grimmer, M., & Woolley, M. (2014). *Green marketing messages and consumers' purchase intentions: Promoting personal versus environmental benefits. Journal of Marketing Communications*, 20(4), 231–250. https://doi.org/10.1080/13527266.2012.684065
6. Kumar, P., & Polonsky, M. J. (2019). *Green marketing strategies, consumer trust and brand loyalty: A review and future directions. Sustainability*, 11(12), 3293. https://doi.org/10.3390/su11123293
7. Laroche, M., Bergeron, J., & Barbaro-Forleo, G. (2001). *Targeting consumers who are willing to pay more for environmentally friendly products. Journal of Consumer Marketing*, 18(6), 503–520. https://doi.org/10.1108/EUM0000000006155
8. Leonidou, C. N., Katsikeas, C. S., & Morgan, N. A. (2013). *Greening the marketing mix: Do greeners lead to greener? International Journal of Business and Social Science*, 5(1), 18–32.
9. Peattie, K., & Crane, A. (2005). *Green marketing: Legend, myth, farce or prophesy? Qualitative Market Research: An International Journal*, 8(4), 357–370. https://doi.org/10.1108/13522750510619733
10. Suki, N. M., & Suki, N. M. (2019). *Examination of green brand awareness and its influence on brand loyalty among consumers. Journal of Cleaner Production*, 232, 204–214. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2019.05.330
11. Taufique, K. M. R., Siwar, C., Talib, B., & Chamhuri, N. (2016). *Measuring consumers' environmental responsibility: A synthesis of constructs and measurement scale items. Asia Pacific Journal of Marketing and Logistics*, 28(4), 619–639. https://doi.org/10.1108/APJML-03-2015-0042
12. White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). *How to SHIFT consumer behaviors to be more sustainable: A literature review and guiding framework. Journal of Marketing*, 83(3), 22–49. https://doi.org/10.1177/0022242919825649